arXiv:1611.01928v1 [math-ph] 7 Nov 2016

THE NONCOMMUTATIVE INDEX THEOREM AND THE PERIODIC TABLE FOR DISORDERED TOPOLOGICAL INSULATORS AND SUPERCONDUCTORS

HOSHO KATSURA AND TOHRU KOMA

Abstract. We study a wide class of free-fermion systems on a hypercubic lattice in spatial dimensions $d \geq 1$. When the system is insulating, the topological properties, e.g., the integral quantization of the topological invariant, are protected by certain symmetries of the Hamiltonian against disorder. This generic feature is characterized by a generalized index theorem which is a noncommutative analogue of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. The noncommutative index defined in terms of a pair of projections gives a precise formula for the topological invariant in each symmetry class in any dimension ($d \geq 1$). The analysis of the index reproduces Bott periodicity and possible values of topological invariants in the classification table of topological insulators and superconductors. We also prove that the indices are robust against perturbations that do not break the symmetry of the unperturbed Hamiltonian, provided the Fermi level lies in a spectral gap or a mobility gap.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Tight-Binding Models on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ 4
2.1. Time-Reversal Transformation 4
2.2. Particle-Hole Transformation 5
2.3. Chiral Transformation 6
3. Main Results 6
3.1. Index Theorems in Even Dimensions 6
3.2. Index Theorems in Odd Dimensions 9
4. No Symmetry in Even Dimensions 12
4.1. Index 12
4.2. Topological Invariant 15
4.3. Translationally Invariant Systems in Even Dimensions 24
5. Chiral Symmetry in Odd Dimensions 27
5.1. Chiral Index 27
5.2. Topological Invariant 30
5.3. Translationally Invariant Systems in Odd dimensions 37
6. Periodic Table 39
6.1. Signatures of Operators 39
6.2. Even Dimensions 41
6.3. Odd Dimensions 60
7. Homotopy argument 74

Date: November 8, 2016.

Appendix A. A Dirac-Clifford Garden 75
Appendix B. Trace Class and Trace p-Norm 76
Appendix C. Proof of Lemma 6 79
Appendix D. Proof of Lemma 7 81
Appendix E. Proof of Theorem 10 82
Appendix F. Proof of Theorem 14 86
Appendix G. Chiral Invariant as a Linear Response Coefficient 88
Appendix H. Even Anti-Unitary Transformations 90
Appendix I. Relations between the Indices 91
References 93

1. Introduction

Topological insulators and superconductors are a wide class of free-fermion systems which show nontrivial topological quantities in the sense that they are robust against any perturbations [20, 49]. Historically, the first example of such a system was the integer quantum Hall effect [27, 32], where the Hall conductance is quantized in integer multiples of the universal conductance. The integer is given by the Chern number which is the topological invariant of the system [66, 33]. Another example, called $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topological insulators, was later found by Kane and Mele [25]. The key difference from the quantum Hall effect is that the topological properties of such a system is meaningful only when time-reversal symmetry is respected. This idea has been generalized to other types of topological insulators, in which their topological properties are robust against any perturbations that preserve certain discrete symmetries of the unperturbed Hamiltonian, provided the Fermi level is in a spectral gap or a mobility gap. The complete classification of all topological insulators and superconductors was worked out using the idea of random matrix theory [54] or K-theoretical approach [31]. In either case, the result obtained can be summarized in the same form of the "periodic table" (see Table 1).

In translationally invariant systems, the bulk topological invariant is typically written as an integral over the Brillouin zone, and is robust with respect to the variation of the parameters of the Hamiltonian unless the band gap closes. This picture is, however, not applicable to systems with disorder, where the Bloch momentum is no longer a good quantum number. Nevertheless, certain topological properties are expected to be robust against disorder, as is the case for the quantization of the Hall conductance in quantum Hall systems. So far, there have been two different approaches to tackle topological insulators with disorder.

The first one was initiated by Niu, Thouless and Wu [44], which works for generic quantum Hall systems with disorder and/or interactions. In their approach, twisted boundary conditions at the boundaries are imposed and the existence of a spectral gap above the ground-state sector is assumed. Under these assumptions, they showed that the Hall conductance averaged over the boundary conditions is integrally or fractionally quantized, irrespective of disorder or interactions. Clearly, their result does not necessarily imply

the quantization of the Hall conductance for a set of fixed twisted phases. But recently, it was proved that the Hall conductance exhibits the desired quantization irrespective of the values of the twisted phases for interacting lattice fermions [23, 36]. However, its extension to interacting continuum systems still remains an open problem.

The second approach is based on methods of noncommutative geometry [9]. The advantage is that it is applicable to the situation that the Fermi energy lies in a localization regime. Using the approach, the integral quantization of the Hall conductance is proved to be robust against disorder for noninteracting systems [5, 3, 1, 12, 35]. In particular, the emergence of the Hall conductance plateau of bulk order can be proved for varying the filling factor of the electrons rather than the Fermi level [35].

The application of noncommutative geometry has been rather limited to the quantum Hall systems in two dimensions so far [5, 3, 1]. Recently, however, the extension to higher even dimensions has been done by [45]. Besides, it has been realized that this approach is applicable to other types of topological insulators. Among them, there are two classes of systems in which the topological invariant is proved to be robust against perturbations.

One class is topological insulators with chiral symmetry in odd dimensions. It was found in [42, 46, 47] that the methods of noncommutative geometry are applicable to proving the robustness of the integral quantization of the winding number related to the spin in odd dimensional Pauli-Dirac theory [61, 24, 30, 57, 54] with chiral symmetry. The winding number can be defined in terms of the sign function of the Hamiltonian. Following Connes' program [9], Prodan and Schulz-Baldes proved that the winding number is quantized to a nontrivial integer [46].

The other class is topological insulators with time-reversal symmetry in two dimensions [25, 58]. In the previous paper [26], the authors proposed an alternative approach which is based on the method of a pair of projections introduced by Avron, Seiler and Simon [3, 4]. Relying on their method, the noncommutative formula of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index for this class was obtained and the robustness of the index against any time-reversal symmetric perturbation including disorder was proved.

In this paper, we extend our previous approach to generic topological insulators and superconductors. As a result, we prove that the noncommutative index theorem gives a precise formula for the topological invariant for each element in the periodic table [31, 57, 54]. The advantage of our approach is that it is not necessary for disordered systems to require the ergodicity of the probability measure [11, 35]. Namely, the integral quantization of the topological invariant is proved to be robust against any symmetry preserving perturbation as long as the Fermi level lies in a spectral gap of the Hamiltonian or in a mobility gap.

The present paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we define a generic tight-binding model on a hypercubic lattice in dimensions $d \geq 1$, and discuss the possible symmetries of the Hamiltonian. In Sec. 3, we present our main results in the form of the index theorem, which allows us to relate the analytic index to the topological invariant. The proofs of the integer-valued index theorems in even and odd dimensions are given in Sec. 4 and

Sec. 5, respectively. The detailed nature of the indices for all the classes of models in the periodic table are proved in Sec. 6. In Sec. 7, we show the robustness of the indices against perturbations using a homotopy argument. Our convention for the gamma matrices and their useful properties are summarized in Appendix A. Appendices B-F are devoted to technical estimates. In Appendix G, we show that the chiral topological invariants can be interpreted as a linear-response coefficient in one dimensions. Appendices H and I are devoted to the proofs of useful propositions. In particular, we show the relation between the indices of classes DIII and AII in three dimensions in Appendix I.

2. Tight-Binding Models on $\mathbb{Z}^d$

We consider a tight-binding model of fermions on the d-dimensional hypercubic lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $d \geq 1$. The Hamiltonian H reads

$$(H\varphi)_\alpha(x) := \sum_y \sum_\beta t_{x,y}^{\alpha,\beta} \varphi_\beta(y) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{2.1}$$

where the subscript β of the wavefunction $\varphi_\beta \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M)$ is the internal degree of freedom with the dimension M such as spin or orbital; the hopping amplitudes $t_{x,y}^{\alpha,\beta}$ are complex numbers which satisfy the Hermitian conditions,

$$t_{y,x}^{\beta,\alpha} = \left(t_{x,y}^{\alpha,\beta}\right)^*.$$

We note that the above Hamiltonian includes a tight-binding model on a more general graph, because it can be embedded into the model on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with suitably chosen hopping amplitudes. We assume that the hopping amplitudes are of finite range, and that all of the strengths are uniformly bounded as

$$\left|t_{y,x}^{\beta,\alpha}\right| \leq t_0$$

with some positive constant t_0. Throughout the present paper, we assume that the Fermi level $E_{\rm F}$ lies in the spectral gap of the Hamiltonian H or in the localization regime. Namely, the resolvent $(E_{\rm F} - H)^{-1}$ exponentially decays with distance [8]. This yields that the matrix elements of the Fermi projection $P_{\rm F}$ also decays exponentially with distance as discussed in (4.23) below. For superconductors described by the Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian, the "Fermi energy" is set to $E_{\rm F} = 0$ by definition.

2.1. Time-Reversal Transformation.

As usual, we define the complex conjugate for the present wavefunctions, $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M)$, by

$$(\overline{\varphi})_\alpha(x) = \overline{\varphi_\alpha(x)} \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

where α is an index of the internal degree of freedom. We introduce a time-reversal transformation Θ for the wavefunctions as

$$\varphi^\Theta := \Theta\varphi = U^\Theta \overline{\varphi} \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M). \tag{2.2}$$

Here, for simplicity, we assume that U^Θ is a unitary operator which can be written in a direct sum as $U^\Theta = \bigoplus_i U_i^\Theta$ with a period on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$, where the operator U_i^Θ acts on a local state on the i-th unit cell with a compact support. Since all of the states on some cells can be identified with

an internal degree of freedom at a single site of a lattice, the time-reversal transformation U^{Θ} can be taken to be independent of the lattice site.

If a time-reversal transformation Θ satisfies

$$\Theta^2\varphi = -\varphi \quad \text{for any} \quad \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M),$$

then we say that Θ is an odd time-reversal transformation. In the case where

$$\Theta^2\varphi = \varphi \quad \text{for any} \quad \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M),$$

we say that Θ is an even time-reversal transformation.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an operator on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M)$. We say that the operator $\mathcal{A}$ is time-reversal symmetric with respect to Θ if the following condition holds:

$$\Theta(\mathcal{A}\varphi) = \mathcal{A}\varphi^{\Theta} \quad \text{for any} \quad \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M).$$

If the present Hamiltonian H satisfies this condition, we say that the Hamiltonian H is time-reversal symmetric with respect to Θ. In addition, if Θ is an odd time-reversal transformation, we say that the Hamiltonian H is odd time-reversal symmetric.

2.2. **Particle-Hole Transformation.** Next, we introduce a particle-hole transformation Ξ for the wavefunctions as

$$\varphi^{\Xi} := \Xi\varphi = U^{\Xi}\overline{\varphi} \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M), \tag{2.3}$$

where U^{Ξ} is a unitary operator, similarly to the case of the time-reversal transformation Θ. In the same way as in the time-reversal transformation, one can define odd and even particle-hole transformations. The only difference is that the following condition for the Hamiltonian H is required:

$$\Xi(H\varphi) = -H\varphi^{\Xi} \quad \text{for any} \quad \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M), \tag{2.4}$$

in which case we say that the Hamiltonian H is particle-hole symmetric with respect to Ξ.

The condition (2.4) implies that, if a positive energy, $E > 0$, is in the spectrum of the Hamiltonian H, then the corresponding negative energy, $-E$, is also in the spectrum of H. Namely, the upper energy bands are mapped to the lower energy bands by the particle-hole transformation Ξ. This is, in fact, a built-in symmetry of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonians. For a class of Hamiltonians with this symmetry, we set $E_{\mathrm{F}} = 0$ and deal with the following two situations: (i) There is a spectral gap between the upper and lower bands in the spectrum of the particle-hole symmetric Hamiltonian H. (ii) Consider the situation that the Fermi level $E_{\mathrm{F}} = 0$ lies in a localization regime. If $E_{\mathrm{F}} = 0$ is an eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian H, we cannot handle the resolvent $(E_{\mathrm{F}} - H)^{-1}$. Fortunately, it is well known that the corresponding event does not occur with probability one in many of random systems. Therefore, we assume that $E_{\mathrm{F}} = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of the particle-hole symmetric Hamiltonian H with probability one with respect to configurations of the random quantities of the system.

In such a system, the matrix elements of the Fermi projection P_{F} decays exponentially with distance as discussed in (4.23) below.

2.3. **Chiral Transformation.** We say that the Hamiltonian H is chiral symmetric with respect to a chiral operator S if the following conditions hold:

- S is self-adjoint, i.e., $S^* = S$
- S is unitary, i.e., $S^2 = 1$
- The Hamiltonian H is transformed by S as

$$SHS = -H. \tag{2.5}$$

Because of $S^2 = 1$, there is no property about even-oddness in this case. The condition (2.5) implies that the positive-energy bands are mapped to the corresponding negative-energy ones, as in the case of the particle-hole symmetric Hamiltonian. Therefore, we set $E_{\rm F} = 0$, and deal with the situation that the matrix elements of the Fermi projection $P_{\rm F}$ decays exponentially with distance as discussed in (4.23) below.

3. Main Results

In this paper, we prove that the noncommutative index theorem gives the desired index of the topological invariant for each element in the periodic table (Table 1). The analysis of the nature of the indices reproduces the results obtained in previous work [31, 57, 54].

In Table 1, possible topological insulators and superconductors are sorted out according to their symmetries and spatial dimensions d. The first column refers to the Cartan-Altland-Zirnbauer (CAZ) classification scheme [2] for symmetry of the Hamiltonian H. The columns, TRS, PHS and CHS, denote the properties of the symmetry. If the Hamiltonian H does not have the corresponding symmetry, then we write 0. We write ± 1 for even- and oddness for symmetry, respectively. Since a chiral operator S always satisfies $S^2 = 1$, we write 1 for chiral symmetry classes. The symbols $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ indicate whether the model belonging to an entry takes an integer-valued or a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-valued index, respectively. The symbol $2\mathbb{Z}$ denotes that the integer-valued index is always even. The empty entries denote the case where the corresponding model has no index. Due to the periodicity with the period 8 with respect to the spatial dimension d, we omit the cases for nine or higher dimensions. This fact which is often called "Bott periodicity" will be proved in Sec. 6.

3.1. **Index Theorems in Even Dimensions.** Let us consider first the Hamiltonian (2.1) on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with even dimensions, $d = 2n$, $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$. We write $P_{\rm F}$ for the projection onto the states whose energy is less than the Fermi energy $E_{\rm F}$, and refer to it as the Fermi projection. By using a contour integral in the complex plane, it can be written as

$$P_{\rm F} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \frac{1}{z - H}.$$

Let $\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(2n)}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}$ be the gamma matrices acting on the 2^n-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{2^n}$ which represents an auxiliary degrees of freedom. The gamma matrices obey the anticommutation relations,

$$\gamma^{(i)}\gamma^{(j)} + \gamma^{(j)}\gamma^{(i)} = 2\delta_{ij}, \tag{3.1}$$

Symmetry				Spatial dimension d							
CAZ	TRS	PHS	CHS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
A	0	0	0		$\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}$
AIII	0	0	1	$\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}$	
AI	+1	0	0				$2\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}$
BDI	+1	+1	1	$\mathbb{Z}$				$2\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
D	0	+1	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}$				$2\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}_2$
DIII	−1	+1	1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}$				$2\mathbb{Z}$	
AII	−1	0	0		$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}$				$2\mathbb{Z}$
CII	−1	−1	1	$2\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}$			
C	0	−1	0		$2\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}$		
CI	+1	−1	1			$2\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}$	

TABLE 1. *The periodic table of topological insulators and superconductors, listing the possible values of the indices as the strong topological invariants for the CAZ classes in dependence of the spatial dimension d.*

for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1\}$ with the Kronecker delta δ_{ij}. The concrete expression for the gamma matrices and their basic properties are given in Appendix A. We write

$$\gamma = (\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(2n)}), \tag{3.2}$$

i.e., γ is a $2n$-component vector whose i-th component is given by the gamma matrix $\gamma^{(i)}$. We denote the Euclidean distance on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$|y| := \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2n} (y^{(j)})^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \ldots, y^{(2n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We introduce a Dirac operator as

$$D_a(x) := \frac{1}{|x-a|}(x-a) \cdot \gamma \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{3.3}$$

where we have used the shorthand notation,

$$(x-a) \cdot \gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (x^{(i)} - a^{(i)}) \gamma^{(i)},$$

and the $2n$-component vector $a = (a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \ldots, a^{(2n)})$ satisfies $a \in \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \mathbb{Z}^d$. The Dirac operator D_a acts on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$, where the position operator x and the gamma matrix $\gamma^{(i)}$ is identified with $x \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \gamma^{(i)}$, respectively. The Hamiltonian H of (2.1) is identified with $H \otimes 1$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$.

In order to describe our noncommutative index theorems, we introduce the current operator $J_a^{(j)}$ in the j-th direction with the kink at $a^{(j)}$ by [36]

$$J_a^{(j)} := i[H, \vartheta_a^{(j)}], \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\vartheta_a^{(j)}(x) := \vartheta(x^{(j)} - a^{(j)}) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \tag{3.5}$$

with the step function,

$$\vartheta(b) := \begin{cases} 1, & b \geq 0; \\ 0, & b < 0, \end{cases}$$

for $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1. *In even dimensions, the following relation between the integer-valued index and the topological invariant (generalized Chern number) is valid:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\Big\{\dim\ker\left[\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) - 1\right] \\ &\qquad\qquad - \dim\ker\left[\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) + 1\right]\Big\} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n!(2\pi i)^n}\sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \oint dz_1 \oint dz_2 \cdots \oint dz_{2n} {\rm Tr}\ P_{\rm F}\frac{1}{z_1 - H} J_a^{(\sigma_1)} \frac{1}{z_1 - H} \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \frac{1}{z_2 - H} J_a^{(\sigma_2)} \frac{1}{z_2 - H} \cdots \frac{1}{z_{2n} - H} J_a^{(\sigma_{2n})} \frac{1}{z_{2n} - H}, \end{aligned}$$

where dim ker O *stands for the dimension of the kernel of an operator* O*,* Tr *denotes the trace of operator on the Hilbert space* $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M)$*, and* σ *is a permutation given by*

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1 \\ \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

with the signature $(-1)^\sigma$*. Further, the integer-valued index is continuous with respect to the norm of any perturbation if the perturbed Hamiltonian has the same symmetry as that of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. In other words, as long as the Fermi level* $E_{\rm F}$ *lies in a spectral gap or a mobility gap, the integer-valued index is robust against any perturbation which preserves the same symmetry as that of the unperturbed Hamiltonian.*

Remark . (i) The noncommutative index Theorem 1 gives the desired $\mathbb{Z}$ or $2\mathbb{Z}$ indices for the corresponding elements in Table 1. In particular, the classes with $2\mathbb{Z}$ index [54, 64, 59, 18] have a structure similar to the Kramers doublet in the spectrum of the operator $\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)$ as we show in Sec. 6.2.

(ii) In two or higher even dimensions, Prodan, Leung and Bellissard [45] discussed the conditions under which both the quantization and homotopy invariance of the noncommutative Chern number hold against perturbations. Their underlying assumption which they call, strong disorder, is slightly stronger than ours. In addition, they required that the probability measure for disorder is ergodic with respect to spatial translations. On the other hand, our approach is based on the method of a pair of projections which was introduced by Avron, Seiler and Simon [3, 4]. The advantage of our approach is that [11, 35] it is not necessary for disordered systems to require the ergodicity of the probability measure. Namely, the integral quantization of the topological invariant is proved to be robust against any perturbation

as long as the Fermi level lies in a spectral gap of the Hamiltonian or in a localization regime.

Theorem 2. *In even spatial dimensions, for the entries specified by the symbol $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in Table 1, the integer-valued index given in Theorem 1 vanishes identically. However, the following $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index may be nonvanishing:*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_2^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) := \frac{1}{2}\dim \ker\, [\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a) - 1] \ \text{ modulo 2.}$$

More precisely, this $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is continuous with respect to the norm of any perturbation if the perturbed Hamiltonian has the same symmetry as that of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. In other words, as long as the Fermi level lies in a spectral gap of the Hamiltonian or in a localization regime, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is robust against any perturbation which preserves the same symmetry as that of the unperturbed Hamiltonian.

Remark . (i) Since the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is given by the dimension of the kernel of the operator, one might think that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index may be written in terms of an integral of some connection, similarly to the Chern number. In fact, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index which was defined by Kane and Mele can be written in terms of an integral of the same connection as that of the Chern number over one-half of the Brillouin zone for translationally invariant systems [14, 43, 13]. (See also related articles [15, 55, 17, 38].) In [26], the authors showed that, for Kane-Mele model without disorder, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index in the form of an integral coincides with the dimension of the kernel of an operator. This index of the operator coincides with that in Theorem 2, too.

(ii) Schulz-Baldes [58, 18] defined $\mathbb{Z}_2$-indices for general odd symmetric Fredholm operators and treated the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index for the Kane-Mele model as an example. (See also [16, 10] for related articles.) But his approach is different from ours. In fact, he defined the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index by the parity of dim ker $\mathfrak{T}$ for the Fredholm operator $\mathfrak{T}$. As we will show in Sec. 4.1 below, the dimension of the kernel of $\mathfrak{T}$ coincides with ours. On the one hand, the operator $\mathfrak{T}$ is noncompact and contains the essential spectrum. On the other hand, our operator, say $\mathfrak{A}$, has only the discrete spectrum with finite multiplicity except for zero, because $\mathfrak{A}$ is compact which follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{A}^m$ with some positive integer m is trace class. Therefore, a homotopy argument for $\mathfrak{A}$ is much easier to handle than that for $\mathfrak{T}$. It should be noted that the homotopy argument is indispensable for defining the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index for disordered systems.

(iii) Hastings and Loring [21, 22, 40] proposed an alternative noncommutative approach to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index of topological insulators.

3.2. **Index Theorems in Odd Dimensions.** Let us consider the Hamiltonian H of (2.1) on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with odd dimensions, $d = 2n + 1$, $(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$. In this case, we set

$$\gamma = (\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(2n)}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}). \tag{3.6}$$

The corresponding Dirac operator is given by

$$D_a(x) := \frac{1}{|x-a|}(x-a)\cdot\gamma \tag{3.7}$$

for $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(2n+1)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}$ and $a = (a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \ldots, a^{(2n+1)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \mathbb{Z}^d$. Here, $|y|$ denotes the Euclidean distance given by

$$|y| = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} (y^{(j)})^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \ldots, y^{(2n+1)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}.$$

Consider first the case that the Hamiltonian H has no chiral symmetry.

Theorem 3. *Assume that the Hamiltonian H has no chiral symmetry in odd spatial dimensions. Then, all of the nontrivial indices for the models in the classes are given by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index as in Table 1. Actually, the integer-valued index vanishes identically. However, the models preserve the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index given by*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_2^{(2n+1)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) := \dim \ker \left[(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a) - 1 \right] \ \text{ modulo 2.}$$

in the sense that the parity ($\mathbb{Z}_2$ index) is continuous with respect to the norm of any perturbation if the perturbed Hamiltonian has the same symmetry as that of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. In other words, as long as the Fermi level lies in the spectral gap of the Hamiltonian or in the localization regime, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is robust against any perturbation which preserves the same symmetry as that of the unperturbed Hamiltonian.

Remark. (i) For numerical calculations of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index in three-dimensional systems with disorder, see [19, 39]. They used a method of twisted boundary conditions [44, 25]. For a scattering matrix approach to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index in three-dimensional systems with disorder, see [56].

Next, consider the case where the Hamiltonian H has chiral symmetry with a chiral operator S. As mentioned in Sec. 2.2, we deal with the following two situations: (i) There is a spectral gap between the upper and lower bands in the spectrum of the chiral symmetric Hamiltonian H. (ii) When the Fermi level $E_{\mathrm{F}} = 0$ lies in a localization regime, we assume that $E_{\mathrm{F}} = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of the chiral Hamiltonian H with probability one with respect to configurations of the random quantities of the system. In such a system, the resolvent $(E_{\mathrm{F}} - H)^{-1}$ decays exponentially with distance. We write $P_{\pm}$ for the spectral projections onto the upper and the lower bands, respectively. Using the two projections $P_{\pm}$, we introduce a unitary operator,

$$U := P_+ - P_-.$$

We also define a projection operator,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} := \frac{1}{2}(1 + D_a),$$

in terms of the Dirac operator D_a.

Theorem 4. *Assume that the Hamiltonian H has chiral symmetry with a chiral operator S in odd dimensions. Then, the following relation between the*

integer-valued index and the topological invariant (generalized Chern number) is valid:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\Big\{\dim\ker\left[S(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}-U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)-1\right]\\
&\qquad\qquad -\dim\ker\left[S(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}-U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)+1\right]\Big\}\\
&=\frac{i^n}{(2n+1)!!\cdot 2\cdot\pi^{n+1}}\sum_{\sigma}(-1)^{\sigma}\oint dz_1\oint dz_2\cdots\oint dz_{2n+1}{\rm Tr}\ SU\frac{1}{z_1-H}\\
&\quad\times J_a^{(\sigma_1)}\frac{1}{z_1-H}\frac{1}{z_2-H}J_a^{(\sigma_2)}\frac{1}{z_2-H}\cdots\frac{1}{z_{2n+1}-H}J_a^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}\frac{1}{z_{2n+1}-H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, the integer-valued index is continuous with respect to the norm of any perturbation if the perturbed Hamiltonian has the same symmetry as that of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. Namely, as long as the Fermi level lies in a spectral gap of the Hamiltonian or in a localization regime, the integer-valued index is robust against any perturbation which preserves the same symmetry as that of the unperturbed Hamiltonian.

Theorem 5. *Assume that the Hamiltonian H has chiral symmetry with a chiral operator S in odd dimensions. Then, for the entries specified by the symbol $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in Table 1, the integer-valued index given in Theorem 4 vanishes identically. However, the following $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index may be nonvanishing:*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_2^{(2n)}(D_a,S,U):=\frac{1}{2}\dim\ker\left[S(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}-U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)-1\right]\ \ \text{modulo 2.}$$

More precisely, this $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is continuous with respect to the norm of any perturbation if the perturbed Hamiltonian has the same symmetry as that of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. In other words, as long as the Fermi level lies in a spectral gap of the Hamiltonian or in a localization regime, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is robust against any perturbation which preserves the same symmetry as that of the unperturbed Hamiltonian.

Remark . (i) In [42], it was shown numerically for disordered models that the noncommutative analogue of the Chern number for odd-dimensional systems with chiral symmetry is quantized to an integer. See also [62]. The mathematical proof was given by Prodan and Schulz-Baldes in [46]. In addition to the assumption about the spectral gap or localization, they required that the probability measure for disorder is ergodic with respect to spatial translations. For the advantage of our approach, see Remark (ii) of Theorem 1.

(ii) Recently, Großmann and Schulz-Baldes [18] investigated index pairings of projections and unitaries for understanding the indices in the periodic table. Their projection operators which are associated to the Dirac operator is slightly different from ours. In consequence, they succeeded in understanding part of the indices in the periodic table in terms of their classifying scheme.

(iii) For related K-theoretic approaches to the periodic table for disordered systems, see [65, 6, 28, 37, 7, 29].

4. No Symmetry in Even Dimensions

4.1. **Index.** Let us first consider the present models in even dimensions, $d = 2n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. To begin with, we introduce

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) := \frac{1}{2}\ \mathrm{Tr}\ A^{2n+1} \tag{4.1}$$

with the operator,

$$A = \gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a). \tag{4.2}$$

In Appendix B, we show that the operator A^{2n+1} in the right-hand side is trace class, and hence the quantity, $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})$, is well defined.

Firstly, we show that the quantity, $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})$, is equal to the integer-valued index of Theorem 1 in the preceding section. For this purpose, we introduce another operator,

$$B = \gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a).$$

Note that the Dirac operator D_a satisfies $(D_a)^2 = 1$ from the definition (3.3). From the anticommutation relation (3.1), the Dirac operator D_a anticommutes with $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ as

$$\{\gamma^{(2n+1)}, D_a\} = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

By using these relations and $(\gamma^{(2n+1)})^2 = 1$, one can easily show that the above two operators, A and B, satisfy [4]

$$A^2 + B^2 = 1 \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$AB + BA = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

In addition, one has

$$[A, \gamma^{(2n+1)}] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [B, \gamma^{(2n+1)}] = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Following the argument of [4], we show that, if the eigenvalue λ of A satisfies $\lambda \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$, then λ and $-\lambda$ come in pairs of eigenvalues of A. Besides, their eigenvectors are mapped to each other by the operator B. Let φ be an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, i.e., $A\varphi = \lambda\varphi$. Then, the anticommutation relation (4.5) yields

$$AB\varphi = -BA\varphi = -\lambda B\varphi.$$

Similarly, from the first relation (4.4), one has

$$B^2\varphi = (1 - A^2)\varphi = (1 - \lambda^2)\varphi.$$

These imply that the operation B induces an invertible map from an eigenvector φ with eigenvalue $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ to that with $-\lambda$. Thus, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the vectors φ and $B\varphi$, and their eigenvalues come in pairs $\pm\lambda$, provided $0 < |\lambda| < 1$. Combining these observations with the fact that A^{2n+1} is trace class, one has

$$\mathrm{Tr}\ A^{2n+1} = \dim \ker(A - 1) - \dim \ker\,(A + 1).$$

Thus, we obtain the left-hand side of the integer-valued index Theorem 1.

We shall show that the quantity, $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})$, takes an integer value only. From the anticommutation relation (4.3), one has the expression,

$$D_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathfrak{D}_a^* \\ \mathfrak{D}_a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.7}$$

in the basis which diagonalizes $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ as

$$\gamma^{(2n+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, $\mathfrak{D}_a$ is a unitary operator because $(D_a)^2 = 1$.

Note that

$$D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathfrak{D}_a^* \\ \mathfrak{D}_a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_{\mathrm{F}} & 0 \\ 0 & P_{\mathrm{F}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathfrak{D}_a^* \\ \mathfrak{D}_a & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{D}_a P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, one has

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a & 0 \\ 0 & -(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a^*) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting this into the right-hand side of (4.1), we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} (P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a)^{2n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & -(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a^*)^{2n+1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.8}$$

Combining the above argument with the commutation relations (4.6), one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a)^{2n+1} \\ &\qquad = \dim \ker (P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1) - \dim \ker (P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a + 1) \end{aligned}$$

on the subspace. Since one has

$$-1 \leq P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a \leq 1,$$

one obtains that

$$(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a)\varphi = \varphi$$

if and only if $(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}})\varphi = 0$ and $P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a P_{\mathrm{F}} \varphi = 0$. Therefore, the following relation is valid: [4]

$$\ker (P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1) = \ker \mathfrak{T},$$

where $\mathfrak{T}$ is the Fredholm operator given by

$$\mathfrak{T} = P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a P_{\mathrm{F}} + (1 - P_{\mathrm{F}}).$$

Similarly, one obtains

$$\ker (P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a + 1) = \mathfrak{D}_a^* \ker \mathfrak{T}^*$$

by using the fact that $\mathfrak{D}_a$ is a unitary operator. In consequence, these observations yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a)^{2n+1} \\ &\quad = \dim \ker (P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1) - \dim \ker (P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a + 1) \\ &\qquad\qquad = \dim \ker \mathfrak{T} - \dim \ker \mathfrak{T}^* \end{aligned}$$

on the subspace. Similarly, one has

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a^*)^{2n+1} = \dim\ker \mathfrak{T}^* - \dim\ker \mathfrak{T}.$$

Substituting these into the expression (4.8) of the index, we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) = \dim\ker \mathfrak{T} - \dim\ker \mathfrak{T}^*$$

Thus, the index, $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})$, is equal to the index of the Fredholm operator $\mathfrak{T}$.

Next, we show that the index, $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})$, is independent of the location of a of the Dirac operator D_a. We write

$$\mathfrak{T}' = P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_{a'} P_{\mathrm{F}} + (1 - P_{\mathrm{F}})$$

with $a' \neq a$. Then, one has

$$\mathfrak{T}' - \mathfrak{T} = P_{\mathrm{F}} (\mathfrak{D}_{a'} - \mathfrak{D}_a) P_{\mathrm{F}}.$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{a'} - \mathfrak{D}_a$ is compact. Actually, as is well known, if the difference between two Fredholm operators is compact, then the two Fredholm operators give the same value of the index. From the definition (3.3) of the Dirac operator D_a, one has

$$\text{all the matrix elements of } [D_{a'}(x) - D_a(x)] \sim \frac{1}{|x-a|}$$

for a large $|x-a|$. On the other hand, the $(2,1)$-component of the matrix representation (4.7) for the Dirac operator D_a is written as

$$\mathfrak{D}_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1 \end{pmatrix} D_a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in terms of the two vectors. Clearly, one has

$$\mathfrak{D}_{a'} - \mathfrak{D}_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1 \end{pmatrix} (D_{a'} - D_a) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These observations imply that $(\mathfrak{D}_{a'} - \mathfrak{D}_a)^{2n+1}$ is trace class, and hence $\mathfrak{D}_{a'} - \mathfrak{D}_a$ is compact. Consequently, the index is independent of the location a.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof that the integer-valued index is continuous with respect to the norm of any perturbations. We write

$$\mathfrak{A} = P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a.$$

If the eigenvalues of A change continuously under a continuous variation of the parameters of the Hamiltonian H, then the difference, dim ker $(\mathfrak{A}-1)$ − dim ker $(\mathfrak{A}+1)$, must be invariant under the deformation of the Hamiltonian. Namely, we have two possibilities: (i) Some eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{A}$ are lifted from the sector spanned by the eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{A}$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$; (ii) some eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{A}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ become degenerate with the eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{A}$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$. In both cases, due to the map B, the same number of the corresponding eigenvectors with the opposite value $-\lambda$ of eigenvalue are simultaneously lifted from or become degenerate with the sector spanned by the eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{A}$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda = -1$. Therefore, the difference, dim ker $(\mathfrak{A} - 1)$ − dim ker $(\mathfrak{A} + 1)$, of the dimensions between the two sectors with $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = -1$ is invariant

under perturbations. In consequence, it is enough to prove the continuity of the eigenvalues of the operator A under deformation of the Hamiltonian [53, 35], in order to establish that the integer-valued index is robust against generic perturbations. This is proved in Sec. 7.

4.2. **Topological Invariant.** In this section, we show that the index defined by (4.1) is equal to the topological invariant, i.e., the Chern number. By using the anticommutation relation (4.3) and $(\gamma^{(2n+1)})^2 = 1$, the index can be written as

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}. \tag{4.9}$$

For the purpose of the present section, we introduce an approximate index as

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; R) := \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}\chi_R^a,$$

where χ_R^a is the cutoff function given by

$$\chi_R^a(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & |x-a| \le R; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

with a large positive R. Since the operator $(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}$ is trace class, one has

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) = \lim_{R\nearrow\infty} \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; R).$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a d-dimensional rectangular region whose center of gravity is the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Further, we introduce

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Omega, R) := \frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}\chi_R^a, \tag{4.11}$$

where $|\Omega|$ denotes the volume of the region Ω, and v is the usual Lebesgue measure. Since the index is independent of a as we showed in the above, we have

$$\lim_{R\nearrow\infty} \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Omega, R) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}).$$

Clearly,

$$\lim_{\Omega\nearrow\mathbb{R}^d}\lim_{R\nearrow\infty} \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Omega, R) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}).$$

The order of this double limit is interchangeable as:

Lemma 6.

$$\lim_{R\nearrow\infty}\lim_{\Omega\nearrow\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Omega, R) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}).$$

The proof is given in Appendix C.

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a finite lattice such that Λ satisfies $\Lambda \subset \Omega$ and $|\Lambda| = |\Omega|$, where $|\Lambda|$ denotes the number of the sites in the lattice Λ. We introduce another approximate index as

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Lambda, R) := \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}\chi_R^a\chi_\Lambda, \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$\chi_\Lambda(u) := \begin{cases} 1, & u \in \Lambda; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, we stress that the integral with respect to a over $\mathbb{R}^d$ is well defined because of the two cutoff function χ_R^a and χ_Λ. Then, we have:

Lemma 7. *For any fixed R, the following two limits coincide with each other as*

$$\lim_{\Omega \nearrow \mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Omega, R) = \lim_{\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Lambda, R) \tag{4.13}$$

in the sense of the accumulation points.

The proof is given in Appendix D.

Since χ_Λ is trace class, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Lambda, R) &= \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\, \mathrm{Tr}\, \gamma^{(2n+1)} (P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1} \chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ \gamma^{(2n+1)} P_{\mathrm{F}} (P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n} \chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ \gamma^{(2n+1)} D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a (P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n} \chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The second term in the right-hand side is written

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ \gamma^{(2n+1)} D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a (P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n} \chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ \gamma^{(2n+1)} D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} (P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n} D_a \chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ D_a \gamma^{(2n+1)} D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} (P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n} \chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda \\ &= -\frac{1}{2|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ \gamma^{(2n+1)} P_{\mathrm{F}} (P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n} \chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$D_a (P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a) = -(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a) D_a,$$

the property of the trace, the anticommutation relation (4.3), and $D_a^2 = 1$. Therefore, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Lambda, R) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ \gamma^{(2n+1)} P_{\mathrm{F}} (P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n} \chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda. \tag{4.14}$$

Here, we stress the following: Since the operator $(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n}$ may not be trace class, we need the cutoff χ_R^a. Instead of the cutoff function, an assumption of strong disorder about localization length was required in [45].

In order to handle the right-hand side of (4.14), we introduce the complete orthonormal system of wavefunctions ζ_u^α which are defined by

$$\zeta_u^\alpha := \chi_{\{u\}} \otimes \Phi^\alpha, \quad \text{for} \ \ u \in \mathbb{Z}^d,\ \alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, d_{\mathrm{s}}, \tag{4.15}$$

where $\chi_{\{u\}}$ is the characteristic function of the single lattice site u, i.e.,

$$\chi_{\{u\}}(u') := \begin{cases} 1, & u = u'; \\ 0, & u \neq u', \end{cases}$$

and the wavefunctions Φ^α for the degree of freedom for spin or orbital are an orthonormal basis whose dimension of the Hilbert space is given by $d_{\rm s}$. Note that

$$P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a = [P_{\rm F}, D_a] D_a = -D_a [P_{\rm F}, D_a].$$

By using this identity, $D_a^2 = 1$ and the identity (B.7) in Appendix B, the right-hand side of the index of (4.14) is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.16)\quad & \widetilde{\rm Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\rm F}; \Lambda, R) \\
&= \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\, {\rm Tr}\, \gamma^{(2n+1)} P_{\rm F} (P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)^{2n} \chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\, {\rm Tr}\, \gamma^{(2n+1)} P_{\rm F} [P_{\rm F}, D_a]^{2n} \chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a) \sum_{u_1,\dots,u_{2n+1}} \sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2n+1}} \langle \zeta_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1}}, P_{\rm F} \zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1} \rangle \\
&\times \langle \zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1}, P_{\rm F} \zeta_{u_2}^{\alpha_2} \rangle \cdots \langle \zeta_{u_{2n}}^{\alpha_{2n}}, P_{\rm F} \zeta_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1}} \rangle \chi_R^a(u_{2n+1}) \chi_\Lambda(u_{2n+1}) \\
&\times {\rm tr}^{(\gamma)} \gamma^{(2n+1)} \left(\frac{u_1 - a}{|u_1 - a|} - \frac{u_2 - a}{|u_2 - a|} \right) \cdot \gamma \\
&\times \left(\frac{u_2 - a}{|u_2 - a|} - \frac{u_3 - a}{|u_3 - a|} \right) \cdot \gamma \cdots \left(\frac{u_{2n} - a}{|u_{2n} - a|} - \frac{u_{2n+1} - a}{|u_{2n+1} - a|} \right) \cdot \gamma,
\end{aligned}$$

where the trace with respect to the gamma matrices is denoted by ${\rm tr}^{(\gamma)}$.

We write

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.17)\quad \mathfrak{T}^{(\gamma)} = {\rm tr}^{(\gamma)} \gamma^{(2n+1)} & \left(\frac{u_1 - a}{|u_1 - a|} - \frac{u_2 - a}{|u_2 - a|} \right) \cdot \gamma \left(\frac{u_2 - a}{|u_2 - a|} - \frac{u_3 - a}{|u_3 - a|} \right) \cdot \gamma \\
& \cdots \left(\frac{u_{2n} - a}{|u_{2n} - a|} - \frac{u_{2n+1} - a}{|u_{2n+1} - a|} \right) \cdot \gamma
\end{aligned}$$

for short. Following [45], we calculate this quantity, $\mathfrak{T}^{(\gamma)}$ in the expression (4.16) of the index. To begin with, let us list useful identities for the gamma matrices:[46]

- ${\rm tr}^{(\gamma)} \gamma^{(j_1)} \gamma^{(j_2)} \cdots \gamma^{(j_{2m+1})} = 0$ if $m < n$;
- ${\rm tr}^{(\gamma)} \gamma^{(\sigma_1)} \gamma^{(\sigma_2)} \cdots \gamma^{(\sigma_{2n+1})} = (-1)(-2i)^n (-1)^\sigma$ for the permutation,

$$(4.18)\qquad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1 \\ \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using these identities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}^{(\gamma)} &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1}(-1)^{\ell-1}\mathrm{tr}^{(\gamma)}\gamma^{(2n+1)}\frac{u_1-a}{|u_1-a|}\cdot\gamma\cdots\underline{\frac{u_\ell-a}{|u_\ell-a|}}\cdot\gamma\cdots\frac{u_{2n+1}-a}{|u_{2n+1}-a|}\cdot\gamma \\ &= (-2i)^n\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1}(-1)^\ell\sum_\sigma(-1)^\sigma\frac{u_1^{(\sigma_1)}-a^{(\sigma_1)}}{|u_1-a|}\frac{u_2^{(\sigma_2)}-a^{(\sigma_2)}}{|u_2-a|} \\ &\qquad\cdots\frac{u_{\ell-1}^{(\sigma_{\ell-1})}-a^{(\sigma_{\ell-1})}}{|u_{\ell-1}-a|}\frac{u_{\ell+1}^{(\sigma_\ell)}-a^{(\sigma_\ell)}}{|u_{\ell+1}-a|}\cdots\frac{u_{2n+1}^{(\sigma_{2n})}-a^{(\sigma_{2n})}}{|u_{2n}-a|},\end{aligned}$$

where the underline means that the factor is omitted, and the permutation σ is given by (4.18). The determinant of the matrix which consists of the $2n$ vectors, $u_1-a,\cdots,\underline{u_\ell-a},\cdots,u_{2n+1}-a$, in the right-hand side is written

$$\begin{aligned}&\det\left(\frac{u_1-a}{|u_1-a|},\cdots,\underline{\frac{u_\ell-a}{|u_\ell-a|}},\cdots,\frac{u_{2n+1}-a}{|u_{2n+1}-a|}\right) \\ &=\sum_\sigma(-1)^\sigma\frac{u_1^{(\sigma_1)}-a^{(\sigma_1)}}{|u_1-a|}\cdots\frac{u_{\ell-1}^{(\sigma_{\ell-1})}-a^{(\sigma_{\ell-1})}}{|u_{\ell-1}-a|}\frac{u_{\ell+1}^{(\sigma_\ell)}-a^{(\sigma_\ell)}}{|u_{\ell+1}-a|}\cdots\frac{u_{2n+1}^{(\sigma_{2n})}-a^{(\sigma_{2n})}}{|u_{2n+1}-a|}.\end{aligned}$$

According to [63], the determinant is equal to $(2n)!$ times the oriented volume of the simplex,

$$\left[0,\frac{u_1-a}{|u_1-a|},\cdots,\underline{\frac{u_\ell-a}{|u_\ell-a|}},\cdots,\frac{u_{2n+1}-a}{|u_{2n+1}-a|}\right].$$

Therefore, the quantity, $\mathcal{T}^{(\gamma)}$, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{T}^{(\gamma)} \\ &=(-2i)^n(2n)!\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1}(-1)^\ell\mathrm{Vol}\left[0,\frac{u_1-a}{|u_1-a|},\cdots,\underline{\frac{u_\ell-a}{|u_\ell-a|}},\cdots,\frac{u_{2n+1}-a}{|u_{2n+1}-a|}\right],\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Vol}[\cdots]$ denotes the oriented volume of the simplex. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Vol}\left[0,\frac{u_1-a}{|u_1-a|},\cdots,\underline{\frac{u_\ell-a}{|u_\ell-a|}},\cdots,\frac{u_{2n+1}-a}{|u_{2n+1}-a|}\right] \\ &=\mathrm{Vol}\left[a,a+\frac{u_1-a}{|u_1-a|},\cdots,\underline{a+\frac{u_\ell-a}{|u_\ell-a|}},\cdots,a+\frac{u_{2n+1}-a}{|u_{2n+1}-a|}\right] \\ &=(-1)^{\ell-1}\mathrm{Vol}\left[a+\frac{u_1-a}{|u_1-a|},\cdots,a,\cdots,a+\frac{u_{2n+1}-a}{|u_{2n+1}-a|}\right],\end{aligned}$$

where a is located at the ℓ-th position. We write

$$\mathfrak{S}_\ell(a)=\left[a+\frac{u_1-a}{|u_1-a|},\cdots,a,\cdots,a+\frac{u_{2n+1}-a}{|u_{2n+1}-a|}\right]$$

for the simplex in the above right-hand side. By using these relations, $\mathfrak{T}^{(\gamma)}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathfrak{T}^{(\gamma)} = -(-2i)^n (2n)! \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_\ell(a)] \tag{4.19}$$

in terms of the volume of the simplex $\mathfrak{S}_\ell(a)$. In order to calculate the right-hand side, we introduce the simplex,

$$\mathfrak{S} := [u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2n+1}].$$

If $a \in \mathfrak{S}$, then the orientation of the simplex $\mathfrak{S}_\ell(a)$ is the same as that of $\mathfrak{S}$. We write $\mathfrak{B}(a)$ for the unit ball centered at a, and define the intersection $\mathfrak{B}_\ell(a)$ between the ball and a large simplex as

$$\mathfrak{B}_\ell(a) := \lim_{L \nearrow \infty} \mathfrak{B}(a) \cap \left[a + L\frac{u_1 - a}{|u_1 - a|}, \cdots, a, \cdots, a + L\frac{u_{2n+1} - a}{|u_{2n+1} - a|} \right].$$

Here, we define the orientation of $\mathfrak{B}_\ell(a)$ by the orientation of the simplex in the right-hand side.

Fix u_{2n+1}, and consider

$$\mathfrak{I} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a) \chi_R^a(u_{2n+1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_\ell(a)]. \tag{4.20}$$

We decompose this into two parts as

$$\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_1 + \mathfrak{I}_2$$

with

$$\mathfrak{I}_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a) \chi_R^a(u_{2n+1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} \{\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_\ell(a)] - \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{B}_\ell(a)]\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{I}_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a) \chi_R^a(u_{2n+1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{B}_\ell(a)].$$

From (4.17), (4.19), and (4.20), the index of (4.16) can be decomposed into two parts as

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Lambda, R) = -(2i)^n (2n)! (\mathfrak{L}_1 + \mathfrak{L}_2) \tag{4.21}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{L}_j = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{u_1, \ldots, u_{2n+1}} \sum_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2n+1}} \langle \zeta_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1}}, P_{\mathrm{F}} \zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1} \rangle \langle \zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1}, P_{\mathrm{F}} \zeta_{u_2}^{\alpha_2} \rangle \cdots \langle \zeta_{u_{2n}}^{\alpha_{2n}}, P_{\mathrm{F}} \zeta_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1}} \rangle \chi_\Lambda(u_{2n+1}) \mathfrak{I}_j \tag{4.22}$$

for $j = 1, 2$.

Consider first the contribution of $\mathfrak{L}_2$. As shown in [45], the following holds:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{B}_\ell(a)] = \begin{cases} \pi^n/n! & \text{if } a \text{ inside } \mathfrak{S}; \\ 0 & \text{if } a \text{ outside } \mathfrak{S}. \end{cases}$$

Here, $\pi^n/n!$ is the volume of the $2n$-dimensional unit ball. Therefore, one has

$$\mathfrak{I}_2 = \frac{\pi^n}{n!}\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}^{(R)}],$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}^{(R)} := \mathfrak{S} \cap \{u| \, |u - u_{2n+1}| \leq R\}.$$

This implies that the contribution of $\mathfrak{L}_2$ in the index can be shown to converge to some value in the limit $R \nearrow \infty$ in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 6. In particular, the correction is exponentially small in a finite large R.

Next, we estimate the contribution of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ in the index of (4.21) for a large R. Let us consider $\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_\ell(a)] - \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{B}_\ell(a)]$. Let a^* be the inversion of a relative to the center of the facet $u_1, \ldots, \underline{u_\ell}, \ldots, u_{2n+1}$ of the simplex $\mathfrak{S}$. Then, the oriented volume $\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_\ell(a^*)] - \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{B}_\ell(a^*)]$ has the opposite sign to that of $\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_\ell(a)] - \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{B}_\ell(a)]$. Therefore, these two contributions cancel out each other in the integral of $\mathfrak{I}_1$ if both a and a^* are inside the cutoff region $\{u| \, |u - u_{2n+1}| \leq R\}$. We can find s and s' such that $0 < s < s' < 1$, and that a^* is inside the cutoff region $\{u| \, |u - u_{2n+1}| \leq R\}$ for u_i satisfying $|u_i - u_{2n+1}| \leq sR$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \underline{\ell}, \ldots, 2n$, and for a satisfying $|a - u_{2n+1}| \leq s'R$. If some u_i satisfies $|u_i - u_{2n+1}| \geq sR$, then the corresponding contribution can be estimated in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 6, and the correction is exponentially small in a finite large R. Therefore, it is sufficient to treat the case that a satisfies $|a - u_{2n+1}| \geq s'R$.

Let us consider the triangle which consists of three points, a, u_i and u_j. We write $r = |a - u_{2n+1}|$, and assume $r = |a - u_{2n+1}| \geq s'R$ with the above positive constant s'. Further, from the above observation, we assume that $|u_i - u_j| = o(R)$, where $o(\cdots)$ denotes the small order. We write $\theta = \angle(u_i, a, u_j)$ for the angle at the vertex a in the triangle. For a large R, the angle θ behaves as

$$\theta \sim \frac{|u_i - u_j|}{r}.$$

Therefore, as in [45], one can show

$$|\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_\ell(a)] - \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{B}_\ell(a)]| \leq \mathrm{Const.} \left[\frac{\max_{i,j}\{|u_i - u_j|\}}{r}\right]^{2n+1}.$$

When integrating the upper bound with respect to a over the cutoff region, the integrated value does not necessarily converge to a finite value in the limit $R \nearrow \infty$ because $|u_i - u_j|$ may tend to infinity as r goes to infinity. But, since the resolvent decays exponentially in a large distance, one has

$$\left|\langle \zeta_u^\alpha, P_{\mathrm{F}} \zeta_v^\beta \rangle\right| \leq \mathrm{Const.} e^{-\kappa|u-v|} \tag{4.23}$$

with a positive constant κ. Clearly, the following bound holds:

$$|u_i - u_j| \exp[-\kappa'|u_i - u_j|] \leq \mathrm{Const.}$$

for a positive constant κ'. Therefore, one has

$$\int_{s'R\le r\le R} dv(a) \left\{ \frac{\max_{i,j}\{|u_i-u_j|\exp[-\kappa'|u_i-u_j|]\}}{r} \right\}^{2n+1}$$
$$\le \text{Const.} \int_{s'R}^{\infty} dr r^{2n-1} \frac{1}{r^{2n+1}} \le \frac{\text{Const.}}{R}.$$

Combining these observations with the argument in the proof of Lemma 6, the contribution of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ in the index can be estimated as

$$|\mathfrak{L}_1| \le \frac{\text{Const.}}{R}. \tag{4.24}$$

The constant in the right-hand side does not depend on the lattice Λ. Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{R\nearrow\infty} \widetilde{\text{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\text{F}}; \Lambda, R) \\ &\quad = -\frac{(2\pi i)^n (2n)!}{n!|\Lambda|} \sum_{u_1,\dots,u_{2n}} \sum_{u_{2n+1}\in\Lambda} \sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2n+1}} \langle \zeta^{\alpha_{2n+1}}_{u_{2n+1}}, P_{\text{F}} \zeta^{\alpha_1}_{u_1} \rangle \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \langle \zeta^{\alpha_1}_{u_1}, P_{\text{F}} \zeta^{\alpha_2}_{u_2} \rangle \cdots \langle \zeta^{\alpha_{2n}}_{u_{2n}}, P_{\text{F}} \zeta^{\alpha_{2n+1}}_{u_{2n+1}} \rangle \text{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

From the above argument, (4.16) and (4.19), a reader might think that the index $\widetilde{\text{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\text{F}}; \Lambda, R)$ is vanishing in the limit $R \nearrow \infty$ because $\text{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_j(a)]$ and $\text{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_j(a^*)]$ cancel out each other in the limit $R \nearrow \infty$. But one has

$$\text{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_j(a)] \sim \frac{1}{r^{2n-1}} \quad \text{for a large} \quad r = \min_{\ell\neq j}\{|u_\ell - a|\}.$$

Immediately,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a) \chi^a_R(u_{2n+1}) \text{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_j(a)] \sim \int_{R_0}^{R} dr r^{2n-1} \frac{1}{r^{2n-1}} = R - R_0$$

with some positive constant R_0. Thus, the expression (4.16) of the index is ill defined without the cutoff function χ^a_R. Namely, the result strongly depends on how to sum up the sequence. In order to avoid this difficulty, we have introduced the cutoff function χ^a_R.

We write

$$\tilde{I}(\Lambda, R) = \widetilde{\text{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\text{F}}; \Lambda, R)$$

and

$$\tilde{I}(\Lambda, \infty) = \lim_{R\nearrow\infty} \widetilde{\text{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\text{F}}; \Lambda, R)$$

for short. Then, the above result (4.24) implies

$$|\tilde{I}(\Lambda, R) - \tilde{I}(\Lambda, \infty)| \le \frac{\text{Const.}}{R} \tag{4.26}$$

for a large R, where the constant in the right-hands side does not depend on the lattice Λ. Further, we obtain:

Lemma 8.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) &= \lim_{R\nearrow\infty}\lim_{\Lambda\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^d} \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Lambda, R) \\ &= \lim_{\Lambda\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^d}\lim_{R\nearrow\infty} \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Lambda, R).\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Proof. The first equality of (4.27) follows from Lemmas 6 and 7.

In order to prove the second equality, we write

$$I(\Omega, R) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Omega, R)$$

for short. For any given small $\epsilon > 0$, any large Λ, and any large Ω, we can find a large $R > 0$ such that

$$|\tilde{I}(\Lambda, R) - \tilde{I}(\Lambda, \infty)| \le \frac{\text{Const.}}{R} < \frac{1}{3}\epsilon$$

from (4.26), and that

$$|I(\Omega, R) - \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})| \le \frac{\text{Const.}}{R} < \frac{1}{3}\epsilon$$

from (C.3) and the estimate for the correction in Appendix C. For a fixed R satisfying these conditions, we can find a large Λ and a large Ω such that

$$|\tilde{I}(\Lambda, R) - I(\Omega, R)| < \frac{1}{3}\epsilon$$

as in the proof of Lemma 7 in Appendix D. From these inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{I}(\Lambda, \infty) - \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})| \le |\tilde{I}(\Lambda, \infty) - \tilde{I}(\Lambda, R)| + |\tilde{I}(\Lambda, R) - I(\Omega, R)| \\ + |I(\Omega, R) - \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})| \le \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

This implies the second equality. □

From this lemma, the index $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})$ can be derived from taking the limit $\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ for the expression of the right-hand side of (4.25). The volume $\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}]$ of the simplex in the expression is written

(4.28)

$$\begin{aligned}(2n)!\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}] &= \det[u_1 - u_{2n+1}, u_2 - u_{2n+1}, \ldots, u_{2n} - u_{2n+1}] \\ &= \det[u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2n}] \\ &\qquad - \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n} \det[u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{\ell-1}, u_{2n+1}, u_{\ell+1}, \ldots, u_{2n}] \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n} (-1)^{\ell-1} \det[u_1, u_2, \ldots, \underline{u_\ell}, \ldots, u_{2n+1}] \\ &= \det[u_1 - u_2, u_2 - u_3, \ldots, u_{2n-1} - u_{2n}, u_{2n} - u_{2n+1}] \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma} \left(u_1^{(\sigma_1)} - u_2^{(\sigma_2)}\right)\left(u_2^{(\sigma_2)} - u_3^{(\sigma_3)}\right)\cdots\left(u_{2n}^{(\sigma_{2n})} - u_{2n+1}^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}\right).\end{aligned}$$

In order to rewrite the right-hand side of (4.25), we define the position operator $X = (X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(2n)})$ by

$$(X^{(\ell)}\varphi)_\alpha(x) = x^{(\ell)}\varphi_\alpha(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{4.29}$$

where $\varphi_\alpha \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M)$ is a wavefunction. Combining this with the above observation, we have:

Theorem 9.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) \\ = -\frac{(2\pi i)^n}{n!} \lim_{\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \mathrm{Tr}\, \chi_\Lambda P_{\mathrm{F}} [X^{(\sigma_1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}] \cdots [X^{(\sigma_{2n})}, P_{\mathrm{F}}].\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

The j-th component of the position operator X is approximated by

$$\hat{X}^{(j)} := \sum_{a^{(j)}=-\tilde{L}+1}^{\tilde{L}} \vartheta_a^{(j)} - \tilde{L},$$

with a large integer $\tilde{L} > 0$, where $\vartheta_a^{(j)}$ is given by (3.5). Substituting this into the expression of the index, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) \\ = -\frac{(2\pi i)^n}{n!} \lim_{\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{a^{(1)}} \cdots \sum_{a^{(2n)}} \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \mathrm{Tr}\, \chi_\Lambda P_{\mathrm{F}} [\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}] \\ \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_{2n})}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

because the matrix elements of P_{F} decay exponentially in a large distance.

We define an index as

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) := -\frac{(2\pi i)^n}{n!} \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \mathrm{Tr}\, P_{\mathrm{F}} [\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_{2n})}, P_{\mathrm{F}}].$$

We obtain:

Theorem 10.

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}).$$

The proof is given in Appendix E.

In order to derive a useful expression of the index $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})$, we express the projection P_{F} onto the Fermi sea in terms of the contour integral as

$$P_{\mathrm{F}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \frac{1}{z - H}.$$

Then, the commutator in the index $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})$ is

$$[\vartheta_a^{(j)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \left[\vartheta_a^{(j)} \frac{1}{z - H} - \frac{1}{z - H} \vartheta_a^{(j)} \right].$$

The integrand in the right-hand side is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_a^{(j)}\frac{1}{z-H}-\frac{1}{z-H}\vartheta_a^{(j)}&\\ =\frac{1}{z-H}(z-H)\vartheta_a^{(j)}\frac{1}{z-H}-\frac{1}{z-H}\vartheta_a^{(j)}(z-H)\frac{1}{z-H}&\\ =-\frac{1}{z-H}[H,\vartheta_a^{(j)}]\frac{1}{z-H}.&\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one has

$$[\vartheta_a^{(j)},P_{\rm F}]=\frac{i}{2\pi i}\oint dz\frac{1}{z-H}J_a^{(j)}\frac{1}{z-H},$$

where $J_a^{(j)}$ is the current operator which is given by (3.4). By using the expression for the commutator, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&{\rm Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a,P_{\rm F})\\ &=\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n!(2\pi i)^n}\sum_\sigma(-1)^\sigma\oint dz_1\oint dz_2\cdots\oint dz_{2n}{\rm Tr}\ P_{\rm F}\frac{1}{z_1-H}J_a^{(\sigma_1)}\frac{1}{z_1-H}\\ &\qquad\times\frac{1}{z_2-H}J_a^{(\sigma_2)}\frac{1}{z_2-H}\cdots\frac{1}{z_{2n}-H}J_a^{(\sigma_{2n})}\frac{1}{z_{2n}-H}.\end{aligned}$$

This right-hand side is nothing but the generalized Chern number in the noncommutative index Theorem 1.

It is often useful to express the Chern number in terms of finite-volume quantities, and hence we want to approximate this right-hand side by the operators on the finite-volume lattice Λ. We assume that the corresponding finite system with the periodic boundary condition has a spectral gap or a localization regime between the upper and lower bands, too. Then, relying on the locality of the current operator $J_a^{(j)}$ and on the exponential decay of the resolvent $(z-H)^{-1}$, the above right-hand side can be approximated by the corresponding finite systems on the finite lattice Λ. We write $\varphi_{\pm,j}$ for the energy eigenvector of the corresponding finite-volume Hamiltonian with the eigenvalue $E_{\pm,j}$, where the subscript $\pm$ denotes the index for the upper and lower bands, respectively. Consequently, we obtain the relation between the index and the topological invariant:

$$\begin{aligned}(4.32)\quad&{\rm Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a,P_{\rm F})\\ &=\frac{(-1)^{n-1}(2\pi i)^n}{n!}\lim_{\Lambda\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^{2n}}\sum_\sigma(-1)^\sigma\sum_{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_{2n}}\frac{\langle\varphi_{-,j_1},J_a^{(\sigma_1)}\varphi_{+,j_2}\rangle}{E_{-,j_1}-E_{+,j_2}}\\ &\times\frac{\langle\varphi_{+,j_2},J_a^{(\sigma_2)}\varphi_{-,j_3}\rangle}{E_{-,j_3}-E_{+,j_2}}\cdots\frac{\langle\varphi_{-,j_{2n-1}},J_a^{(\sigma_{2n-1})}\varphi_{+,j_{2n}}\rangle}{E_{-,j_{2n-1}}-E_{+,j_{2n}}}\frac{\langle\varphi_{+,j_{2n}},J_a^{(\sigma_{2n})}\varphi_{-,j_1}\rangle}{E_{-,j_1}-E_{+,j_{2n}}}.\end{aligned}$$

This is an extension of the Hall conductance formula which leads to the Chern number in two dimensions [33].

4.3. **Translationally Invariant Systems in Even Dimensions.** As an example, let us consider a translationally invariant Hamiltonian H_Λ on the hypercubic box Λ with the side length L. The energy eigenvectors of the

Hamiltonian H_Λ can be written as $\varphi_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}$ with the energy eigenvalue $E_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}$ in terms of the momentum $\mathbf{k} := (k^{(1)}, k^{(2)}, \dots, k^{(2n)})$. We write

$$J^{(j)} := \sum_{a_j} J_a^{(j)}.$$

Then, the index of (4.32) is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) \\
&\quad = \lim_{\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^{2n}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}(2\pi i)^n}{n! L^{2n}} \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\langle \varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(\sigma_1)} \varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}} - E_{+,\mathbf{k}}} \\
&\times \frac{\langle \varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(\sigma_2)} \varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}} - E_{+,\mathbf{k}}} \cdots \frac{\langle \varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(\sigma_{2n-1})} \varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}} - E_{+,\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\langle \varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(\sigma_{2n})} \varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}} - E_{+,\mathbf{k}}} \\
&\quad = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} i^n}{n! (2\pi)^n} \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \int dk_1 dk_2 \cdots dk_{2n} \frac{\langle \varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(\sigma_1)} \varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}} - E_{+,\mathbf{k}}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\langle \varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(\sigma_2)} \varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}} - E_{+,\mathbf{k}}} \cdots \frac{\langle \varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(\sigma_{2n-1})} \varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}} - E_{+,\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\langle \varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(\sigma_{2n})} \varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}} - E_{+,\mathbf{k}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

As usual, we write $H(\mathbf{k})$ for the Fourier transform of the Hamiltonian, and

$$P_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \frac{1}{z - H(\mathbf{k})}$$

for the projection onto the Fermi sea with the momentum $\mathbf{k}$. Then, one has

$$\langle \varphi_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(j)} \varphi_{\mp,\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{\varphi}_{\mp,\mathbf{k}} \rangle,$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}$ is an eigenvector of $H(\mathbf{k})$, and

$$J^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{\partial}{\partial k^{(j)}} H(\mathbf{k}).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}, \frac{\partial P_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(j)}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\mp,\mathbf{k}} \Big\rangle &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \Big\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}, \frac{1}{z - H(\mathbf{k})} J^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}) \frac{1}{z - H(\mathbf{k})} \tilde{\varphi}_{\mp,\mathbf{k}} \Big\rangle \\
&= -\frac{\Big\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}, J^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{\varphi}_{\mp,\mathbf{k}} \Big\rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}} - E_{+,\mathbf{k}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From these observations, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) \\
&\quad = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} i^n}{n! (2\pi)^n} \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \int dk^{(1)} dk^{(2)} \cdots dk^{(2n)} \ \mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathbf{k}) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \frac{\partial P_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(\sigma_1)}} \frac{\partial P_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(\sigma_2)}} \cdots \frac{\partial P_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(\sigma_{2n})}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

More concretely, let us consider the Hamiltonian H which is given by [48]

$$(H\varphi)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{t_{\rm s}^{(j)}}{2i}[\varphi(x+e^{(j)}) - \varphi(x-e^{(j)})]\gamma^{(j)} + \Big\{m_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{t_{\rm c}^{(j)}}{2}[\varphi(x+e^{(j)}) + \varphi(x-e^{(j)})]\Big\}\gamma^{(2n+1)},$$

where $t_{\rm s}^{(j)}$, $t_{\rm c}^{(j)}$ and m_0 are real constants, $e^{(j)}$ are the unit vectors in the j-th direction, and $\gamma^{(j)}$ are the gamma matrices. The Fourier transform is

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = \gamma \cdot \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \gamma^{(i)} \mathcal{E}^{(i)}(\mathbf{k}),$$

where we have written

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k}) := (\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}), \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}), \dots, \mathcal{E}^{(2n+1)}(\mathbf{k}))$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}) = t_{\rm s}^{(j)} \sin k^{(j)} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n,$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^{(2n+1)}(\mathbf{k}) = m_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} t_{\rm c}^{(j)} \cos k^{(j)}.$$

From the properties of the gamma matrices, one has

$$H(\mathbf{k})^2 = |\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})|^2,$$

where

$$|\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} [\mathcal{E}^{(i)}(\mathbf{k})]^2}.$$

Therefore, if $|\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})|$ is nonvanishing for all $\mathbf{k}$, then there appears a nonvanishing spectral gap between the upper and lower bands. In the following, we consider such a situation.

The projection onto the lower band can be written as

$$P_{\rm F}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}\Big[1 - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})|}\gamma \cdot \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})\Big].$$

We define the unit vector $n(\mathbf{k})$ with the j-th component,

$$n^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})|}\mathcal{E}^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}),$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1$. Substituting these into the right-hand side of (4.33) and using the properties of the gamma matrices, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a, P_{\rm F}) &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}(2n)!}{n! 2^{2n+1}\pi^n} \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{\tau} \int dk^{(1)} dk^{(2)} \cdots dk^{(2n)}\ n^{(\tau_1)}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &\qquad \times \frac{\partial n^{(\tau_2)}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(1)}} \frac{\partial n^{(\tau_3)}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(2)}} \cdots \frac{\partial n^{(\tau_{2n+1})}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(2n)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, the quantity in the right-hand side is equal to the surface area, $\left|\mathbb{S}^{2n}\right|$, of $2n$-dimensional unit sphere, $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$, multiplied by the winding number $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ as

$$\sum_{\tau}(-1)^{\tau}\int dk^{(1)}dk^{(2)}\cdots dk^{(2n)}\; n^{(\tau_1)}(\mathbf{k}) \times \frac{\partial n^{(\tau_2)}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(1)}}\frac{\partial n^{(\tau_3)}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(2)}}\cdots\frac{\partial n^{(\tau_{2n+1})}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(2n)}} = \nu\left|\mathbb{S}^{2n}\right|.$$

Since the surface area is given by

$$\left|\mathbb{S}^{2n}\right| = \frac{n!2^{2n+1}\pi^n}{(2n)!},$$

the index can be written in terms of the winding number ν as

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) = (-1)^{n-1}\nu \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

5. Chiral Symmetry in Odd Dimensions

5.1. **Chiral Index.** Consider the Hamiltonian H of (2.1) on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with odd dimensions, $d = 2n+1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. In the present section, we assume that the Hamiltonian H is chiral symmetric with respect to a chiral operator S. Then, the condition (2.5) for chiral symmetry implies that, if a positive energy, $E > 0$, is in the spectrum of the Hamiltonian H, then the corresponding negative energy, $-E$, is also in the spectrum of H. Therefore, the upper energy bands are mapped to the lower energy bands by the chiral operator S.

As mentioned in Sec. 2.2, we deal with the following two cases: (i) There is a spectral gap between the upper and lower bands in the spectrum of the chiral symmetric Hamiltonian H. (ii) When the Fermi level $E_{\mathrm{F}} = 0$ lies in a localization regime, $E_{\mathrm{F}} = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of the chiral Hamiltonian H with probability one with respect to configurations of the random quantities of the system. In such a system, the matrix elements of the projection P_{F} onto the Fermi sea exponentially decay in large distance as (4.23).

In both of the situations, we can define the projections onto the upper and lower bands, and write, respectively, $P_{\pm}$ for the two projections. Using the projections, we introduce a unitary operator,

$$U := P_+ - P_-. \tag{5.1}$$

Clearly, one has $U^* = U$ and $U^2 = 1$. Further, one has

$$SUS = -U \tag{5.2}$$

by the chiral condition (2.5) between the Hamiltonian H and the chiral operator S.

In the present case, we set

$$\gamma := (\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(2n+1)}).$$

We define the Dirac operator as

$$D_a(x) := \frac{1}{|x-a|}(x-a)\cdot\gamma,$$

where $x = (x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(2n+1)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}$ and $a = (a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(2n+1)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \mathbb{Z}^d$. For simplicity, we assume that the Dirac operator D_a commutes with the chiral operator S, i.e., $[S, D_a] = 0$. Actually, when the chiral operator S is written in a direct sum as $S = \bigoplus_i S_i$ with a period on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$, where the operator S_i acts on a local state on the i-th unit cell, all of the states on some cells can be identified with an internal degree of freedom at a single site of a lattice. Therefore, the chiral operator S can be taken to be independent of the lattice site for the lattice. This implies $[S, D_a] = 0$. Further, we define a projection operator,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} := \frac{1}{2}(1 + D_a),$$

by relying on the property $D_a^2 = 1$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then, the operator,

$$\left[(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U)^2\right]^{\epsilon} =: (\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U)^{2\epsilon},$$

is well defined because $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U$ is self adjoint. We define the chiral index by

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U) := \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ S(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U)^{2n+1+2\epsilon}.$$

First, we show that the index is well defined, and takes the value of integer in Theorem 4. Note that one has

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U = U[U, \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}] = \frac{1}{2}U[U, D_a] = -U[P_-, D_a]$$

from the definitions of U and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}$ and $U^2 = 1$. Therefore, one can show that the operator $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U)^{2n+1+2\epsilon}$ is of trace class for $\epsilon > 0$ in the same way as in Appendix B. Similarly to the case of even dimensions, we set

$$A = S(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U) \quad \text{and} \quad B = S(1 - \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U).$$

Then, one has [4]

$$A^2 + B^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad AB + BA = 0,$$

and

$$[S, A] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [S, B] = 0$$

from (5.2), $S^2 = 1$ and $[S, \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}] = 0$. Further, we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ A^{2n+1+2\epsilon}.$$

In the same way as in the case of even dimensions, if an eigenvalue λ of the operator A satisfies $0 < |\lambda| < 1$, then λ and $-\lambda$ come in pairs with the same multiplicity. Therefore, these eigenvalues are vanishing for taking the trace. In consequence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U)\\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\dim\ker\left[S(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U) - 1\right] - \dim\ker\left[S(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U) + 1\right]\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

This is equal to the integer-valued index of the noncommutative index Theorem 4.

Next, let us show that the chiral index takes a value of integer. From the relation (5.2), one has the expression,

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{U}^* \\ \mathcal{U} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.3}$$

in the basis which diagonalizes the chiral operator S as

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, one has

$$\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - \mathcal{U}^*\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - \mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.4}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\ S(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)^{2n+1+2\epsilon} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\ \begin{pmatrix} (\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - \mathcal{U}^*\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U})^{2n+1+2\epsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & -(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - \mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}^*)^{2n+1+2\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in the same way as in Section 4.1, we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U) = \dim \ker \mathfrak{T}_\chi - \dim \ker \mathfrak{T}_\chi^*, \tag{5.5}$$

where the Fredholm operator is given by

$$\mathfrak{T}_\chi := \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} + 1 - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}.$$

Thus, the chiral index is independent of the parameter ϵ. In the following, we write $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U)$ for the chiral index by dropping the superscript ϵ.

The chiral index $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U)$ is independent of the location of a of the Dirac operator D_a, too. In order to show this fact, we write

$$\mathfrak{T}'_\chi = \mathcal{P}'_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} + (1 - \mathcal{P}'_{\rm D})$$

with the projection,

$$\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + D_{a'}).$$

Since

$$\mathfrak{T}'_\chi = \mathfrak{T}_\chi + (\mathfrak{T}'_\chi - \mathfrak{T}_\chi),$$

it is sufficient to show that $\mathfrak{T}'_\chi - \mathfrak{T}_\chi$ is compact. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{T}'_\chi - \mathfrak{T}_\chi &= \mathcal{P}'_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} + (1 - \mathcal{P}'_{\rm D}) - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - (1 - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}) \\ &= \mathcal{P}'_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} + \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - (\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}) \\ &= (\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D})\mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} + \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}) - (\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}$ is compact. By definition, one has

$$\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D} = \frac{1}{2}(D_{a'} - D_a) \sim \mathrm{Const.}\frac{1}{|x - a'|} \times \{\text{gamma matrix}\}$$

for a large $|x - a'|$. This implies that $(\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D})^{2n+2}$ is trace class. Consequently, $\mathcal{P}'_{\rm D} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}$ is compact.

5.2. **Topological Invariant.** In order to obtain the expression of the chiral index in terms of the topological invariant, we introduce an approximate index as

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U; R) := \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ S(\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)^{2n+1+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a,$$

where the cutoff function χ_R^a is given by (4.10). Clearly, one has

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U) = \lim_{R\nearrow\infty} \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U; R).$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U = U[U, \mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}] = -[U, \mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}]U$$

and

$$[U, \mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}] = \frac{1}{2}[U, D_a] = [P_+, D_a].$$

Combining theses with $U^2 = 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)^2 &= -[U, \mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}][U, \mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}] \\ &= (i[U, \mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}])^2 \\ &= (i[P_+, D_a])^2.\end{aligned}$$

By using these relations, we obtain

(5.6)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U; R) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ S(\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)(\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)^{2n+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SU[P_+, D_a](i[P_+, D_a])^{2n+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a.\end{aligned}$$

Further, we introduce

$$I_\pm(\epsilon, R) := \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+2+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a.$$

Since the operator $(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+2}$ is trace class, this is very tractable. Actually, the following relations are valid:

$$\lim_{R\nearrow\infty} I_\pm(\epsilon, R) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+2+2\epsilon}$$

and

(5.7)

$$\lim_{R\nearrow\infty}\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} I_\pm(\epsilon, R) = \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\lim_{R\nearrow\infty} I_\pm(\epsilon, R) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+2}.$$

Further,

$$I_+(\epsilon, R) = I_-(\epsilon, R) \tag{5.8}$$

from $P_+ = 1 - P_-$.

Let us show

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U; R) = I_\pm(\epsilon, R). \tag{5.9}$$

Since χ_R^a is trace class, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\pm(\epsilon,R) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+1+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SD_aP_\pm(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+1+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SP_\pm D_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+1+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $D_a^2 = 1$. The first term in the right-hand side is written

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\ SD_aP_\pm(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+1+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a = \\ &\qquad - \mathrm{Tr}\ SP_\pm D_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+1+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $[S, D_a] = 0$, the property of the trace, and

$$D_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a) = -(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)D_a.$$

Therefore, one has

$$I_\pm(\epsilon,R) = -\mathrm{Tr}\ SP_\pm D_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+1+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a.$$

In the same way as the above, one has

$$(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^2 = (i[P_\pm, D_a])^2$$

and

$$D_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a) = -[P_\pm, D_a].$$

From these observations, we obtain

$$I_\pm(\epsilon,R) = \mathrm{Tr}\ SP_\pm[P_\pm, D_a](i[P_\pm, D_a])^{2n+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a.$$

From this, (5.6), (5.8) and $P_- = 1 - P_+$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_+(\epsilon,R) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[I_+(\epsilon,R) + I_-(\epsilon,R)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SP_+[P_+, D_a](i[P_+, D_a])^{2n+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SP_-[P_-, D_a](i[P_-, D_a])^{2n+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SP_+[P_+, D_a](i[P_+, D_a])^{2n+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SP_-[P_+, D_a](i[P_+, D_a])^{2n+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ S(P_+ - P_-)[P_+, D_a](i[P_+, D_a])^{2n+2\epsilon}\chi_R^a \\ &= \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U; R). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

This is the desired result (5.9) because of (5.8).

We write

$$I_\pm(R) := \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} I_\pm(\epsilon,R) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+2}\chi_R^a.$$

We introduce two approximate indices as

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Omega, R) := \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ I_\pm(R)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda, R) := \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+2}\chi_R^a\chi_\Lambda. \tag{5.11}$$

Since the chiral index is independent of the local a, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{R\nearrow\infty}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Omega, R) &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ \lim_{R\nearrow\infty}\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} I_\pm(\epsilon, R)\\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\lim_{R\nearrow\infty} I_\pm(\epsilon, R)\\ &= \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U)\\ &= \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.7) and (5.9). Clearly,

$$\lim_{\Omega\nearrow\mathbb{R}^d}\lim_{R\nearrow\infty}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U, \Omega, R) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U).$$

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 6:

Lemma 11.

$$\lim_{R\nearrow\infty}\lim_{\Omega\nearrow\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U, \Omega, R) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ I_\pm(R) &= \frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+2}\chi_R^a\\ &= \frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+2}\\ &+ \frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+2}(\chi_R^a - 1).\end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right-hand side is written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_aP_\pm D_a)^{2n+2}\\ &= \frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\lim_{R\nearrow\infty} I_\pm(\epsilon, R)\\ &= \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_\Omega dv(a)\ \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1,\epsilon)}(D_a, S, U)\\ &= \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.7) and (5.9), and the fact that the chiral index is independent of the local a. The absolute value of the integrand in the second term can be bounded by Const./R uniformly with respect to a in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 18 in Appendix C. Thus, the second term is vanishing in the double limit, and the desired relation is obtained. □

Further, we can obtain an analogue of Lemma 7 in the same way as follows:

Lemma 12. *For any fixed R, the following two limits coincide with each other as*

$$\lim_{\Omega \nearrow \mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U, \Omega, R) = \lim_{\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U, \Lambda, R).$$

in the sense of the accumulation points.

By replacing the cutoff function χ_R^a with $\chi_R^a \chi_\Lambda$ in the derivation of (5.10), a similar relation is obtained as

$$\mathrm{Tr}\ SD_a(P_\pm - D_a P_\pm D_a)^{2n+2}\chi_R^a\chi_\Lambda = (-1)^{n-1}\mathrm{Tr}\ SU([P_-, D_a])^{2n+1}\chi_R^a\chi_\Lambda.$$

By using this relation, the approximate index of (5.11) can be written

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda, R) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2|\Lambda|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\ SU([P_-, D_a])^{2n+1}\chi_R^a\chi_\Lambda. \tag{5.12}$$

Here, we stress that, since the operator $([P_-, D_a])^{2n+1}$ in the right-hand side may not be trace class, we need the cutoff function χ_R^a. In [46], the corresponding cutoff function was not introduced in their proof of Theorem 4.3 in [46].

By using the basis (4.15) and (B.7) in Appendix B, the above approximate index (5.12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda, R) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{2|\Lambda|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a) \sum_{u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_{2n+1},u_{2n+2}} \sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_{2n+1},\alpha_{2n+2}} \langle \zeta_{u_{2n+2}}^{\alpha_{2n+2}}, SU\zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1}\rangle \\
&\times \langle \zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1}, P_-\zeta_{u_2}^{\alpha_2}\rangle\langle \zeta_{u_2}^{\alpha_2}, P_-\zeta_{u_3}^{\alpha_3}\rangle \cdots \langle \zeta_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1}} P_-\zeta_{u_{2n+2}}^{\alpha_{2n+2}}\rangle \chi_R^a(u_{2n+2})\chi_\Lambda(u_{2n+2})\mathfrak{T}_\chi^{(\gamma)},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{T}_\chi^{(\gamma)} := \mathrm{tr}^{(\gamma)} &\left(\frac{u_1 - a}{|u_1 - a|} - \frac{u_2 - a}{|u_2 - a|}\right)\cdot\gamma\left(\frac{u_2 - a}{|u_2 - a|} - \frac{u_3 - a}{|u_3 - a|}\right)\cdot\gamma \\
&\times \left(\frac{u_{2n} - a}{|u_{2n} - a|} - \frac{u_{2n+1} - a}{|u_{2n+1} - a|}\right)\cdot\gamma\left(\frac{u_{2n+1} - a}{|u_{2n+1} - a|} - \frac{u_{2n+2} - a}{|u_{2n+2} - a|}\right)\cdot\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

From the properties of the gamma matrices and the same argument as in Section 4.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{T}_\chi^{(\gamma)} &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n+2}(-1)^j \mathrm{tr}^{(\gamma)} \frac{u_1 - a}{|u_1 - a|}\cdot\gamma\cdots\underline{\frac{u_j - a}{|u_j - a|}\cdot\gamma}\cdots\frac{u_{2n+2} - a}{|u_{2n+2} - a|}\cdot\gamma \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{2n+2}(-1)^{j-1}(-2i)^n\sum_\sigma(-1)^\sigma \frac{u_1^{(\sigma_1)} - a^{(\sigma_1)}}{|u_1 - a|}\cdots\underline{\frac{u_j - a}{|u_j - a|}} \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdots\frac{u_{2n+2}^{(\sigma_{2n+1})} - a^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}}{|u_{2n+2} - a|} \\
&= (-2i)^n(2n+1)!\sum_{j=1}^{2n+2}\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}_j(a)],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}_j(a) = \left[a + \frac{u_1 - a}{|u_1 - a|}, \cdots, a, \cdots, a + \frac{u_{2n+2} - a}{|u_{2n+2} - a|} \right].$$

In the same way as in the calculations in the case of the even dimensions, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a) \chi_R^a(u_{2n+2}) \mathfrak{I}_\chi^{(\gamma)} \sim (-2i)^n (2n+1)! \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{B}^{(2n+1)}] \mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}]$$

for a large R in the expression (5.13) of the chiral index. Here, $\mathfrak{B}^{(2n+1)}$ is the $(2n+1)$-dimensional unit ball whose volume is given by

$$\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{B}^{(2n+1)}] = \frac{2^{n+1}\pi^n}{(2n+1)!!}$$

and $\mathfrak{S}$ is the simplex $[u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{2n+2}]$ whose volume is given by

$$\mathrm{Vol}[\mathfrak{S}] = \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} \det[u_1 - u_{2n+2}, u_2 - u_{2n+2}, \cdots, u_{2n+1} - u_{2n+2}].$$

We write

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda) := \lim_{R \nearrow \infty} \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda, R).$$

From the these observations, the chiral index (5.13) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (5.14)\quad & \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda) \\ & = \frac{2^{2n}(\pi i)^n}{(2n+1)!!|\Lambda|} \sum_{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}} \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{2n+1}, \alpha_{2n+2}} \langle \zeta_{u_{2n+2}}^{\alpha_{2n+2}}, SU \zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1} \rangle \\ & \times \langle \zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1}, P_- \zeta_{u_2}^{\alpha_2} \rangle \langle \zeta_{u_2}^{\alpha_2}, P_- \zeta_{u_3}^{\alpha_3} \rangle \cdots \langle \zeta_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1}} P_- \zeta_{u_{2n+2}}^{\alpha_{2n+2}} \rangle \chi_\Lambda(u_{2n+2}) \\ & \times \det[u_1 - u_{2n+2}, u_2 - u_{2n+2}, \cdots, u_{2n+1} - u_{2n+2}]. \end{aligned}$$

For the same reason as in the case of the even dimensions, we have

$$(5.15)\quad \left| \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda, R) - \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda) \right| \le \frac{\mathrm{Const.}}{R}$$

for a large R, where the constant in the right-hand side is independent of the lattice Λ.

Lemma 13.

$$\begin{aligned} (5.16)\quad \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U) &= \lim_{R \nearrow \infty} \lim_{\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda, R) \\ &= \lim_{\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \lim_{R \nearrow \infty} \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda, R). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equality follows from Lemmas 11 and 12.

Let us prove the second equality. Let ε be a small positive number. From the proof of Lemma 11 and the above inequality (5.15), there exists a large R such that

$$\left| \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Omega, R) - \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U) \right| \le \frac{\mathrm{Const.}}{R} \le \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$$

for any large Ω, and that

$$(5.17)\quad \left| \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda, R) - \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U; \Lambda) \right| \le \frac{\mathrm{Const.}}{R} \le \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$$

for any large Λ. For a fixed R satisfying these conditions, we can find a large Ω and a large Λ such that

$$\left|\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U;\Omega,R)-\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U;\Lambda,R)\right|\le\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$$

from Lemma 12. Combining these three inequalities, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U)-\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U;\Lambda)\right|\\&\le\left|\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U)-\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U;\Omega,R)\right|\\&+\left|\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U;\Omega,R)-\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U;\Lambda,R)\right|\\&+\left|\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U;\Lambda,R)-\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U;\Lambda)\right|\le\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the second equality holds. □

By definition, the second equality of (5.16) implies

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U)=\lim_{\Lambda\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^d}\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U,\Lambda).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to calculate the right-hand side of (5.14) for deriving the expression of the chiral index in terms of the topological invariant, i.e., a winding number. The determinant in the right-hand side of (5.14) can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}&\det[u_1-u_{2n+2},u_2-u_{2n+2},\cdots,u_{2n+1}-u_{2n+2}]\\&\quad=\sum_\sigma(-1)^\sigma(u_1^{(\sigma_1)}-u_2^{(\sigma_2)})(u_2^{(\sigma_2)}-u_3^{(\sigma_3)})\cdots(u_{2n+1}^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}-u_{2n+2}^{(\sigma_{2n+2})})\end{aligned}$$

in the same way as in (4.28). Substituting this into the right-hand side of (5.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U,\Lambda)\\&\qquad=\frac{2^{2n}(\pi i)^n}{(2n+1)!!|\Lambda|}\sum_\sigma\mathrm{Tr}\ \chi_\Lambda SU[X^{(\sigma_1)},P_-]\cdots[X^{(\sigma_{2n+1})},P_-],\end{aligned}$$

where the position operator $X=(X^{(1)},\dots,X^{(2n+1)})$ is given by (4.29) with replacing the spatial dimension $d=2n$ by $(2n+1)$.

Theorem 14. *The chiral index can be expressed as*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U)\\&\quad=\frac{2^{2n}(\pi i)^n}{(2n+1)!!}\sum_\sigma(-1)^\sigma\mathrm{Tr}\ SU[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_1)},P_-][\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_{2n+1})},P_-].\end{aligned}\tag{5.18}$$

The proof is slightly different from that of Theorem 10. The details are given in Appendix F.

In the following, we write

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(\vartheta_a,S,U)=\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a,S,U).$$

Remark. It is instructive to note the following: As an example, let us consider a one-dimensional finite system. Then, all of the operators on the corresponding Hilbert space are a finite dimensional matrix. Therefore, the chiral index can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ind}^{(1)}(\vartheta_a, S, U) &= \mathrm{Tr}\ SU[\vartheta_a, P_-] \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\ S(P_+ - P_-)(\vartheta_a P_- - P_-\vartheta_a) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\ P_-S(P_+ - P_-)\vartheta_a + \mathrm{Tr}\ SP_-\vartheta_a \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\ SP_+\vartheta_a + \mathrm{Tr}\ SP_-\vartheta_a = \mathrm{Tr}\ S\vartheta_a = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the property of the trace, the property of the chiral operator S and $P_-S = SP_+$. Namely, the chiral index is always vanishing for a finite system. In other words, this is nothing but a consequence of the well-known fact that the index of a Fredholm operator on a finite-dimensional Banach space is vanishing. Thus, the expression (5.18) of the chiral index is not necessarily convenient to numerically compute the value of the index.

In order to derive a useful expression of the above chiral index, we express the projection P_- onto the lower band in terms of the contour integral as

$$P_- = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz \frac{1}{z - H}.$$

In the same way as in the case of even dimensions, one has

$$[\vartheta_a^{(j)}, P_-] = \frac{i}{2\pi i}\oint dz \frac{1}{z-H} J_a^{(j)} \frac{1}{z-H},$$

where $J_a^{(j)} := i[H, \theta_\ell]$ is the local current operator. Substituting this into the expression (5.18) of the chiral index, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(\vartheta_a, S, U) \\ &= \frac{i^n}{(2n+1)!! \cdot 2 \cdot \pi^{n+1}} \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \oint dz_1 \oint dz_2 \cdots \oint dz_{2n+1} \mathrm{Tr}\ SU \frac{1}{z_1 - H} \\ &\quad \times J_a^{(\sigma_1)} \frac{1}{z_1 - H} \frac{1}{z_2 - H} J_a^{(\sigma_2)} \frac{1}{z_2 - H} \cdots \frac{1}{z_{2n+1} - H} J_a^{(\sigma_{2n+1})} \frac{1}{z_{2n+1} - H}.\end{aligned}$$

This right-hand side is nothing but the generalized Chern number in Theorem 4.

In the same way as in the case of even dimensions, we want to approximate the above right-hand side by the operators on the finite-volume lattice Λ. We assume that the corresponding finite system with the periodic boundary condition has a spectral gap or a localization regime between the upper and lower bands. Then, relying on the locality of the current operator $J_a^{(j)}$ and on the exponential decay of the resolvent $(z - H)^{-1}$, the above right-hand side can be approximated by the corresponding finite systems on the finite lattice Λ. We write $\varphi_{\pm,j}$ for the energy eigenvector of the corresponding finite-volume Hamiltonian with the eigenvalue $E_{\pm,j}$, where the subscript $\pm$ denotes the index for the upper and lower bands, respectively. Consequently,

we obtain the relation between the index and the topological invariant:

$$\begin{aligned}(5.19)\quad \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(\vartheta_a,S,U) &= \frac{2^{2n}i^{3n+1}\pi^n}{(2n+1)!!}\\ &\times \lim_{\Lambda\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}}\sum_{\sigma}(-1)^{\sigma}\sum_{j_0,j_1,\dots,j_{2n+1}}\Bigg\{\langle\varphi_{-,j_0},S\varphi_{+,j_1}\rangle\frac{\langle\varphi_{+,j_1},J_a^{(\sigma_1)}\varphi_{-,j_2}\rangle}{E_{-,j_2}-E_{+,j_1}}\\ &\times\frac{\langle\varphi_{-,j_2},J_a^{(\sigma_2)}\varphi_{+,j_3}\rangle}{E_{-,j_2}-E_{+,j_3}}\cdots\frac{\langle\varphi_{-,j_{2n}},J_a^{(\sigma_{2n})}\varphi_{+,j_{2n+1}}\rangle}{E_{-,j_{2n}}-E_{+,j_{2n+1}}}\frac{\langle\varphi_{+,j_{2n+1}},J_a^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}\varphi_{-,j_0}\rangle}{E_{-,j_0}-E_{+,j_{2n+1}}}\\ &-\langle\varphi_{+,j_0},S\varphi_{-,j_1}\rangle\frac{\langle\varphi_{-,j_1},J_a^{(\sigma_1)}\varphi_{+,j_2}\rangle}{E_{-,j_1}-E_{+,j_2}}\frac{\langle\varphi_{+,j_2},J_a^{(\sigma_2)}\varphi_{-,j_3}\rangle}{E_{-,j_3}-E_{+,j_2}}\\ &\cdots\frac{\langle\varphi_{+,j_{2n}},J_a^{(\sigma_{2n})}\varphi_{-,j_{2n+1}}\rangle}{E_{-,j_{2n+1}}-E_{+,j_{2n}}}\frac{\langle\varphi_{-,j_{2n+1}},J_a^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}\varphi_{+,j_0}\rangle}{E_{-,j_{2n+1}}-E_{+,j_0}}\Bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

In one dimension ($n=0$), the right-hand side of the chiral index (5.19) coincides with the imaginary part of the linear response coefficient for the AC conductance except for the prefactor 2 as we show in Appendix G.

5.3. **Translationally Invariant Systems in Odd dimensions.** As an example, let us consider a translationally invariant Hamiltonian H_Λ on the hypercubic box Λ with the side length L. The energy eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian H_Λ can be written as $\varphi_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}$ with the energy eigenvalue $E_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}$ in terms of the momentum $\mathbf{k}:=(k^{(1)},k^{(2)},\dots,k^{(2n+1)})$. In the same way as in the case of even dimensions, the chiral index of (5.19) is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(\vartheta_a,S,U) &= \frac{i^{3n+1}}{(2n+1)!!\cdot 2\cdot\pi^{n+1}}\\ &\times\sum_{\sigma}(-1)^{\sigma}\oint dk^{(1)}\cdots dk^{(2n+1)}\Bigg\{\langle\varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}},S\varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}}\rangle\frac{\langle\varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}},J^{(\sigma_1)}\varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}}\rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}}-E_{+,\mathbf{k}}}\\ &\times\frac{\langle\varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}},J^{(\sigma_2)}\varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}}\rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}}-E_{+,\mathbf{k}}}\cdots\frac{\langle\varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}},J^{(\sigma_{2n})}\varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}}\rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}}-E_{+,\mathbf{k}}}\frac{\langle\varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}},J^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}\varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}}\rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}}-E_{+,\mathbf{k}}}\\ &-\langle\varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}},S\varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}}\rangle\frac{\langle\varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}},J^{(\sigma_1)}\varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}}\rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}}-E_{+,\mathbf{k}}}\frac{\langle\varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}},J^{(\sigma_2)}\varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}}\rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}}-E_{+,\mathbf{k}}}\\ &\cdots\frac{\langle\varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}},J^{(\sigma_{2n})}\varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}}\rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}}-E_{+,\mathbf{k}}}\frac{\langle\varphi_{-,\mathbf{k}},J^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}\varphi_{+,\mathbf{k}}\rangle}{E_{-,\mathbf{k}}-E_{+,\mathbf{k}}}\Bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

Further, this can be written

$$\begin{aligned}(5.20)\quad \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(\vartheta_a,S,U) &= \frac{-i^{3n+1}}{(2n+1)!!\cdot 2\cdot\pi^{n+1}}\\ &\times\sum_{\sigma}(-1)^{\sigma}\oint dk^{(1)}\cdots dk^{(2n+1)}\ \mathrm{Tr}\ S(1-2P_-(\mathbf{k}))\frac{\partial P_-(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(\sigma_1)}}\cdots\frac{\partial P_-(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}}\end{aligned}$$

in terms of the projection $P_-(\mathbf{k})$ onto the lower band in the momentum space.

More concretely, let us consider the Hamiltonian H which is given by

$$(H\varphi)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \frac{t_{\rm s}^{(j)}}{2i}[\varphi(x+e^{(j)}) - \varphi(x-e^{(j)})]\gamma^{(j)} + \Big\{m_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \frac{t_{\rm c}^{(j)}}{2}[\varphi(x+e^{(j)}) + \varphi(x-e^{(j)})]\Big\}\gamma^{(2n+2)},$$

where $t_{\rm s}^{(j)}$, $t_{\rm c}^{(j)}$ and m_0 are real constants, $e^{(j)}$ are the unit vectors in the j-th direction, and $\gamma^{(j)}$ are the gamma matrices. Clearly, this Hamiltonian H is chiral symmetric with respect to the chiral operator $S = \gamma^{(2n+3)}$. The three-dimensional model is given by the equation (82) of [54]. More generally, the models of this type are generally called odd dimensional Pauli-Dirac theory [61, 24]. As is well known, these exhibit a nontrivial topological invariant, winding number [41]. Recently, these models have been intensively investigated again in [30, 57, 54, 42, 46, 18].

The Fourier transform of the Hamiltonian H is given by

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = \gamma \cdot \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} \gamma^{(i)}\mathcal{E}^{(i)}(\mathbf{k}),$$

where we have written

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k}) := (\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}), \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}), \ldots, \mathcal{E}^{(2n+2)}(\mathbf{k}))$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}) = t_{\rm s}^{(j)} \sin k^{(j)} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1,$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^{(2n+2)}(\mathbf{k}) = m_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} t_{\rm c}^{(j)} \cos k^{(j)}.$$

From the properties of the gamma matrices, one has

$$H(\mathbf{k})^2 = |\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})|^2,$$

where

$$|\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} [\mathcal{E}^{(i)}(\mathbf{k})]^2}.$$

Therefore, if $|\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})|$ is nonvanishing for all $\mathbf{k}$, then there appears a nonvanishing spectral gap between the upper and lower bands. In the following, we consider such a situation.

The projection onto the lower band can be written as

$$P_-(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}\Big[1 - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})|}\gamma \cdot \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})\Big].$$

We define the unit vector $n(\mathbf{k})$ with the j-th component,

$$n^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})|}\mathcal{E}^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}),$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n+2$. Substituting these into the expression of the chiral index (5.20) and using the properties of the gamma matrices, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(\vartheta_a, S, U) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} n!}{2 \cdot \pi^{n+1}} \times \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{\tau} \oint dk^{(1)} \cdots dk^{(2n+1)}\ n^{(\tau_1)}(\mathbf{k})) \frac{\partial n^{(\tau_2)}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(1)}} \cdots \frac{\partial n^{(\tau_{2n+2})}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(2n+1)}}.$$

Since the quantity in the right-hand side is written

$$\sum_{\tau} (-1)^{\tau} \oint dk^{(1)} \cdots dk^{(2n+1)}\ n^{(\tau_1)}(\mathbf{k})) \frac{\partial n^{(\tau_2)}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(1)}} \cdots \frac{\partial n^{(\tau_{2n+2})}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k^{(2n+1)}} = \nu \left| \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \right|$$

in terms of the winding number ν for the $(2n+1)$-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(\vartheta_a, S, U) = (-1)^{n-1} \nu \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here, we have used that the surface area of $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ is given by

$$\left| \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \right| = \frac{2\pi^{n+1}}{n!}.$$

6. Periodic Table

In this section, we show that all of the indices in Table 1 can be explained by our noncommutative index theorems in Sec. 3.

6.1. **Signatures of Operators.** To begin with, we define the signatures of operators which we will use below.

We define a complex conjugation transformation K by

$$K\varphi = \overline{\varphi}$$

for the wavefunctions $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$. From the definition (A.1) of the time-reversal operator C_+, one has

$$C_+ K C_+ K \varphi = C_+ K C_+ \overline{\varphi} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell} \varphi, & n = 2\ell; \\ (-1)^{\ell-1} \varphi, & n = 2\ell - 1 \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

for a wavefunction φ, where $\ell \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. We define the signature of the operator $(C_+ K)^2$ by

$$\mathrm{sgn}(C_+ K)^2 = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell}, & n = 2\ell; \\ (-1)^{\ell-1}, & n = 2\ell - 1. \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

Similarly, for the other time-reversal operator C_-,

$$C_- K C_- K \varphi = C_- K C_- \overline{\varphi} = (-1)^{\ell} \varphi \tag{6.3}$$

for $n = 2\ell - 1$ or $n = 2\ell$. Therefore, the signature of $(C_- K)^2$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = (-1)^{\ell} \tag{6.4}$$

for $n = 2\ell - 1$ or $n = 2\ell$.

$d = 2n$	2	4	6	8
n	1	2	3	4
$\mathrm{sgn}(C_+K)^2$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$
$\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)})$	-1	$+1$	-1	$+1$
$\mathrm{sgn}_+(D_a)$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	-1
$\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a)$	-1	$+1$	-1	$+1$

TABLE 2. *The signatures of the operators related to the time-reversal transformations in even dimensions.*

Further, we define the signature of the gamma matrix $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$. For this purpose, consider

$$\begin{aligned} C_\pm K\gamma^{(2n+1)}\varphi &= C_\pm\overline{\gamma^{(2n+1)}}\overline{\varphi} \\ &= C_\pm\gamma^{(2n+1)}C_\pm C_\pm\overline{\varphi} \\ &= (-1)^n\gamma^{(2n+1)}C_\pm\overline{\varphi} \\ &= (-1)^n\gamma^{(2n+1)}C_\pm K\varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

where we have used $\overline{\gamma^{(2n+1)}} = \gamma^{(2n+1)}$ and (A.5) in Appendix A. By relying this relation, we define the signature of $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ by

$$\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = (-1)^n.$$

Thus, if $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = +1$, then $C_\pm K$ and $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ commute with each other, and for $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$, they anticommute with each other.

Further, in order to define the signatures of the Dirac operator D_a, we note

$$\begin{aligned} C_+KD_a\varphi &= C_+\overline{D_a}\overline{\varphi} \\ &= C_+\overline{D_a}C_+C_+K\varphi \\ &= (-1)^{n+1}D_aC_+K\varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where we have used (A.3). From this, we define

$$\mathrm{sgn}_+(D_a) = (-1)^{n+1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} C_-KD_a\varphi &= C_-\overline{D_a}\overline{\varphi} \\ &= C_-\overline{D_a}C_-K\varphi \\ &= (-1)^nD_aC_-K\varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

where we have used (A.4). Therefore, we define

$$\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = (-1)^n.$$

All these results are summarized in Table 2 in even dimensions, $d \leq 8$. Clearly, one can find the periodicity with the period 8, and hence it is enough to deal with the case of $d \leq 8$.

6.2. **Even Dimensions.** Consider first the case of even dimensions. To begin with, we recall the index of (4.1) as

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\; A^{2n+1} \tag{6.8}$$

which is written in terms of the operator,

$$A = \gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a).$$

6.2.1. *AI and AII Classes.* The Hamiltonian H of the models in these classes exhibits time-reversal symmetry only. Namely, it satisfies

$$\Theta(H\varphi) = H\varphi^{\Theta},$$

where Θ is the time-reversal transformation given by (2.2). First, we extend the time-reversal transformation Θ to that for the operator A as

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm} := C_{\pm}\Theta = C_{\pm}U^{\Theta}K.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2\varphi &= C_{\pm}U^{\Theta}KC_{\pm}U^{\Theta}K\varphi \\ &= U^{\Theta}C_{\pm}KC_{\pm}KKU^{\Theta}K\varphi \\ &= \mathrm{sgn}(C_{\pm}K)^2U^{\Theta}KU^{\Theta}K\varphi \\ &= \mathrm{sgn}(C_{\pm}K)^2\Theta^2\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (6.1), (6.2), (6.3) and (6.4). Therefore, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2\varphi = \mathrm{sgn}(C_{\pm}K)^2\Theta^2\varphi \tag{6.9}$$

for any function $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_\gamma})$, where d_γ is the dimension of the Hilbert space for the gamma matrices.

• **AII Class in Two Dimensions.** In this case, the Hamiltonian H has only odd time-reversal symmetry. We write $\Theta^2 = -1$ for the oddness for short. Clearly, from (6.9), we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = \mp 1 \tag{6.10}$$

in the present case.

First, we show that the integer-valued index of (6.8) is vanishing. Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be an eigenvalue of the operator A, i.e.,

$$A\varphi = \lambda\varphi$$

for an eigenvector $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_\gamma})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)\varphi \\ &= -\gamma^{(2n+1)}\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)\varphi = -A\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (6.5), (6.6) and

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}P_{\mathrm{F}}\varphi = P_{\mathrm{F}}\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi \tag{6.11}$$

derived from the time-reversal symmetry of the Hamiltonian H. This implies that $\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi$ is an eigenvector with the eigenvalue $-\lambda \neq 0$. This map is one

to one from (6.10). Combining this observation with the expression (6.8) of the index, we obtain that the index is vanishing, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) = 0$$

for AII class in two dimensions. Therefore, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\dim\ker\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1) &= \dim\ker\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a + 1) \\ &= \dim\ker\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a^* + 1) \\ &= \dim\ker\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a^* - 1)\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

from the argument for the index in Sec. 4.1.

Although there is no integer-valued index, the class has a non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$-valued index which is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ind}_2^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) := \frac{1}{2}\dim\ker\,(A-1) \text{ modulo } 2.$$

The right-hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\dim\ker\,(A-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\dim\ker\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1) + \dim\ker\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a^* + 1)\right] \\ &= \dim\ker\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the above relation (6.12). Therefore, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is written as

$$\mathrm{Ind}_2^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) = \dim\ker\,(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1) \text{ modulo } 2.$$

In the following, we will show that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is well defined, i.e., the even-oddness of the right-hand side is robust against generic perturbations.

For this purpose, we recall the operator,

$$B = \gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a),$$

and the relations [4] which A and B satisfy,

$$AB + BA = 0 \tag{6.13}$$

and

$$A^2 + B^2 = 1. \tag{6.14}$$

Following the argument of [4], we show that, if the eigenvalue λ of A satisfies $\lambda \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$, then λ and $-\lambda$ come in pairs of eigenvalues of A. Besides, their eigenvectors are mapped to each other by the operator B. Let φ be an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, i.e., $A\varphi = \lambda\varphi$. Then, the anticommutation relation (6.13) yields

$$AB\varphi = -BA\varphi = -\lambda B\varphi.$$

Similarly, from the second relation (6.14), one has

$$B^2\varphi = (1 - A^2)\varphi = (1 - \lambda^2)\varphi.$$

These imply that the operation B induces an invertible map from an eigenvector φ with eigenvalue $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ to that with $-\lambda$. Thus, there exists a

one-to-one correspondence between the vectors φ and $B\varphi$, and their eigenvalues come in pairs $\pm\lambda$, provided $0 < |\lambda| < 1$.

As shown above, the time-reversal transformation $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$ induces a similar map. However, from (6.5), the transformation $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$ anticommutes with $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ as

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0 \tag{6.15}$$

for any wavefunction φ. This implies that the transformation $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$ maps an eigenvector of $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ onto that with the different eigenvalue.

On the other hand, the operator B commutes with $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ as

$$[B, \gamma^{(2n+1)}] = 0. \tag{6.16}$$

Clearly, this implies that B maps an eigenvector of $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ onto that without changing the eigenvalue of $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$. Thus, we want to find a transformation such that the transformation shows a similar pairing property to B as shown above and commutes with $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$.

We show that the transformation $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a$ has the desired properties. Since the Dirac operator D_a anticommutes with $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ by definition, we have

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0 \tag{6.17}$$

for any wavefunction φ, where we have used the anticommutation relation (6.15) for $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$ and $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$.

Next, we show that the operation $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a$ maps an eigenvector φ of the operator A with eigenvalue λ onto that with $-\lambda$. By using the same argument as in the above, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)\varphi \\
&= -\tilde{\Theta}_\pm \gamma^{(2n+1)} D_a (P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)\varphi \\
&= \tilde{\Theta}_\pm \gamma^{(2n+1)} (P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) D_a \varphi \\
&= -A\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that, if φ be an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ, then $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi$ is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue $-\lambda$. Thus, the transformation $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a$ has the desired properties.

Further, the two transformations, $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a$ and B, commute with each other on the corresponding subspace as:

Lemma 15. *Let φ be an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ satisfying $0 < |\lambda| < 1$. Then,*

$$\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a B\varphi = B\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi.$$

Proof. To begin with, we show that the Dirac operator D_a anticommutes with B. This follows from explicit calculations as

$$\begin{aligned}
D_a B &= D_a \gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) \\
&= -\gamma^{(2n+1)} D_a (1 - P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) \\
&= -\gamma^{(2n+1)} (1 - P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) D_a = -B D_a,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $D_a^2 = 1$ and $\{D_a, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\} = 0$ which is derived from their definitions. Combining this, (6.15), (6.11), (6.6) and (6.7), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a B\varphi &= -\tilde{\Theta}_\pm B D_a \varphi \\ &= -\tilde{\Theta}_\pm \gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) D_a \varphi \\ &= B\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \varphi.\end{aligned}$$

□

Clearly, the two vectors, φ and $B\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi$, are eigenvectors of A with the same eigenvalue λ. Therefore, if these two vectors are linearly independent of each other, then the corresponding sector which is spanned by the two vectors is a two-dimensional subspace. In fact, we can prove the following lemma:

Lemma 16. *Let φ be an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ satisfying $0 < |\lambda| < 1$. Then,*

$$\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \varphi\rangle = 0.$$

Proof. In the same way as in the proof of the preceding lemma, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm B\varphi\rangle &= \mp\langle D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm B\varphi\rangle \\ &= \pm\langle D_a\varphi, B\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi\rangle \\ &= \mp\langle B\varphi, D_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi\rangle \\ &= -\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

where we have used (6.10) to obtain the first equality, and

$$\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi = \mathrm{sgn}_\pm(D_a) D_a \tilde{\Theta}_\pm \varphi \tag{6.19}$$

with $\mathrm{sgn}_\pm(D_a) = \pm 1$ for the fourth equality. The last relation (6.19) can be derived from (6.6) and (6.7).

On the other hand, one has

$$\langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\psi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi, \psi\rangle$$

for wavefunctions, ψ and φ, from the definitions of $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$. By using this relation, the above quantity in the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle.$$

Combining this with the above result, we obtain

$$\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle = 0.$$

□

In order to prove the existence of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index, we recall the expression of A as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} P_{\rm F} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\rm F}\mathfrak{D}_a & 0 \\ 0 & -(P_{\rm F} - \mathfrak{D}_a P_{\rm F}\mathfrak{D}_a^*)\end{pmatrix}$$

in the basis which diagonalizes $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$. We write

$$\mathfrak{A} := P_{\rm F} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\rm F}\mathfrak{D}_a$$

for short. Combining the above two lemma with commutativity, (6.16) and (6.17), we can conclude that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ of the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ must be even when λ satisfies $0 < |\lambda| < 1$. Therefore, if the eigenvalues of A change continuously under a continuous variation of the parameters of the Hamiltonian H, then the parity of the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ must be invariant under the deformation of the Hamiltonian. Thus, we have two possibilities: (i) An even number of eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{A}$ are lifted from the sector spanned by the eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{A}$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$; (ii) an even number of eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{A}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ become degenerate with the eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{A}$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$. In both cases, it is enough to prove the continuity of the eigenvalues of the operator A under deformation of the Hamiltonian [53, 35], in order to establish that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is robust against generic perturbations. This is proved in Sec. 7. Consequently, AII class in two dimensions has a nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **AI Class in Two Dimensions.** In this case, the Hamiltonian H has only even time-reversal symmetry. We write $\Theta^2 = +1$ for the evenness for short. From (6.9), we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \pm 1 \tag{6.20}$$

in the present case. Clearly, the difference between AI and AII classes is the even-oddness of the time-reversal transformation $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$. Therefore, in the proof of Lemma 16, the right-hand side in the last line of (6.18) becomes opposite sign in the case of AI class. This implies that the orthogonality between the corresponding two vectors does not generally holds. In consequence, AI class in two dimensions has no index.

• **AII Class in Four Dimensions.** In this case, we have $\Theta^2 = -1$. Combining this with (6.9), we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = +1.$$

From (6.5), one has

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_\pm A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_\pm \gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)\varphi \\ &= \gamma^{(2n+1)}\tilde{\Theta}_\pm(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)\varphi = A\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi\end{aligned}$$

in the same way as in the case of AII class in two dimensions. Clearly, this implies that, if φ is an eigenvector of A, then $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi$ is an eigenvector of A with the same eigenvalue. But this result does not give any information about the spectrum of A. Thus, AII class in four dimensions preserves an integer-valued index.

• **AI Class in Four Dimensions.** Since we have $\Theta^2 = +1$ in this case, we obtain

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = -1$$

from (6.9). In the same way as in the case of AII class in four dimensions, one has

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

and

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm} A\varphi = A\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi.$$

Therefore, if φ is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ, then $\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi$ is also an eigenvector of A with the same eigenvalue in the eigenspace of $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$. Further, we have

$$\langle(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle = -\langle\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle$$

from $(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = -1$. On the other hand, one has

$$\langle(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle.$$

These imply $\langle\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle = 0$. This is nothing but an analogue of the Kramers doublet. In consequence, the integer-valued index is always even for AI class in four dimensions

• **AII Class in Six Dimensions.** In the same way, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = \pm 1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$, and

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. In addition, $\mathrm{sgn}_{\pm}(D_a) = \pm 1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of AI class in two dimensions. Therefore, AII class in six dimensions has no index.

• **AI Class in Six Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = \mp 1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$, and

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. In addition, $\mathrm{sgn}_{\pm}(D_a) = \pm 1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of AII class in two dimensions. As a result, AI class in six dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **AII Class in Eight Dimensions.** In the same way, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = -1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$, and

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. These are the same as in the case of AI class in four dimensions. Therefore, the integer-valued index is always even, i.e., $2\mathbb{Z}$.

• **AI Class in Eight Dimensions.** Similarly, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$, and

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. These conditions are the same as in the case of AII in four dimensions. Thus, AI class in eight dimensions preserves an integer-valued index.

6.2.2. *C and D Classes.* The Hamiltonian H of these classes has particle-hole symmetry only. By the particle-hole transformation Ξ, it satisfies

$$\Xi(H\varphi) = -H\Xi\varphi = -H\varphi^{\Xi}$$

for any wavefunction φ. This yields

$$\Xi P_{\rm F}\varphi = (1 - P_{\rm F})\varphi^{\Xi}, \tag{6.21}$$

where we have chosen the Fermi level $E_{\rm F} = 0$.

To begin with, we extend Ξ to that for the operator A as

$$\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm} := C_{\pm}\Xi. \tag{6.22}$$

Then, we have

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm})^2\varphi = {\rm sgn}(C_{\pm}K)^2\Xi^2\varphi \tag{6.23}$$

for any wavefunction φ, in the same way as in the case of the time-reversal transformation. Further,

$$\{\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0 \quad \text{if} \ \ {\rm sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$$

and

$$[\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0 \quad \text{if} \ \ {\rm sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = +1$$

for any wavefunction φ. Clearly, similar commutation relations hold for the Dirac operator D_a, depending on ${\rm sgn}_{\pm}(D_a)$.

• **D Class in Two Dimensions.** The class D is characterized by the even particle-hole transformation Ξ satisfying $\Xi^2 = +1$. Therefore, one has

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm})^2 = \pm 1$$

in two dimensions, from the above formula (6.23). From ${\rm sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$,

$$\{\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0 \tag{6.24}$$

for any wavefunction φ. By using this, (6.21) and $D_a^2 = 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}A\varphi &= \tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_aP_{\rm F}D_a)\varphi \\
&= -\gamma^{(2n+1)}\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}(P_{\rm F} - D_aP_{\rm F}D_a)\varphi \\
&= \gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_aP_{\rm F}D_a)\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\varphi = A\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\varphi
\end{aligned}$$

for any wavefunction φ. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}D_aA\varphi &= \tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}D_a\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_aP_{\rm F}D_a)\varphi \\
&= -\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\gamma^{(2n+1)}D_a(P_{\rm F} - D_aP_{\rm F}D_a)\varphi \\
&= \tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_aP_{\rm F}D_a)D_a\varphi \\
&= A\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}D_a\varphi,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\{D_a, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\} = 0$ and $D_a^2 = 1$. This implies that, if φ is an eigenvector of A, then $\tilde{\Xi}_\pm D_a A\varphi$ is also an eigenvector of A with the same eigenvalue. Further, one has

$$[\tilde{\Xi}_\pm D_a, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ.

In order to check whether the two vectors, φ and $\tilde{\Xi}_\pm D_a A\varphi$, are independent of each other, consider the inner product, $\langle\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_\pm D_a A\varphi\rangle$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\tilde{\Xi}_\pm\tilde{\Xi}_\pm D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_\pm\varphi\rangle &= \pm\langle D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_\pm\varphi\rangle \\ &= \pm\langle\varphi, D_a\tilde{\Xi}_\pm\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $(\tilde{\Xi}_\pm)^2 = \pm 1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}_\pm(D_a) = \pm 1$.

On the other hand, one has

$$\langle\tilde{\Xi}_\pm\tilde{\Xi}_\pm D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_\pm\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle.$$

These observations imply that one cannot obtain any information about the spectrum of A from the symmetry. In consequence, D class in two dimensions preserves an integer-valued index.

• **C Class in Two Dimensions.** Since the class C is characterized by the odd particle-hole transformation Ξ satisfying $\Xi^2 = -1$, one has

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_\pm)^2 = \mp 1$$

in two dimensions, in the same way as in the preceding D class. This difference between C and D classes leads to the orthogonality,

$$\langle\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle = 0,$$

in the case of C class. Thus, the integer-valued index for C class in two dimensions is always even, i.e., $2\mathbb{Z}$.

• **D Class in Four Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_\pm)^2 = -1$$

and

$$[\tilde{\Xi}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0 \tag{6.25}$$

for any wavefunction φ. By using the second relation and (6.21), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Xi}_\pm A\varphi &= \tilde{\Xi}_\pm\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi \\ &= \gamma^{(2n+1)}\tilde{\Xi}_\pm(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi = -A\tilde{\Xi}_\pm\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the integer-valued index is vanishing.

In order to check whether the present class has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index, we recall the operator,

$$B = \gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a).$$

Then, by using (6.25) and (6.21), one has

$$\{\tilde{\Xi}_\pm, B\}\varphi = 0 \tag{6.26}$$

for any wavefunction φ.

Let us check the orthogonality between $\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\varphi$ and $B\varphi$. Note that

$$\langle\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}B\varphi\rangle = -\langle\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle,$$

where we have used $(\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm})^2 = -1$ and (6.26).

On the other hand,

$$\langle\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle.$$

Therefore, one cannot obtain any information about the spectrum of A from the symmetry in the present case. In consequence, D class in four dimensions has no index.

• **C Class in Four Dimensions.** The difference between D and C classes is even-oddness of the extended particle-hole transformation $\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}$. In the present C class, we have

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm})^2 = +1$$

which is opposite sign to that for D class. Therefore, the argument of the orthogonality between the two vectors, $\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\varphi$ and $B\varphi$, yields

$$\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle = 0$$

for the present C class. This implies that C class in four dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **D Class in Six Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm})^2 = \mp 1$$

and

$$\{\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0 \tag{6.27}$$

for any wavefunction φ. In addition, $\mathrm{sgn}_{\pm}(D_a) = \pm 1$. These conditions are all the same as in the case of C class in two dimensions. Therefore, the integer-valued index for D class in six dimensions is always even, i.e., $2\mathbb{Z}$.

• **C Class in Six Dimensions.** Similarly, in this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm})^2 = \pm 1$$

and

$$\{\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0 \tag{6.28}$$

for any wavefunction φ. In addition, $\mathrm{sgn}_{\pm}(D_a) = \pm 1$. These conditions are all the same as in the case of D class in two dimensions. In consequence, C class in six dimensions preserves an integer-valued index.

• **D Class in Eight Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm})^2 = +1$$

and

$$[\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0 \tag{6.29}$$

for any wavefunction φ. These two conditions are the same as in the case of C class in four dimensions. Therefore, D class in eight dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **C Class in Eight Dimensions.** Similarly, in this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm})^2 = -1$$

and

$$[\tilde{\Xi}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0 \tag{6.30}$$

for any wavefunction φ. These two conditions are the same as in the case of D class in four dimensions. Therefore, C class in eight dimensions has no index.

6.2.3. *Chiral Symmetry in Even Dimensions.* In even dimensions, the rest of the CAZ classes are BDI, CI, DIII and CII which have chiral symmetry. To begin with, we recall the properties of the chiral operator S. The operator S satisfies $S^* = S$ and $S^2 = 1$. The Hamiltonian H is transformed as

$$SHS = -H.$$

This yields

$$SP_{\mathrm{F}}S = 1 - P_{\mathrm{F}}, \tag{6.31}$$

where we have chosen the Fermi level $E_{\mathrm{F}} = 0$. Further, we have

$$[S, \gamma^{(2n+1)}] = 0.$$

From these relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} SA\varphi &= S\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi \\ &= \gamma^{(2n+1)} S(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi \\ &= -AS\varphi. \end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

This implies that the integer-valued index is always vanishing.

• **BDI Class in Two Dimensions.** In this case, from $\Theta^2 = +1$, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = \pm 1$$

and, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$,

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. Further, from the even-oddness of the particle-hole symmetry,

$$(S\Theta)^2 = +1.$$

This implies

$$S\Theta S\Theta\varphi = \varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ. Using $S^2 = 1$, one has

$$\Theta S\Theta S\varphi = S\varphi.$$

Further, from $\Theta^2 = +1$,

$$S\Theta\varphi = \Theta S\varphi.$$

Thus, S and Θ commutes with each other as

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0.$$

Therefore, by the definition of $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$, we have

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm]\varphi = 0.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_\pm A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_\pm \gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)\varphi \\ &= -\gamma^{(2n+1)}\tilde{\Theta}_\pm (P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)\varphi \\ &= -A\tilde{\Theta}_\pm \varphi.\end{aligned}$$

From this and $\{D_a, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\} = 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)\varphi \\ &= -\tilde{\Theta}_\pm \gamma^{(2n+1)} D_a (P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)\varphi \\ &= \tilde{\Theta}_\pm \gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) D_a\varphi \\ &= -A\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi.\end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

Further,

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0. \tag{6.34}$$

Thus, the two transformations, S and $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a$, commute with $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$, and map an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ onto that with eigenvalue $-\lambda$.

We recall the fact that the transformation, $B = \gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)$, commutes with $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$, and maps an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ satisfying $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ onto that with eigenvalue $-\lambda$. In order to determine the index of BDI class in two dimensions, we must deal with the three vectors, $S\varphi$, $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi$ and $B\varphi$.

Consider first the inner product $\langle S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle &= \pm\langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm S\varphi, D_a\varphi\rangle \\ &= \pm\langle S\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi, D_a\varphi\rangle \\ &= \pm\langle D_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi, S\varphi\rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi, S\varphi\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \pm 1$, $[S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm]\varphi = 0$ and $\mathrm{sgn}_\pm(D_a) = \pm 1$. On the other hand, the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle = \langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi, S\varphi\rangle.$$

These do not give any information about the spectrum of A.

Next, consider the inner product, $\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm B\varphi\rangle &= \pm\langle D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm B\varphi\rangle \\ &= \mp\langle D_a\varphi, B\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi\rangle \\ &= \pm\langle B\varphi, D_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi\rangle \\ &= \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \pm 1$, $\{B, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\}\varphi = 0$, $\{D_a, B\} = 0$ and $\mathrm{sgn}_\pm(D_a) = \pm 1$. On the other hand, the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \varphi\rangle.$$

These do not give any information, again.

Finally, consider the inner product $\langle S\varphi, B\varphi\rangle$. In order to treat this quantity, we want to find an anti-linear transformation $\tilde{\Sigma} := U^\Sigma K$ which satisfies the following three conditions with a unitary operator U^Σ:

$$(\tilde{\Sigma})^2 = +1,$$
$$[\tilde{\Sigma}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

and

$$\tilde{\Sigma} A\varphi = A\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ. Here, K is complex conjugation. Then, A and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ are simultaneously diagonalized as

$$A\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Sigma}\varphi = \varphi.$$

The proof is given in Appendix H.

We introduce a transformation,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_\pm := SD_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm,$$

which satisfies the above three conditions. Actually,

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\Sigma}_\pm)^2 &= SD_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm SD_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm \\ &= D_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm \\ &= \pm D_a^2(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = 1\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $[S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm]\varphi = 0$, $S^2 = 1$, $\mathrm{sgn}_\pm(D_a) = \pm 1$, $D_a^2 = 1$ and $(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \pm 1$. The second commutation relation follows from (6.34) and $[S, \gamma^{(2n+1)}] = 0$. The third condition follows from (6.32) and (6.33).

Note that

$$\langle \tilde{\Sigma}_\pm S\varphi, \tilde{\Sigma}_\pm B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, S\varphi\rangle.$$

The two vectors in the left-hand side can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Sigma}_\pm S\varphi &= SD_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm S\varphi \\ &= SD_aS\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi \\ &= S\tilde{\Sigma}_\pm\varphi = S\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $[S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm]\varphi = 0$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_\pm\varphi = \varphi$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Sigma}_\pm B\varphi &= \tilde{\Sigma}_\pm\gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)\varphi \\ &= \gamma^{(2n+1)}SD_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)\varphi \\ &= \gamma^{(2n+1)}S(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)D_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi \\ &= -\gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)\tilde{\Sigma}_\pm\varphi = -B\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $[\tilde{\Sigma}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$, (6.31) and $\tilde{\Sigma}_\pm\varphi = \varphi$. From these observations, we have

$$\langle \tilde{\Sigma}_\pm S\varphi, \tilde{\Sigma}_\pm B\varphi\rangle = -\langle S\varphi, B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, S\varphi\rangle,$$

where we have used $\{S, B\} = 0$. In consequence, we do not have any information about the spectrum of A, again. We conclude that BDI class in two dimensions has no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **CI Class in Two Dimensions.** Similarly to the preceding BDI class, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \pm 1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$, and

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$.

But, the even-oddness of the particle-hole symmetry implies

$$(S\Theta)^2 = -1$$

which is different from that of the preceding BDI class. Combining this, $S^2 = 1$ and $\Theta^2 = +1$, one has

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. Therefore, we have

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\}\varphi = 0$$

by the definition of $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$. This affects the calculation of the orthogonality between $S\varphi$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi$. As a result, a similar calculation to that in the preceding BDI class yields

$$\langle S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$S\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi = -\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a S\varphi$$

from the above anicommutativity between S and $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$. These results imply that dim ker $(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1)$ is even. Therefore, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing in CI class in two dimensions.

• **DIII Class in Two Dimensions.** In this case, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \mp 1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$, and

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$. The even-oddness of the particle-hole symmetry is

$$(S\Theta)^2 = +1.$$

Combining this, $\Theta^2 = -1$ and $S^2 = 1$, one has

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ.

Since the present class satisfies $(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \mp 1$ and $\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\}\varphi = 0$, a similar calculation to that in the BDI class does not yield any information about the inner product $\langle \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi, S\varphi\rangle$.

Similarly, we obtain

$$\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle = 0$$

and

$$\langle B\varphi, S\varphi\rangle = 0.$$

Combining these results with $\{S, B\} = 0$, we conclude that DIII class in two dimensions has an $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **CII Class in Two Dimensions.** In this case, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \mp 1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$, and

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$. The even-oddness of the particle-hole symmetry is

$$(S\Theta)^2 = -1.$$

From this, $\Theta^2 = -1$ and $S^2 = 1$, one has

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. Therefore, in the same way as in CI Class in two dimensions, we obtain

$$\langle S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi\rangle = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$S\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a\varphi = \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a S\varphi$$

from the above commutativity between S and $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$. These results imply that $\dim\ker\left(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}}\mathfrak{D}_a - 1\right)$ is even. Thus, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing in CII class in two dimensions.

• **BDI and CI Classes in Four Dimensions.** These two classes are derived from AI class with an additional chiral symmetry. As shown in the case of AI class in four dimensions, $\dim\ker\left(P_{\mathrm{F}} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\mathrm{F}}\mathfrak{D}_a - 1\right)$ is already even. Therefore, these two classes have no non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **DIII Class in Four Dimensions.** In this case, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$, and

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = +1$. Further, the evenness, $(S\Theta)^2 = +1$, of the particle-hole symmetry for DIII class yields

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0,$$

where we have used $S^2 = 1$ and $\Theta^2 = -1$. Immediately,

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\}\varphi = 0.$$

From the above observations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_\pm A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi \\ &= \gamma^{(2n+1)}\tilde{\Theta}_\pm(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi = A\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the transformation $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$ satisfies the three conditions for the transformation $\tilde{\Sigma}$ in the case of BDI class in two dimensions. As a result, A and $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm$ are simultaneously diagonalized as

$$A\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi = \varphi.$$

Let us consider the inner product $\langle S\varphi, B\varphi\rangle$. Note that

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_\pm S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm B\varphi\rangle = -\langle S\varphi, B\varphi\rangle,$$

where we have used $\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\}\varphi = 0$, $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi = \varphi$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_\pm B\varphi = B\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\varphi$ which is obtained from $[\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$. On the other hand, one has

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_\pm S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, S\varphi\rangle = -\langle S\varphi, B\varphi\rangle,$$

where we have used $\{S, B\} = 0$. These results do not yield any information. Thus, DIII in four dimensions does not show an $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **CII Class in Four Dimensions.** In this case, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$, and

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = +1$. But, in contrast to DIII class, CII class shows the oddness for the particle-hole symmetry as

$$(S\Theta)^2 = -1.$$

Combining this, $S^2 = 1$ and $\Theta^2 = -1$, one has

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0.$$

This yields

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm]\varphi = 0.$$

This difference yields

$$\langle S\varphi, B\varphi\rangle = 0$$

in the same way as in the case of DIII class in four dimensions. In consequence, CII class in four dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **CII Class in Six Dimensions.** For convenience' sake, we consider first CII class for six dimensionality. In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \pm 1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$, and

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$. Combining $S^2 = 1$, $\Theta^2 = -1$ and $(S\Theta)^2 = -1$, one has

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi \\ &= -\gamma^{(2n+1)}\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi = -A\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi\end{aligned}$$

from $\{\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$. Further, this yields

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi \\ &= -\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\gamma^{(2n+1)}D_a(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi \\ &= \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}AD_a\varphi = -A\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

from $\{\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$. Thus, we have to deal with the three vectors, $\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi$, $S\varphi$ and $B\varphi$, again, as in the case of BDI class in two dimensions.

Consider first the inner product $\langle S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi\rangle$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}S\varphi\rangle &= \pm\langle D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}S\varphi\rangle \\ &= \pm\langle D_a\varphi, S\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle \\ &= \pm\langle S\varphi, D_a\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle \\ &= \langle S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = \pm 1$, $[S, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}]\varphi = 0$, and $\mathrm{sgn}_{\pm}(D_a) = \pm 1$. On the other hand, the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}S\varphi\rangle = \langle S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi\rangle.$$

Therefore, these results do not give any information about the spectrum of A.

Next, consider $\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi\rangle$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}B\varphi\rangle &= \pm\langle D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}B\varphi\rangle \\ &= \mp\langle D_a\varphi, B\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle \\ &= \pm\langle B\varphi, D_a\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\varphi\rangle \\ &= \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = \pm 1$, $\{\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$, $\{B, D_a\} = 0$ and $\mathrm{sgn}_{\pm}(D_a) = \pm 1$. The left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi\rangle.$$

These do not yield any information, again.

Finally, consider $\langle B\varphi, S\varphi\rangle$. In order to treat this quantity, we introduce

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm} := SD_a\Theta_{\pm}.$$

From $[\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$, one has

$$[\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0.$$

Since

$$SA\varphi = -AS\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a A\varphi = -A\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}D_a\varphi,$$

we have

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm} A\varphi = A\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}\varphi.$$

Further, one has

$$(\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm})^2 = SD_a\Theta_{\pm}SD_a\Theta_{\pm} = D_a\Theta_{\pm}D_a\Theta_{\pm} = \pm(\Theta_{\pm})^2 = +1$$

where we have used $[S, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}]\varphi = 0$, $S^2 = 1$, $\mathrm{sgn}_{\pm}(D_a) = \pm 1$ and $(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = \pm 1$. Thus, the transformation $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}$ satisfies the three conditions in the case of BDI class in two dimensions. As a result, we can choose eigenvectors φ as

$$A\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}\varphi = \varphi.$$

For such a vector φ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}B\varphi &= SD_a\Theta_{\pm}\gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)\varphi \\
&= -SD_a\gamma^{(2n+1)}\Theta_{\pm}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)\varphi \\
&= -SD_a\gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)\Theta_{\pm}\varphi \\
&= S\gamma^{(2n+1)}D_a(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)\Theta_{\pm}\varphi \\
&= S\gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)D_a\Theta_{\pm}\varphi \\
&= -\gamma^{(2n+1)}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_aP_{\mathrm{F}}D_a)SD_a\Theta_{\pm}\varphi = -B\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}\varphi = -B\varphi,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\{\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$, $\{D_a, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\} = 0$ and $\{S, B\} = 0$. Further,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}S\varphi = SD_a\Theta_{\pm}S\varphi = S^2D_a\Theta_{\pm}\varphi = S\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm} = S\varphi,$$

where we have used $[S, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}]\varphi = 0$. From these observations, we obtain

$$\langle\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}B\varphi, \tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}S\varphi\rangle = -\langle B\varphi, S\varphi\rangle.$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}B\varphi, \tilde{\Sigma}_{\pm}S\varphi\rangle = \langle S\varphi, B\varphi\rangle = -\langle B\varphi, S\varphi\rangle,$$

where we have used $\{S, B\} = 0$. These also do not give any information about the spectrum of A, again. In conclusion, CII class in six dimensions has no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **BDI Class in Six Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = \mp 1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$, and

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$. The even-oddness of the particle-hole symmetry is given by

$$(S\Theta)^2 = +1.$$

Combining this, $S^2 = 1$ and $\Theta^2 = +1$, one has

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. Therefore,

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}]\varphi = 0.$$

Compared with the preceding CII class, BDI class has $(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \mp 1$ which is opposite to that of CII. Therefore, we obtain

$$\langle S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \varphi \rangle = 0$$

in the same way as in the case of the preceding CII class. Combining this with

$$S\tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \varphi = \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a S\varphi$$

which is derived from the above $[S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm]\varphi = 0$, we can conclude that $\dim \ker (P_{\rm F} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\rm F} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1)$ is even. Namely, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing for BDI class in six dimensions.

• **CI Class in Six Dimensions.** Similarly, in this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \mp 1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$, and

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$. The even-oddness of the particle-hole symmetry is given by

$$(S\Theta)^2 = -1.$$

Combining this, $S^2 = 1$ and $\Theta^2 = +1$, one has

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. This yields

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm\}\varphi = 0.$$

Therefore, in the same way as in the case of CII class in six dimensions, we obtain the following: There appears no information about the inner product $\langle S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \varphi \rangle$. In addition,

$$\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_\pm D_a \varphi \rangle = 0,$$

for any wavefunction φ, and

$$\langle B\psi, S\psi \rangle = 0$$

for an eigenvector ψ such that $A\psi = \lambda\psi$ and $SD_a\tilde{\Theta}_\pm\psi = \psi$. From these observations, we can conclude that CI class in six dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **DIII Class in Six Dimensions.** Similarly, in this case, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_\pm)^2 = \pm 1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$, and

$$\{\tilde{\Theta}_\pm, \gamma^{(2n+1)}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = -1$. The even-oddness of the particle-hole symmetry is given by

$$(S\Theta)^2 = +1.$$

Combining this, $S^2 = 1$ and $\Theta^2 = -1$, one has

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. This yields

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\}\varphi = 0.$$

Therefore, in the same way as in the case of CII class in six dimensions, we obtain

$$\langle S\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm} D_a \varphi \rangle = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. This implies dim ker $(P_{\rm F} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\rm F} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1)$ is even, and hence the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing for DIII class in six dimensions.

• **BDI Class in Eight Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$, and

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = +1$. The parity of the particle-hole symmetry is given by

$$(S\Theta)^2 = +1.$$

Combining this, $S^2 = 1$ and $\Theta^2 = +1$, one has

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. These conditions are the same as in CII class in four dimensions. Therefore, we obtain

$$\langle S\varphi, B\varphi \rangle = 0.$$

In consequence, BDI class in eight dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **CI Class in Eight Dimensions.** Similarly, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm})^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$, and

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}, \gamma^{(2n+1)}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}(\gamma^{(2n+1)}) = +1$. But the parity of the particle-hole symmetry is given by

$$(S\Theta)^2 = -1.$$

in the present CI class. Combining this, $S^2 = 1$ and $\Theta^2 = +1$, one has

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0.$$

This yields

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_{\pm}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. This is different from the commutation relation in the case of the preceding BDI class. This implies that we cannot obtain any information about the inner product $\langle S\varphi, B\varphi \rangle$. Thus, CI class in eight dimensions does not show a non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **CII and DIII Classes in Eight Dimensions.** These two classes are derived from AII class with an additional chiral symmetry. As shown in the

case of AII class in eight dimensions, dim ker $(P_{\rm F} - \mathfrak{D}_a^* P_{\rm F} \mathfrak{D}_a - 1)$ is already even. Therefore, these two classes have no non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

6.3. **Odd Dimensions.** Let us treat the case of odd dimensions, $d = 2n+1$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

6.3.1. *No Chiral Symmetry.* In this case, we define the Dirac operator by

$$D_a(x) := \frac{1}{|x-a|}(x-a)\cdot\gamma$$

with

$$\gamma := (\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(2n+1)}). \tag{6.35}$$

We introduce two operators as

$$A = P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a$$

and

$$B = 1 - P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a.$$

In the same way as in Appendix B, one can show that A^{2n+2} is trace class. Therefore, the operator A is compact. Further, one can easily show that

$$A^2 + B^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad AB + BA = 0.$$

Therefore, the operator B maps an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ onto that with eigenvalue $-\lambda$, provided $0 < |\lambda| < 1$.

In addition, one has

$$D_a A = D_a(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) = -(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) D_a = -A D_a.$$

This implies that, if we define an integer-valued index by

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, P_{\rm F}) := \dim\ker\,(A-1) - \dim\ker\,(A+1),$$

then the index $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, P_{\rm F})$ is always vanishing. But we can define a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index by

$$\mathrm{Ind}_2^{(2n+1)}(D_a, P_{\rm F}) := \dim\ker\,(A-1) \text{ modulo } 2. \tag{6.36}$$

Instead of the above operator A, we can use

$$A' := \mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U,$$

in order to define a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index, where $\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} = (1+D_a)/2$ and $U = (1-P_{\rm F}) - P_{\rm F}$. These two indices coincide with each other. In fact, the following relation holds: [18]

$$\dim\ker\,(A-1) = \dim\ker\,(A'-1).$$

The proof is given in Appendix I.

6.3.2. *AI an AII Classes.* Consider first AI and AII classes which have time-reversal symmetry only.

• **AI Class in One Dimension.** In one dimension, there is no gamma matrix. Therefore, the Dirac operator is given by

$$D_a(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > a; \\ -1, & x < a, \end{cases} \tag{6.37}$$

and the extended time-reversal transformation is given by $\tilde{\Theta} = \Theta$. Clearly, one has

$$\tilde{\Theta}^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$.

Note that

$$\tilde{\Theta} A\varphi = \tilde{\Theta}(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)\varphi = A\tilde{\Theta}\varphi.$$

Therefore, the transformation $\tilde{\Theta}$ satisfies the conditions without that for the gamma matrix $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ in the case of BDI class in two dimensions. We can choose the eigenvectors of A so that

$$A\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Theta}\varphi = \varphi.$$

Combining this, $\tilde{\Theta} D_a\varphi = D_a\tilde{\Theta}\varphi$ and $\tilde{\Theta} B\varphi = B\tilde{\Theta}\varphi$, we obtain

$$\langle \tilde{\Theta} D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta} B\varphi\rangle = \langle D_a\varphi, B\varphi\rangle.$$

On the other hand, the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle \tilde{\Theta} D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Theta} B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, D_a\varphi\rangle = \langle D_a\varphi, B\varphi\rangle.$$

These imply that AI class in one dimension has no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **AII Class in One Dimension.** In this case, we have $\tilde{\Theta}^2 = -1$ from $\Theta^2 = -1$. Further, in the same way as in the preceding AI class, one has

$$\tilde{\Theta} A\varphi = A\tilde{\Theta}\varphi.$$

Therefore, if φ is an eigenvector of A, then $\tilde{\Theta}\varphi$ is also an eigenvector of A with the same eigenvalue. Combining this with $\langle \varphi, \tilde{\Theta}\varphi\rangle = 0$ which is derived from $\tilde{\Theta}^2 = -1$ as in the case of the Kramers doublet, we obtain that dim ker $(A - 1)$ is even. This implies that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing for AII class in one dimension.

• **AI Class in Three Dimensions.** In three or higher dimensions, the $(2n + 1)$-th component of γ is given by $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ from the definition (6.35). Therefore, by relying on (A.4) in Appendix A, we use only

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- := C_-\Theta$$

as the time-reversal transformation in odd dimensions. Namely, we can define the signature of the Dirac operator D_a only for C_-K in the present case.

From $\Theta^2 = +1$ for AI class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2 = -1$ for $n = 1$, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1.$$

Clearly, one has

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- A\varphi = \tilde{\Theta}_-(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)\varphi = A\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi.$$

On the other, hand, we have

$$\langle \varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi\rangle = 0$$

from $(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$. As in the preceding case of AII class in one dimensions, these observations imply that dim ker $(A-1)$ is even. Thus, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing for AI class in three dimensions.

• **AII Class in Three Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$ for AII class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_K)^2 = -1$. Further,

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = -D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi \tag{6.38}$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. Since we have

$$A\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi = \tilde{\Theta}_- A\varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ, the transformation $\tilde{\Theta}$ satisfies the conditions without that for the gamma matrix $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$ in the case of BDI class in two dimensions. Further, one has

$$D_a B = D_a(1 - P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a) = B D_a$$

and

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- B\varphi = B\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi.$$

From these observations, the above condition (6.38) which is different from that in the case of AI class in one dimensions yields

$$\langle D_a\varphi, B\varphi\rangle = 0$$

in the same way as in the case of AI class in one dimensions. In consequence, AII class in three dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **AI Class in Five Dimensions.** Similarly, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = -1$. This yields

$$\langle \varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi\rangle = 0$$

in the same way as in the case of AI class in three dimensions. Therefore, dim ker $(A-1)$ is even, and the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing for AI class in five dimensions.

• **AII Class in Five Dimensions.** From $\Theta^2 = -1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = -1$, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1.$$

Further, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$$

by the property $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = +1$. Therefore, AII class in five dimensions has no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index in the same way as in the case of AI class in one dimension.

• **AI Class in Seven Dimensions.** Similarly, we obtain

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1$$

by using $\Theta^2 = +1$ for AI class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2 = +1$. In addition,

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a \varphi = -D_a \tilde{\Theta}_- \varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. Thus, we obtain that AI class in seven dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index in the same way as in the case of AII class in three dimensions.

• **AII Class in Seven Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$ for AII class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2 = +1$. This yields

$$\langle \varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_- \varphi \rangle = 0$$

in the same way as in the case of AI class in three dimensions. Therefore, $\dim \ker (A - 1)$ is even, and the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing for AII class in seven dimensions.

6.3.3. *C and D Classes.* These classes have particle-hole symmetry only. We define the extended particle-hole symmetry by

$$\tilde{\Xi}_- := C_- \Xi.$$

• **D Class in One Dimension.** In one dimension, there appears no gamma matrix, and hence the Dirac operator is given by (6.37). Furthermore, one has $\tilde{\Xi} = \Xi$. This yields

$$\tilde{\Xi}^2 = +1$$

from $\Xi^2 = +1$ for D class.

Since we have $\Xi P_{\mathrm{F}} \varphi = (1 - P_{\mathrm{F}}) \Xi \varphi$ with $E_{\mathrm{F}} = 0$ for any wavefunction φ, we obtain

$$\tilde{\Xi} A \varphi = \tilde{\Xi}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi = -A \tilde{\Xi} \varphi. \tag{6.39}$$

Thus, we have to deal with three vectors, $\tilde{\Xi}\varphi$, $B\varphi$ and $D_a\varphi$, from the commutation relation $\{D_a, A\} = 0$.

Consider first the inner product $\langle D_a \varphi, \tilde{\Xi} \varphi \rangle$. Note that

$$\langle \tilde{\Xi}\tilde{\Xi}\varphi, \tilde{\Xi} D_a \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \tilde{\Xi} D_a \varphi \rangle = \langle D_a \varphi, \tilde{\Xi} \varphi \rangle,$$

where we have used $\tilde{\Xi}^2 = +1$ and the fact that the Dirac operator is given by (6.37). On the other hand, the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle \tilde{\Xi}\tilde{\Xi}\varphi, \tilde{\Xi} D_a \varphi \rangle = \langle D_a \varphi, \tilde{\Xi} \varphi \rangle.$$

These do not give any information about the inner product $\langle D_a \varphi, \tilde{\Xi} \varphi \rangle$.

Next, consider $\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}\varphi \rangle$. To begin with, we note that

$$\tilde{\Xi} B \varphi = \tilde{\Xi}(1 - P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)\varphi = -B \tilde{\Xi} \varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ, where we have used $\Xi P_{\mathrm{F}} \varphi = (1 - P_{\mathrm{F}}) \Xi \varphi$. By using this and $\tilde{\Xi}^2 = +1$, we obtain

$$\langle \tilde{\Xi}\tilde{\Xi}\varphi, \tilde{\Xi} B \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \tilde{\Xi} B \varphi \rangle = -\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Xi} \varphi \rangle.$$

On the other hand, the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle\tilde{\Xi}\tilde{\Xi}\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}\varphi\rangle.$$

These two results imply

$$\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Xi}\varphi\rangle = 0.$$

Finally, let us treat $\langle D_a\varphi, B\varphi\rangle$. For this purpose, we introduce an operator,

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := D_a\tilde{\Xi}.$$

Then, from (6.39) and $\{D_a, A\} = 0$, one has

$$\tilde{\Sigma}A\varphi = A\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi.$$

Further,

$$(\tilde{\Sigma})^2 = D_a\tilde{\Xi}D_a\tilde{\Xi} = D_a^2(\tilde{\Xi})^2 = 1,$$

where we have used $D_a^2 = 1$ and $(\tilde{\Xi})^2 = 1$. From these observations, we can choose the eigenvectors of A so that

$$A\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Sigma}\varphi = \varphi,$$

as in the same way in the case of BDI class in two dimensions. By relying on this, we have

$$\langle\tilde{\Sigma}D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Sigma}B\varphi\rangle = -\langle D_a\varphi, B\varphi\rangle,$$

where we have used $\{\tilde{\Xi}, B\}\varphi = 0$. On the other hand, the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle\tilde{\Sigma}D_a\varphi, \tilde{\Sigma}B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, D_a\varphi\rangle = \langle D_a\varphi, B\varphi\rangle.$$

These imply $\langle D_a\varphi, B\varphi\rangle = 0$.

To summarize, the above three results about the inner products between the three vectors yield the conclusion that the present D class in one dimension has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **C Class in One Dimension.** In this case, one has

$$(\tilde{\Xi})^2 = -1$$

from $\Xi^2 = -1$.

As in the preceding case of D class in one dimension, let us consider the inner product $\langle\tilde{\Xi}\varphi, D_a\varphi\rangle$. Then, we obtain

$$\langle\tilde{\Xi}\varphi, D_a\varphi\rangle = 0$$

because $(\tilde{\Xi})^2 = -1$ which is opposite sign to the case of the preceding D class in one dimension. This implies that dim ker $(A-1)$ is even, and hence the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing for C class in one dimension.

• **D Class in Three Dimensions.** In this case, one has

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Xi^2 = +1$ for D class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_K)^2 = -1$. Further,

$$\tilde{\Xi}_- D_a\varphi = -D_a\tilde{\Xi}_-\varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. Taking account of these two facts, it is sufficient to deal with the three inner products about the three

vectors, $D_a\varphi$, $B\varphi$ and $\tilde{\Xi}_-\varphi$, in the same way as in the case of D class in one dimension. As a result, one cannot obtain any information about the spectrum of A. Therefore, the present D class in three dimensions has no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **C Class in Three Dimensions.** Similarly, one has

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_-)^2 = +1$$

from $\Xi^2 = -1$ for C class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_K)^2 = -1$. Further,

$$\tilde{\Xi}_- D_a\varphi = -D_a\tilde{\Xi}_-\varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. In this case, we obtain

$$\langle\tilde{\Xi}_-\varphi, D_a\varphi\rangle = 0$$

in the same way as in the case of D class in one dimension because $(\tilde{\Xi}_-)^2 = +1$ which is opposite sign to that of the preceding D class in three dimensions. Thus, dim ker $(A-1)$ is even, and hence the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing for C class in three dimensions.

• **D Class in Five Dimensions.** In this case, one has

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Xi^2 = +1$ for D class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2 = -1$. Further,

$$\tilde{\Xi}_- D_a\varphi = D_a\tilde{\Xi}_-\varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = +1$. These two signs are opposite to those in the preceding case of C class in three dimensions. Therefore, we obtain the same conclusion as

$$\langle\tilde{\Xi}_-\varphi, D_a\varphi\rangle = 0$$

in the same way as in the case of D class in one dimension. In consequence, dim ker $(A-1)$ is even, and the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index is vanishing for D class in five dimensions.

• **C Class in Five Dimensions.** Similarly, one has

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_-)^2 = +1$$

from $\Xi^2 = -1$ for C class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2 = -1$. Further,

$$\tilde{\Xi}_- D_a\varphi = D_a\tilde{\Xi}_-\varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = +1$. In this case, one cannot obtain any information about the inner product $\langle\tilde{\Xi}_-\varphi, D_a\varphi\rangle$. Further, on the rest of the two inner products, we can obtain the same results as in the case of D class in one dimension. Therefore, we conclude that C class in five dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **D Class in Seven Dimensions.** In this case, one has

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_-)^2 = +1$$

from $\Xi^2 = +1$ for D class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = +1$. In addition,

$$\tilde{\Xi}_- D_a \varphi = -D_a \tilde{\Xi}_- \varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of C class in three dimensions. Therefore, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index for D class in seven dimensions is vanishing.

• **C Class in Seven Dimensions.** Similarly, one has

$$(\tilde{\Xi}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Xi^2 = -1$ for C class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = +1$. Further,

$$\tilde{\Xi}_- D_a \varphi = -D_a \tilde{\Xi}_- \varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of D class in three dimensions. In conclusion, C class in seven dimensions has no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

6.3.4. *Chiral Symmetry in Odd Dimensions.* In odd dimensions, the rest of the CAZ classes are BDI, CI, DIII and CII which have chiral and time-reversal symmetries.

To begin with, we recall two operators,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + D_a)$$

and

$$U = P_+ - P_-.$$

Further,

$$A = S(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U)$$

and

$$B = S(1 - \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}} - U\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{D}}U).$$

One can easily obtain the following relations:

$$A^2 + B^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad AB + BA = 0.$$

The integer-valued index is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\dim \ker (A-1) - \dim \ker (A+1)\right].$$

When the integer-valued index is vanishing, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-valued index is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ind}_2^{(2n+1)}(D_a, S, U) = \frac{1}{2}\dim \ker (A-1) \text{ modulo } 2.$$

• **BDI Class in One Dimension.** In one dimension, there is no gamma matrix. The Dirac operator D_a is given by (6.37). Therefore, one has

$$\tilde{\Theta} = \Theta \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{\Theta})^2 = \Theta^2 = +1$$

for the present BDI class in one dimension. Since $(S\Theta)^2 = +1$ for the particle-hole symmetry, we have

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. Using this relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}S(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi \\ &= S\tilde{\Theta}(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi = A\tilde{\Theta}\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the time-reversal symmetry dose not give any information about the spectrum of A. In conclusion, BDI class in one dimension has an integer-valued index as in the case of generic chiral symmetric class in odd dimensions.

• **CI Class in One Dimension.** Similarly, one has $\tilde{\Theta} = \Theta$, and hence

$$(\tilde{\Theta})^2 = \Theta^2 = +1.$$

From the particle-hole symmetry $(S\Theta)^2 = -1$,

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}S(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi \\ &= -S\tilde{\Theta}(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi = -A\tilde{\Theta}\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the integer-valued index is vanishing.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}UA\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}US(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi \\ &= -\tilde{\Theta}SU(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi \\ &= \tilde{\Theta}S(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)U\varphi \\ &= -A\tilde{\Theta}U\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $SU = -US$ and the above $\tilde{\Theta}A\varphi = -A\tilde{\Theta}\varphi$. Further, one has

$$[\tilde{\Theta}U, S]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, where we have used $\{S, \tilde{\Theta}\}\varphi = 0$ and $\{S, U\} = 0$. Therefore, in order to check whether the present class has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index, it is enough to consider the inner product $\langle\tilde{\Theta}U\varphi, B\varphi\rangle$. Note that

$$\tilde{\Theta}B\varphi = \tilde{\Theta}S(1 - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi = -B\tilde{\Theta}\varphi,$$

where we have used $\{S, \tilde{\Theta}\}\varphi = 0$. Further, from $\{S, U\} = 0$, we have

$$UB = US(1 - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U) = -SU(1 - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U) = -BU.$$

Combining these with $\tilde{\Theta}^2 = +1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\tilde{\Theta}\tilde{\Theta}U\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}B\varphi\rangle &= \langle U\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}B\varphi\rangle \\ &= -\langle U\varphi, B\tilde{\Theta}\varphi\rangle \\ &= \langle B\varphi, U\tilde{\Theta}\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}U\varphi\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}\tilde{\Theta}U\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}U\varphi\rangle.$$

These two results do not give any information about the spectrum of A, and hence CI class in one dimension has no index.

• **DIII Class in One Dimension.** In this case, from $\tilde{\Theta} = \Theta$, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta})^2 = \Theta^2 = -1.$$

Combining this with $(S\Theta)^2 = +1$ for the particle-hole symmetry, we have

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ. Therefore, we obtain that the integer-valued index is vanishing, and that

$$\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}U\varphi\rangle = 0$$

in the same way as in the preceding case of CI class in one dimension. In conclusion, DIII class in one dimension has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **CII Class in One Dimension.** Similarly, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta})^2 = -1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$, and

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = -1$. The second condition yields

$$\tilde{\Theta}A\varphi = A\tilde{\Theta}\varphi.$$

From the first condition $(\tilde{\Theta})^2 = -1$, we have

$$\langle\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}\varphi\rangle = 0.$$

These imply that the integer-valued index for CII class in one dimension is always even, i.e., $2\mathbb{Z}$.

• **BDI Class in Three Dimensions.** In three or higher dimensions, we define the extended time-reversal transformation by

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- := C_-\Theta.$$

For the present case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$ for BDI class and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2 = -1$. On the other hand, from $(S\Theta)^2 = +1$ for the particle-hole symmetry, we obtain

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, where we have used $\Theta^2 = +1$, again. Clearly, this yields

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_-]\varphi = 0.$$

Further,

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = -D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. By using this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_-(\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)\varphi &= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Theta}_-(D_a - UD_aU)\varphi \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(D_a - UD_aU)\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi \\ &= -(\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi = -(\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the above $[S, \tilde{\Theta}_-]\varphi = 0$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- A\varphi = -A\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi.$$

This implies that the integer-valued index is vanishing.

In order to check whether the present case has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index, it is sufficient to consider the inner product $\langle\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi, B\varphi\rangle$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_- B\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_- S(1 - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi \\ &= S\tilde{\Theta}_-(1 - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2}S\tilde{\Theta}_-(-D_a - UD_aU)\varphi \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}S(-D_a - UD_aU)\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi = -B\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $[S, \tilde{\Theta}_-]\varphi = 0$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = -D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$. Combining this with $(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$, we obtain

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_-\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_- B\varphi\rangle = -\langle\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_- B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi\rangle.$$

On the other hand, the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_-\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_- B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi\rangle.$$

These results do not give any information about the spectrum of A. Thus, BDI class in three dimensions has no index.

• **CI Class in Three Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2 = -1$, and

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = -1$ and $\Theta^2 = +1$. The second relation yields

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_-\}\varphi = 0.$$

Further, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = -D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_- A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_- S(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi \\ &= -S\tilde{\Theta}_-(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi = A\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_-\}\varphi = 0$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = -D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$. By using this and $\{S, U\} = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_- UA\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_- US(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi \\ &= -\tilde{\Theta}_- SU(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)\varphi \\ &= \tilde{\Theta}_- S(\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U)U\varphi \\ &= \tilde{\Theta}_- AU\varphi = A\tilde{\Theta}_- U\varphi\end{aligned}$$

and

$$[\tilde{\Theta}_- U, S]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunctions φ. Therefore, if φ is an eigenvector of A, then $\tilde{\Theta}_- UA\varphi$ is also an eigenvector of A with the same eigenvalue in the same sector of the eigenspace of S.

By relying on the above observations, let us consider the inner product $\langle\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_- U\varphi\rangle$. Note that

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_-\tilde{\Theta}_- U\varphi\rangle = -\langle\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi, U\varphi\rangle = -\langle\tilde{\Theta}_- U\varphi, \varphi\rangle,$$

where we have used $(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$. The left-hand side can be computed as

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_-\tilde{\Theta}_- U\varphi\rangle = \langle\tilde{\Theta}_- U\varphi, \varphi\rangle.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_- U\varphi, \varphi\rangle = 0.$$

This implies that the integer-valued index for CI class in three dimensions is always even, i.e., $2\mathbb{Z}$.

• **DIII Class in Three Dimensions.** Similarly, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = -1$, and

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = +1$ and $\Theta^2 = -1$. The latter yields

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_-\}\varphi = 0.$$

Further, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = -D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. Therefore, it is sufficient to treat the inner product $\langle\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_- U\varphi\rangle$ in the same way as in the preceding CI class in three dimensions. As a result, we cannot obtain any information about $\langle\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_- U\varphi\rangle$ because $(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1$ which is opposite sign to that for CI class in three dimensions. Thus, DIII class in three dimensions has an integer-valued index.

In passing, we can obtain a relation between the indices of the DIII and AII classes in three dimensions. Under a weak perturbation which breaks the chiral symmetry of the DIII class, the DIII class of the model changes to AII class. In the weak limit of the perturbation, a relation of the indices between the two classes holds. For the details, see Appendix I.

• **CII Class in Three Dimensions.** In this case, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = -1$, and

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = -1$ and $\Theta^2 = -1$. Clearly, the commutation relation yields

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_-]\varphi = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a \varphi = -D_a \tilde{\Theta}_- \varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. The last two relations yield

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Theta}_- A\varphi &= \tilde{\Theta}_- S(\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)\varphi \\ &= S\tilde{\Theta}_-(\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)\varphi \\ &= -S(\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi = -A\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the integer-valued index is vanishing.

In order to check whether the present case shows the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-valued index, it is enough to consider the inner product $\langle\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi, B\varphi\rangle$. Note that

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- B\varphi = \tilde{\Theta}_- S(1 - \mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)\varphi = S\tilde{\Theta}_-(1 - \mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D} - U\mathcal{P}_\mathrm{D}U)\varphi = -B\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi,$$

where we have used $[S, \tilde{\Theta}_-]\varphi = 0$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = -D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$. Combining this with $(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1$, we obtain

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_-\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_- B\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_- B\varphi\rangle = -\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi\rangle.$$

On the other hand, the left-hand side can be written as

$$\langle\tilde{\Theta}_-\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_- B\varphi\rangle = \langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi\rangle.$$

Immediately,

$$\langle B\varphi, \tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi\rangle = 0.$$

In consequence, CII class in three dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **BDI Class in Five Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = -1$, and

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = +1$ and $\Theta^2 = +1$. Clearly, this yields

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_-]\varphi = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = +1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of CII class in one dimension. Therefore, the integer-valued index for BDI class in five dimensions is always even, i.e., $2\mathbb{Z}$.

• **CI Class in Five Dimensions.** Similarly, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = -1$, and

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = -1$ and $\Theta^2 = +1$. Clearly, this yields

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_-\}\varphi = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = +1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of DIII class in one dimension. Thus, CI class in five dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **DIII Class in Five Dimensions.** Similarly, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2 = -1$, and

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = +1$ and $\Theta^2 = -1$. Clearly, this yields

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_-\}\varphi = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = +1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of CI class in one dimension. Therefore, DIII class in five dimensions has no index.

• **CII Class in Five Dimensions.** Similarly, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2 = -1$, and

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = -1$ and $\Theta^2 = -1$. Clearly, this yields

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_-]\varphi = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = +1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of BDI class in one dimension. In consequence, CII class in five dimensions has an integer-valued index, i.e., $\mathbb{Z}$.

• **BDI Class in Seven Dimensions.** In this case, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_-K)^2 = +1$, and

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = +1$ and $\Theta^2 = +1$. Clearly, this yields

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_-]\varphi = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a\varphi = -D_a\tilde{\Theta}_-\varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of CII class in three dimensions. Therefore, BDI class in seven dimensions has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index.

• **CI Class in Seven Dimensions.** Similarly, we have

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = +1$$

from $\Theta^2 = +1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = +1$, and

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = -1$ and $\Theta^2 = +1$. Clearly, this yields

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_-\}\varphi = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a \varphi = -D_a \tilde{\Theta}_- \varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of DIII class in three dimensions. Thus, CI class in seven dimensions has an integer-valued index, i.e., $\mathbb{Z}$.

• **DIII Class in Seven Dimensions.** Similarly, we obtain

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = +1$, and

$$\{S, \Theta\}\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = +1$ and $\Theta^2 = -1$. Clearly, this yields

$$\{S, \tilde{\Theta}_-\}\varphi = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a \varphi = -D_a \tilde{\Theta}_- \varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of CI class in three dimensions. Therefore, the integer-valued index for DIII class in five dimensions is always even, i.e., $2\mathbb{Z}$.

• **CII Class in Seven Dimensions.** Similarly, one has

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_-)^2 = -1$$

from $\Theta^2 = -1$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(C_- K)^2 = +1$, and

$$[S, \Theta]\varphi = 0$$

for any wavefunction φ, from $(S\Theta)^2 = -1$ and $\Theta^2 = -1$. Clearly, this yields

$$[S, \tilde{\Theta}_-]\varphi = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}_- D_a \varphi = -D_a \tilde{\Theta}_- \varphi$$

from $\mathrm{sgn}_-(D_a) = -1$. These conditions are the same as in the case of BDI class in three dimensions. In consequence, CII class in seven dimensions has no index.

7. Homotopy argument

In this section, we prove that the nonzero eigenvalue λ of the operator A of (4.2) in the case of even dimensions is continuous with respect to the norm of perturbations. This leads to the robustness of the indices under perturbations because the indices are given by the multiplicities of the eigenvalues $\lambda = \pm 1$ of A. The case of odd dimensions can be treated in the same way.

Consider a perturbation δH for the Hamiltonian. We assume that δH has only finite-range hopping terms for simplicity although we can treat long-range hoppings which rapidly decay in large distance. The total Hamiltonian H' is given by

$$H' = H + g\delta H$$

with a small real parameter g. The projection onto the Fermi sea for the Hamiltonian H' is written as

$$P'_{\rm F} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz \frac{1}{z - H'}$$

in terms of a contour integral whose path encloses all the spectrum of H' below the Fermi level $E_{\rm F}$. For the Hamiltonian H', the operator A' which corresponds to A of (4.2) is given by

$$A' = \gamma^{(2n+1)}(P'_{\rm F} - D_a P'_{\rm F} D_a).$$

The difference between A and A' is written as

$$A' - A = \gamma^{(2n+1)}\left[(P'_{\rm F} - P_{\rm F}) - D_a(P'_{\rm F} - P_{\rm F})D_a\right].$$

Thus, in order to prove the continuity under the perturbation, it is enough to estimate $P'_{\rm F} - P_{\rm F}$.

Note that

(7.1)

$$P'_{\rm F} - P_{\rm F} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz \left[\frac{1}{z - H'} - \frac{1}{z - H}\right] = \frac{g}{2\pi i}\oint dz \frac{1}{z - H'}\delta H \frac{1}{z - H}.$$

We write

$$\psi = \frac{1}{z - H'}\delta H \frac{1}{z - H}\varphi$$

with a wavefunction $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^M)$. The norm $\|\psi\|$ is written as

$$\|\psi\|^2 = \left\langle \varphi, \frac{1}{\overline{z} - H'}\delta H \frac{1}{\overline{z} - H}\frac{1}{z - H'}\delta H \frac{1}{z - H}\varphi \right\rangle.$$

By using the basis of (4.15) in Sec. 4.2, one has

$$\left\langle \zeta_u^\alpha, \frac{1}{z - H'}\delta H \frac{1}{z - H}\zeta_v^\beta \right\rangle = \sum_{w,\mu}\sum_{w',\mu'} \left\langle \zeta_u^\alpha, \frac{1}{z - H'}\zeta_w^\mu \right\rangle \left\langle \zeta_w^\mu, \delta H \zeta_{w'}^{\mu'} \right\rangle \left\langle \zeta_{w'}^{\mu'}, \frac{1}{z - H}\zeta_v^\beta \right\rangle.$$

In order to evaluate the right-hand side, we recall the assumption that the resolvent exponentially decays in large distance as

$$\left| \left\langle \zeta_u^\alpha, \frac{1}{z - H}\zeta_v^\beta \right\rangle \right| \le \text{Const.} e^{-\kappa|u-v|}$$

with some positive constant κ. Combining this with the assumption that δH has only finite-range hopping terms, we have

$$\left|\left\langle \zeta_u^\alpha, \frac{1}{z-H'}\delta H \frac{1}{z-H}\zeta_v^\beta \right\rangle\right| \leq \text{Const.} \sum_{w,w':|w-w'|\leq r_0} e^{-\kappa|u-w|}e^{-\kappa|w'-v|} \leq \text{Const.}e^{-\kappa'|u-v|}$$

with some constants, $r_0 > 0$ and κ' satisfying $0 < \kappa' < \kappa$. Using this estimate for $\|\psi\|^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi\|^2 &\leq \text{Const.} \sum_{u,\alpha}\sum_{v,\beta} |\langle\varphi,\zeta_u^\alpha\rangle|\,|\langle\zeta_v^\beta,\varphi\rangle| e^{-\kappa''|u-v|} \\
&\leq \text{Const.} \sum_{u,\alpha}\sum_{v,\beta} \left[|\langle\varphi,\zeta_u^\alpha\rangle|^2 + |\langle\zeta_v^\beta,\varphi\rangle|^2\right] e^{-\kappa''|u-v|} \\
&= \text{Const.} \left[\sum_{u,\alpha}|\langle\varphi,\zeta_u^\alpha\rangle|^2 \sum_{v,\beta} e^{-\kappa''|u-v|} + \sum_{v,\beta}|\langle\zeta_v^\beta,\varphi\rangle|^2 \sum_{u,\alpha} e^{-\kappa''|u-v|}\right] \\
&\leq \text{Const.}\|\varphi\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where κ'' is some positive constant. This implies that the operator in the integrand in the right-hand side of (7.1) is bounded. Therefore, we obtain

$$\|P'_{\rm F} - P_{\rm F}\| \leq \text{Const.}g.$$

This yields

$$\|A' - A\| \leq \text{Const.}g.$$

Namely, the operator A is continuous with respect to the norm of the perturbation $g\delta H$. Combining this with the mini-max principle [52], we can obtain the desired result that the nonzero eigenvalue λ of the operator A is continuous with respect to the norm of the perturbation $g\delta H$.

Appendix A. A Dirac-Clifford Garden

In this appendix, we give a concrete expression of the gamma matrices, and the corresponding time-reversal operators.

We use the standard convention for the Pauli matrices as

$$\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using these Pauli matrices, one can define the gamma matrices $\gamma = (\gamma^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(2n+1)})$ as

$$\gamma^{(2m-1)} = \underbrace{\sigma_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_0}_{m-1} \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \underbrace{\sigma_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_3}_{n-m}$$

and

$$\gamma^{(2m)} = \underbrace{\sigma_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_0}_{m-1} \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \underbrace{\sigma_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_3}_{n-m}$$

for $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and

$$\gamma^{(2n+1)} = \underbrace{\sigma_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_3}_{n}.$$

We introduce two time-reversal operators, C_+ and C_- for the gamma matrices as

$$C_+ := \begin{cases} \overbrace{\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2}^{n}, & n = \text{even}; \\ \underbrace{\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1}_{n}, & n = \text{odd}, \end{cases} \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$C_- := \begin{cases} \overbrace{\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1}^{n}, & n = \text{even}; \\ \underbrace{\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2}_{n}, & n = \text{odd}. \end{cases} \tag{A.2}$$

Then, one can easily show that the time-reversal transformations for the gamma matrices are given by

$$C_+\gamma^{(j)}C_+ = (-1)^{n+1}\overline{\gamma^{(j)}}, \quad \text{for} \;\; j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n, \tag{A.3}$$

$$C_+\gamma^{(2n+1)}C_+ = (-1)^n\overline{\gamma^{(2n+1)}}$$

and

$$C_-\gamma^{(j)}C_- = (-1)^n\overline{\gamma^{(j)}}, \quad \text{for} \;\; j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n, 2n+1, \tag{A.4}$$

where $\overline{\cdots}$ stands for the complex conjugate. In particular, one has

$$C_\pm\gamma^{(2n+1)}C_\pm = (-1)^n\gamma^{(2n+1)} \tag{A.5}$$

because $\overline{\gamma^{(2n+1)}} = \gamma^{(2n+1)}$ by the definition of $\gamma^{(2n+1)}$. By definition, one has

$$C_+C_- = \gamma^{(2n+1)} \times \begin{cases} 1, & n = \text{even}; \\ i, & n = \text{odd}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the two time-reversal transformation, $C_\pm$, are not independent of each other.

Appendix B. Trace Class and Trace p-Norm

We show that the operator $(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)^{2n+1}$ is trace class [50].

To begin with, we introduce a complete orthonormal system of wavefunctions,

$$\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu} := \chi_{\{u\}} \otimes \Phi^\alpha \otimes \Psi^\mu, \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \;\; \alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, d_{\rm s}, \;\; \mu = 1, 2, \ldots, d_\gamma, \tag{B.1}$$

where $\chi_{\{u\}}$ and Φ^α are the wavefunctions which are given in (4.15), and the wavefunction Ψ^μ for the gamma matrices are an orthonormal basis whose dimension of the Hilbert space is given by d_γ. We write

$$T = P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a$$

for short. The matrix elements are given by

$$T(u, \alpha, \mu; v, \beta, \nu) := \langle \tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}, T\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\beta,\nu} \rangle.$$

Following Aizenman and Graf [1], we introduce

$$T^{(b,\eta,\xi)}(u, \alpha, \mu; v, \beta, \nu) := T(u, \alpha, \mu; v, \beta, \nu)\delta_{u-b,v}\delta^{(d_{\rm s})}_{\alpha-\eta,\beta}\delta^{(d_\gamma)}_{\mu-\xi,\nu}$$

for $b \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\eta \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d_{\rm s}\}$ and $\xi \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d_\gamma\}$, where $\delta_{u,v}$ is the usual Kronecker delta for $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and the rest are defined as

$$\delta^{(d_{\rm s})}_{\alpha,\beta} := \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha = \beta \text{ modulo } d_{\rm s}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\delta^{(d_\gamma)}_{\mu,\nu} := \begin{cases} 1, & \mu = \nu \text{ modulo } d_\gamma; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, one has

$$T(u,\alpha,\mu;v,\beta,\nu) = \sum_{b,\eta,\xi} T^{(b,\eta,\xi)}(u,\alpha,\mu;v,\beta,\nu). \tag{B.2}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |T^{(b,\eta,\xi)}|^2&(u,\alpha,\mu;v,\beta,\nu) \\ &= \sum_{w,\rho,\theta} T^{(b,\eta,\xi)}(w,\rho,\theta;u,\alpha,\mu)^* T^{(b,\eta,\xi)}(w,\rho,\theta;v,\beta,\nu) \\ &= \sum_{w,\rho,\theta} T(w,\rho,\theta;u,\alpha,\mu)^* \delta_{w-b,u} \delta^{(d_{\rm s})}_{\rho-\eta,\alpha} \delta^{(d_\gamma)}_{\theta-\xi,\mu} \\ &\times T(w,\rho,\theta;v,\beta,\nu) \delta_{w-b,v} \delta^{(d_{\rm s})}_{\rho-\eta,\beta} \delta^{(d_\gamma)}_{\theta-\xi,\nu} \\ &= |T(u+b,\eta+\alpha,\xi+\mu;u,\alpha,\mu)|^2 \delta_{u,v} \delta^{(d_{\rm s})}_{\alpha,\beta} \delta^{(d_\gamma)}_{\mu,\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Clearly, the right-hand side is diagonal in the present basis.

For p satisfying $1 \le p < \infty$, the trace p-norm of an operator $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$\|\mathcal{A}\|_p := (\mathrm{Tr}\ |\mathcal{A}|^p)^{1/p}.$$

For two operators, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, the Minkowski inequality [51] holds as

$$\|\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}\|_p \le \|\mathcal{A}\|_p + \|\mathcal{B}\|_p.$$

Set $p = 2n+1$. Since the operator $T = P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a$ is self-adjoint, one has

$$|T^p| = \sqrt{(T^p)^* T^p} = \sqrt{T^{2p}} = \sqrt{|T|^{2p}} = |T|^p.$$

Therefore, in order to prove that the operator T^P is trace class, it is sufficient to show that the trace p-norm $\|T\|_p$ is bounded.

From the expression (B.2) and the Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\|T\|_p \le \sum_{b,\eta,\xi} \|T^{(b,\eta,\xi)}\|_p. \tag{B.4}$$

As shown in (B.3), the operator $|T^{(b,\eta,\xi)}|$ is diagonal. Therefore, one has

$$\|T^{(b,\eta,\xi)}\|_p^p = \sum_{u,\alpha,\mu} |T(u+b,\eta+\alpha,\xi+\mu;u,\alpha,\mu)|^p. \tag{B.5}$$

Thus, it is enough to estimate the matrix element $T(u+b,\eta+\alpha,\xi+\mu;u,\alpha,\mu)$.

Since $D_a^2 = 1$, one has

$$T = P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a = D_a(D_a P_{\rm F} - P_{\rm F} D_a) = D_a[D_a, P_{\rm F}]. \tag{B.6}$$

Note that

(B.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}, [P_{\rm F}, D_a]\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\beta,\nu}\rangle &= \langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}, P_{\rm F}\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\beta,\mu}\rangle\langle\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\beta,\mu}, D_a\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\beta,\nu}\rangle \\ &- \langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}, D_a\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\nu}\rangle\langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\nu}, P_{\rm F}\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\beta,\nu}\rangle \\ &= \langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}, P_{\rm F}, \tilde{\zeta}_v^{\beta,\mu}\rangle\left[\langle\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\alpha,\mu}, D_a\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\alpha,\nu}\rangle - \langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}, D_a\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\nu}\rangle\right],\end{aligned}$$

where we have the properties of the basis (B.1):

$$\langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}, P_{\rm F}\tilde{\zeta}_{u'}^{\beta,\mu}\rangle = \langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\nu}, P_{\rm F}\tilde{\zeta}_{u'}^{\beta,\nu}\rangle \quad \text{for all } \mu,\nu,$$

and

$$\langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}, D_a\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\nu}\rangle = \langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\beta,\mu}, D_a\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\beta,\nu}\rangle \quad \text{for all } \alpha,\beta.$$

The difference between two matrix elements for the Dirac operators D_a in the right-hand side is evaluated as:

Lemma 17.

$$\left|\langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu} D_a\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\nu}\rangle - \langle\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\alpha,\mu}, D_a\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\alpha,\nu}\rangle\right| \le \frac{4|u-v|}{|u-a|}. \tag{B.8}$$

Proof. Note that

(B.9)

$$\begin{aligned}D_a(u) - D_a(v) &= \frac{1}{|u-a|}(u-a)\cdot\gamma - \frac{1}{|v-a|}(v-a)\cdot\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{|u-a|}(u-a)\cdot\gamma - \frac{1}{|u-a|}(v-a)\cdot\gamma \\ &+ \frac{1}{|u-a|}(v-a)\cdot\gamma - \frac{1}{|v-a|}(v-a)\cdot\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{|u-a|}(u-v)\cdot\gamma + \left(\frac{1}{|u-a|} - \frac{1}{|v-a|}\right)(v-a)\cdot\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

As to the second term in the last line, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|u-a|} - \frac{1}{|v-a|} &= \frac{|v-a| - |u-a|}{|u-a||v-a|} \\ &= -\frac{(u-v)^2 + 2(v-a)\cdot(u-v)}{|u-a||v-a|(|u-a| + |v-a|)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{|u-a|} - \frac{1}{|v-a|}\right| \le \frac{3|u-v|}{|u-a||v-a|},$$

where we have used $|u-a| + |v-a| \ge |u-v|$. Combining this with (B.9), we obtain the desired bound (B.8). □

From the decay assumption for the resolvent $(E_{\rm F} - H)^{-1}$, we have

$$\left|\langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}, P_{\rm F}\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\beta,\mu}\rangle\right| \le \text{Const.}e^{-\kappa|u-v|} \tag{B.10}$$

with some positive constant κ. Combining this, (B.7) and (B.8), we obtain

$$\left|\langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}, [P_{\rm F}, D_a]\tilde{\zeta}_v^{\beta,\nu}\rangle\right| \le \text{Const.}e^{-\kappa|u-v|}\min\{2, 4|u-v|/|u-a|\}. \tag{B.11}$$

Since one has

$$T(u+b,\eta+\alpha,\xi+\mu;u,\alpha,\mu) = \langle \tilde{\zeta}_{u+b}^{\eta+\alpha,\xi+\mu}, T\tilde{\zeta}_{u}^{\alpha,\mu}\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{\nu} \langle \tilde{\zeta}_{u+b}^{\eta+\alpha,\xi+\mu}, D_a \tilde{\zeta}_{u+b}^{\eta+\alpha,\nu}\rangle \langle \tilde{\zeta}_{u+b}^{\eta+\alpha,\nu}, [D_a, P_{\rm F}]\tilde{\zeta}_{u}^{\alpha,\mu}\rangle$$

from (B.6), we have

$$|T(u+b,\eta+\alpha,\xi+\mu;u,\alpha,\mu)|^p \le \text{Const.} e^{-p\kappa|b|}[\min\{2, 4|b|/|u+b-a|\}]^p$$

by using the bound (B.11). Combining this, (B.4) and (B.5), we obtain the desired result:

$$\|T\|_p \le \sum_{b,\eta,\xi} \left(\sum_{u,\alpha,\mu} |T(u+b,\eta+\alpha,\xi+\mu;u,\alpha,\mu)|^p \right)^{1/p}$$
$$\le \text{Const.} \sum_b e^{-\kappa|b|} \times \left(\sum_u [\min\{2, 4|b|/|u+b-a|\}]^p \right)^{1/p}$$
$$\le \sum_b (\text{Const.} + \text{Const.}|b|) e^{-\kappa|b|} < \infty,$$

where we have used $p = 2n+1 > d = 2n$ for showing that the sum about u is finite.

Appendix C. Proof of Lemma 6

To begin with, we note that

$$P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a = [P_{\rm F}, D_a] D_a = -D_a [P_{\rm F}, D_a].$$

because $D_a^2 = 1$. Immediately,

$$(P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)^{2n+1} = -D_a [P_{\rm F}, D_a](-1)^n [P_{\rm F}, D_a]^{2n}$$
$$= (-1)^{n+1} D_a [P_{\rm F}, D_a]^{2n+1}.$$

Using this identity, we have

(C.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Tr} \gamma^{(2n+1)} (P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)^{2n+1} (1 - \chi_R^a) \\
&= (-1)^{n+1} \operatorname{Tr} \gamma^{(2n+1)} D_a [P_{\rm F}, D_a]^{2n+1} (1 - \chi_R^a) \\
&= (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{u_1,\ldots,u_{2n+1}} \sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{2n+1}} \sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_{2n+1}} \langle \tilde{\zeta}_{u_1}^{\alpha_1,\mu_1}, \gamma^{(2n+1)} D_a [P_{\rm F}, D_a] \tilde{\zeta}_{u_2}^{\alpha_2,\mu_2} \rangle \\
&\times \langle \tilde{\zeta}_{u_2}^{\alpha_2,\mu_2}, [P_{\rm F}, D_a] \tilde{\zeta}_{u_3}^{\alpha_3,\mu_3} \rangle \cdots \langle \tilde{\zeta}_{u_{2n}}^{\alpha_{2n},\mu_{2n}}, [P_{\rm F}, D_a] \tilde{\zeta}_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1},\mu_{2n+1}} \rangle \\
&\times \langle \tilde{\zeta}_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1},\mu_{2n+1}}, [P_{\rm F}, D_a] \tilde{\zeta}_{u_1}^{\alpha_1,\mu_1} \rangle (1 - \chi_R^a)(u_1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}$ are the complete orthonormal basis of (B.1) in the preceding Appendix B.

Lemma 18. *The following bound is valid:*

$$\left| \operatorname{Tr} \gamma^{(2n+1)} (P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)^{2n+1} (1 - \chi_R^a) \right| \le \frac{\text{Const.}}{R}, \tag{C.2}$$

where the constant does not depend on a.

Proof. In order to prove the statement, we evaluate the right-hand side in the second equality of (C.1). We write $r = |u_1 - a|$ for short. Consider the contribution such that, for $\ell \in \{2, 3, \dots, 2n+1\}$, u_ℓ satisfies $|u_\ell - a| \le r/2$ in the first sum in the right-hand side in the second equality of (C.1). We write $I_{\rm in}^{(\ell)}$ for the corresponding contribution. The contribution is estimated as

$$\left| I_{\rm in}^{(\ell)} \right| \le \text{Const.} \sum_{\substack{u_1: \\ |u_1-a|\ge R}} \sum_{u_2} \cdots \sum_{\substack{u_\ell: \\ |u_\ell - a| \le r/2}} \cdots \sum_{u_{2n+1}} e^{-\kappa|u_1-u_2|} e^{-\kappa|u_2-u_3|} \cdots e^{-\kappa|u_{2n}-u_{2n+1}|} e^{-\kappa|u_{2n+1}-u_1|}$$

from (B.7) and (B.10). Further, by using $r = |u_1 - a|$ and

$$r/2 \le |u_1 - a| - |u_\ell - a| \le |u_1 - u_\ell| \le |u_1 - u_2| + \cdots + |u_{\ell-1} - u_\ell|,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| I_{\rm in}^{(\ell)} \right| &\le \text{Const.} \sum_{\substack{u_1: \\ |u_1-a|\ge R}} \sum_{u_2} \cdots \sum_{\substack{u_\ell: \\ |u_\ell - a| \le r/2}} \cdots \sum_{u_{2n+1}} e^{-\kappa|u_1-u_\ell|/2} e^{-\kappa|u_2-u_3|/2} \cdots e^{-\kappa|u_{2n}-u_{2n+1}|/2} e^{-\kappa|u_{2n+1}-u_1|/2} \\
&\le \text{Const.} \sum_{\substack{u_1: \\ |u_1-a|\ge R}} e^{-\kappa r/4} \\
&\le \text{Const.} \sum_{\substack{u_1: \\ |u_1-a|\ge R}} e^{-\kappa|u_1-a|/4} \le \text{Const.} \exp[-\kappa' R]
\end{aligned}$$

with some positive constant κ'. Thus, the contributions such that, at least, one of u_ℓ, $\ell \ne 1$, satisfies $|u_\ell - a| \le r/2$ in the sum exponentially decays in a large R.

For the rest of the contributions, we write $I_{\rm out}$. Combining (B.7), (B.8) and (B.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|I_{\rm out}| \\
&\le \text{Const.} \sum_{\substack{u_1: \\ |u_1-a|\ge R}} \sum_{\substack{u_2: \\ |u_2-a|\ge r/2}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{u_{2n+1}: \\ |u_{2n+1}-a|\ge r/2}} \frac{1}{|u_1-a||u_2-a|\cdots|u_{2n+1}-a|} \\
&\times e^{-\tilde\kappa|u_1-u_2|} e^{-\tilde\kappa|u_2-u_3|} \cdots e^{-\tilde\kappa|u_{2n}-u_{2n+1}|} e^{-\tilde\kappa|u_{2n+1}-u_1|} \\
&\le \text{Const.} \sum_{\substack{u_1: \\ |u_1-a|\ge R}} \frac{1}{|u_1-a|^{2n+1}} \sum_{\substack{u_2: \\ |u_2-a|\ge r/2}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{u_{2n+1}: \\ |u_{2n+1}-a|\ge r/2}} e^{-\tilde\kappa|u_2-u_3|} \\
&\cdots e^{-\tilde\kappa|u_{2n}-u_{2n+1}|} e^{-\tilde\kappa|u_{2n+1}-u_1|} \\
&\le \frac{\text{Const.}}{R},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde\kappa$ is some positive constant. Combining these estimates, we obtain

$$\left| \operatorname{Tr} \gamma^{(2n+1)} (P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a)^{2n+1} (1 - \chi_R^a) \right| \le \frac{\text{Const.}}{R} + \text{Const.} \exp[-\kappa' R].$$

□

Now we prove Lemma 6. Note that

$$\text{(C.3)}\quad \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Omega, R) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}) + \frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_{\Omega} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}(\chi_R^a - 1).$$

From Lemma 18, the absolute value of the second term in the right-hand side is bounded by Const./R. Therefore,

$$\lim_{R\nearrow\infty}\lim_{\Omega\nearrow\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Omega, R) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.

Appendix D. Proof of Lemma 7

The approximate index of (4.11) is written

$$\text{(D.1)}\quad \begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Omega, R) &= \frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_{\Omega} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}\chi_R^a \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_{\Omega} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}\chi_R^a\chi_\Lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2|\Omega|}\int_{\Omega} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}\chi_R^a(1-\chi_\Lambda).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the approximate index of (4.12) is written

$$\text{(D.2)}\quad \begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}}^{(2n)}(D_a, P_{\mathrm{F}}; \Lambda, R) &= \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}\chi_R^a\chi_\Lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|}\int_{\Omega} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}\chi_R^a\chi_\Lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\Omega} dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_{\mathrm{F}} - D_a P_{\mathrm{F}} D_a)^{2n+1}\chi_R^a\chi_\Lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Since the first terms in the right-hand side in the second equality for both the indices coincide with each other from $|\Omega| = |\Lambda|$, it is enough to estimate the second terms in both indices.

We set

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{out}} := \{a \in \Omega \mid \mathrm{dist}(a, \partial\Omega) \le R\},$$

where $\mathrm{dist}(a, \partial\Omega)$ is the distance between a and the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of the region Ω. Clearly, one has

$$|\Omega_{\mathrm{out}}| \le \mathrm{Const.}|\partial\Omega|R.$$

The second term in the right-hand side in the second equality of (D.1) is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\left|\int_\Omega dv(a)\ \mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_\mathrm{F}-D_aP_\mathrm{F}D_a)^{2n+1}\chi_R^a(1-\chi_\Lambda)\right| \\
&=\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\left|\int_\Omega dv(a)\sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\Lambda}\sum_{\alpha,\mu}\langle\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu},\gamma^{(2n+1)}(P_\mathrm{F}-D_aP_\mathrm{F}D_a)^{2n+1}\tilde{\zeta}_u^{\alpha,\mu}\rangle\chi_R^a(u)\right| \\
&\le\frac{\text{Const.}}{|\Omega|}\int_{\Omega_\mathrm{out}}dv(a)\sum_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\Lambda:\\ |u-a|\le R}}1 \\
&\le\frac{\text{Const.}}{|\Omega|}\int_{\Omega_\mathrm{out}}dv(a)R^d\le\frac{\text{Const.}}{|\Omega|}|\partial\Omega|R\times R^d.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the contribution is vanishing in the limit $\Omega\nearrow\mathbb{R}^d$ for a fixed R. Similarly, the second term in (D.2) is vanishing in the limit $\Lambda\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^d$ for a fixed R. Thus, the statement of Lemma 7 has been proved.

Appendix E. Proof of Theorem 10

Lemma 19. *Fix the position a of the kink and the permutation σ. Then, we have*

$$\lim_{\Lambda\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^d}\mathrm{Tr}\,\chi_\Lambda P_\mathrm{F}[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_1)},P_\mathrm{F}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_{2n})},P_\mathrm{F}]=\mathrm{Tr}\,P_\mathrm{F}[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_1)},P_\mathrm{F}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_{2n})},P_\mathrm{F}].$$

Proof. Using the system of the complete orthonormal basis ζ_u^α, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}\,\chi_\Lambda P_\mathrm{F}[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_1)},P_\mathrm{F}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_{2n})},P_\mathrm{F}] \\
&=\sum_{u_1,\ldots,u_{2n}}\sum_{u_{2n+1}\in\Lambda}\sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{2n+1}}\langle\zeta_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1}},P_\mathrm{F}\zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1}\rangle\langle\zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1},[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_1)},P_\mathrm{F}]\zeta_{u_2}^{\alpha_2}\rangle \\
&\cdots\langle\zeta_{u_{2n}}^{\alpha_{2n}},[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_{2n})},P_\mathrm{F}]\zeta_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1}}\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The matrix elements are computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\zeta_u^\alpha,[\vartheta_a^{(j)},P_\mathrm{F}]\zeta_v^\beta\rangle&=\langle\zeta_u^\alpha,P_\mathrm{F}\zeta_v^\beta\rangle[\vartheta(u^{(j)}-a^{(j)})-\vartheta(v^{(j)}-a^{(j)}] \\
&=\langle\zeta_u^\alpha,P_\mathrm{F}\zeta_v^\beta\rangle\times\begin{cases}1, & u^{(j)}\ge a^{(j)}>v^{(j)};\\ -1, & v^{(j)}\ge a^{(j)}>u^{(j)};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Since one can easily show

$$|u^{(j)}-v^{(j)}|=|u^{(j)}-a^{(j)}+a^{(j)}-v^{(j)}|=|u^{(j)}-a^{(j)}|+|a^{(j)}-v^{(j)}|$$

for $u^{(j)},v^{(j)}$ satisfying the above conditions, $u^{(j)}\ge a^{(j)}>v^{(j)}$ or $v^{(j)}\ge a^{(j)}>u^{(j)}$, for the nonvanishing matrix elements, the following bound is valid:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle\zeta_u^\alpha,[\vartheta_a^{(j)},P_\mathrm{F}]\zeta_v^\beta\rangle|&\le\text{Const.}e^{-\kappa|u-v|/2} \\
&\quad\times\exp[-\kappa\{|u^{(j)}-a^{(j)}|+|v^{(j)}-a^{(j)}|\}/(4n)] \\
&\quad\times\exp\Big[-\kappa\sum_{k\ne j}|u^{(k)}-v^{(k)}|/(4n)\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the decay bound (4.23) for the projection $P_{\rm F}$ onto the Fermi sea. By using this bound, the product of the matrix elements is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\langle\zeta_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1}}, P_{\rm F}\zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1}\rangle\langle\zeta_{u_1}^{\alpha_1}, [\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_1)}, P_{\rm F}]\zeta_{u_2}^{\alpha_2}\rangle\cdots\langle\zeta_{u_{2n}}^{\alpha_{2n}}, [\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_{2n})}, P_{\rm F}]\zeta_{u_{2n+1}}^{\alpha_{2n+1}}\rangle\Big| \\
&\leq \exp\Big[-\kappa|u_{2n+1}-u_1|/2-\kappa\sum_{j=1}^{2n}|u_{2n+1}^{(j)}-u_1^{(j)}|/(4n)\Big] \\
&\times \exp\Big[-\kappa|u_1-u_2|/2-\kappa|u_1^{(\sigma_1)}-a^{(\sigma_1)}|/(4n)-\kappa\sum_{j_1\neq\sigma_1}|u_1^{(j_1)}-u_2^{(j_1)}|/(4n)\Big] \\
&\times \exp\Big[-\kappa|u_2-u_3|/2-\kappa|u_2^{(\sigma_2)}-a^{(\sigma_2)}|/(4n)-\kappa\sum_{j_2\neq\sigma_2}|u_2^{(j_2)}-u_3^{(j_2)}|/(4n)\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad\vdots \\
&\times \exp\Big[-\kappa|u_{2n}-u_{2n+1}|/2-\kappa|u_{2n}^{(\sigma_{2n})}-a^{(\sigma_{2n})}|/(4n)\Big] \\
&\times \exp\Big[-\kappa\sum_{j_{2n}\neq\sigma_{2n}}|u_{2n}^{(j_{2n})}-u_{2n+1}^{(j_{2n})}|/(4n)\Big] \\
&\leq \exp\Big[-\kappa|u_{2n+1}-u_1|/2-\kappa|u_1-u_2|/2-\cdots-\kappa|u_{2n}-u_{2n+1}|/2\Big] \\
&\times \exp[-\kappa|u_{2n+1}-a|/(4n)],
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequalities,

$$|u_{2n+1}^{(\sigma_1)}-u_1^{(\sigma_1)}|+|u_1^{(\sigma_1)}-a^{(\sigma_1)}|\geq|u_{2n+1}^{(\sigma_1)}-a^{(\sigma_1)}|,$$

$$|u_{2n+1}^{(\sigma_2)}-u_1^{(\sigma_2)}|+|u_1^{(\sigma_2)}-u_2^{(\sigma_2)}|+|u_2^{(\sigma_2)}-a^{(\sigma_2)}|\geq|u_{2n+1}^{(\sigma_2)}-a^{(\sigma_2)}|,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$|u_{2n+1}^{(\sigma_{2n})}-u_1^{(\sigma_{2n})}|+|u_1^{(\sigma_{2n})}-u_2^{(\sigma_{2n})}|+\cdots+|u_{2n}^{(\sigma_{2n})}-a^{(\sigma_{2n})}|\geq|u_{2n+1}^{(\sigma_{2n})}-a^{(\sigma_{2n})}|,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2n}|u_{2n+1}^{(j)}-a^{(j)}|\geq|u_{2n+1}-a|.$$

Clearly, the statement of the lemma follows from the above inequality for the product of the matrix elements. □

Lemma 20. *Let $a=(a^{(1)},a^{(2)},\ldots,a^{(2n)})\in\mathbb{R}^d$, and $a'=a+(\Delta a,0,\ldots,0)$ with $\Delta a\in\mathbb{R}$. Then, one has*

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_{a'},P_{\rm F})=\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a,P_{\rm F}).$$

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}\,P_{\rm F}[\vartheta_{a'}^{(1)},P_{\rm F}][\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_{\rm F}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)},P_{\rm F}]-\mathrm{Tr}\,P_{\rm F}[\vartheta_a^{(1)},P_{\rm F}][\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_{\rm F}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)},P_{\rm F}] \\
&=\mathrm{Tr}\,P_{\rm F}[(\vartheta_{a'}^{(1)}-\vartheta_a^{(1)}),P_{\rm F}][\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_{\rm F}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)},P_{\rm F}].
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 19, the right-hand side is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[(\vartheta^{(1)}_{a'}-\vartheta^{(1)}_{a}),P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta^{(2)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta^{(2n)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]&\\ =\lim_{\Gamma\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^d}\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta^{(2)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta^{(2n)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}],&\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a}:=(\vartheta^{(1)}_{a'}-\vartheta^{(1)}_{a})\chi_{\Gamma}$$

with the characteristic function χ_Γ of the finite lattice $\Gamma\subset\mathbb{Z}^d$. For simplicity, we choose the lattice Γ so that the distance between $\mathbb{Z}^d\backslash\Gamma$ and $\{a,a'\}$ is sufficiently large.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\mathrm{F}}[\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]&=P_{\mathrm{F}}(\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a}P_{\mathrm{F}}-P_{\mathrm{F}}\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a})\\&=P_{\mathrm{F}}(\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a}P_{\mathrm{F}}-\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a})\\&=-P_{\mathrm{F}}\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a}(1-P_{\mathrm{F}}).\end{aligned}$$

Further, one has

$$P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta^{(i)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]P_{\mathrm{F}}=0$$

and

$$(1-P_{\mathrm{F}})[\vartheta^{(i)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}](1-P_{\mathrm{F}})=0.$$

Using these identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta^{(2)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta^{(2n)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]\\&=-\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a}(1-P_{\mathrm{F}})[\vartheta^{(2)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta^{(3)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}](1-P_{\mathrm{F}})\\&\qquad\cdots(1-P_{\mathrm{F}})[\vartheta^{(2n)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]P_{\mathrm{F}}\\&=-\mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a}(1-P_{\mathrm{F}})[\vartheta^{(2)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta^{(3)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta^{(2n)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}],\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the property of the trace and the fact that χ_Γ is trace class.

Next, consider

$$-\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta^{(2)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta^{(2n)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}][\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]\tag{E.1}$$

which is derived from the above quantity with the permutation of the indices of $\vartheta^{(i)}_a$. The negative sign comes from the signature of the permutation. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}[\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a},P_{\mathrm{F}}]P_{\mathrm{F}}&=(\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a}P_{\mathrm{F}}-P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta^{(1)}_{a})P_{\mathrm{F}}\\&=(\Delta\vartheta^{(1)}_{a}-P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta^{(1)}_{a})P_{\mathrm{F}}\\&=(1-P_{\mathrm{F}})\vartheta^{(1)}_{a}P_{\mathrm{F}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in the same way as the above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}](1-P_{\mathrm{F}})\cdots P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}](1-P_{\mathrm{F}})[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]P_{\mathrm{F}}\\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}](1-P_{\mathrm{F}})\cdots P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}](1-P_{\mathrm{F}})\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\chi_\Gamma\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\chi_\Gamma\\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}].
\end{aligned}
$$

By adding two quantities, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}(1-P_{\mathrm{F}})[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\\
&\qquad - \mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\\
&\qquad\qquad = -\mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}].
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the sum of the permutations in the expression of the index, the nonvanishing contributions in the right-hand side are given by

$$-\mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}\vartheta_a^{(2)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(3)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\cdots P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(2n)}P_{\mathrm{F}}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(2)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(3)}\cdots P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(2n)}.$$

Owing to the sum of the permutations, the second contribution can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(3)}\cdots P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(2n)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(2)} &= \mathrm{Tr}\ \vartheta_a^{(2)}\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(3)}\cdots P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(2n)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\\
&= \mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}\vartheta_a^{(2)}P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(3)}\cdots P_{\mathrm{F}}\vartheta_a^{(2n)}P_{\mathrm{F}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This cancels out the first contribution.

As to the rest of the cases, it is sufficient to treat

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta_{a'}^{(1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n-1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\\
&+ \mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n-1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][(\vartheta_{a'}^{(1)} - \vartheta_a^{(1)}), P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n-1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]
\end{aligned}
$$

without loss of generality. Therefore, we consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n-1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}](1-P_{\mathrm{F}})[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n-1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\ (1-P_{\mathrm{F}})[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n-1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}].
\end{aligned}
$$

The contribution (E.1) can be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\ P_{\mathrm{F}}[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}](1-P_{\mathrm{F}})[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\ (1-P_{\mathrm{F}})[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_{\mathrm{F}}]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the above contribution is already counted, and cancels out the first one. □

Proof of Theorem 10. Lemma 20 implies that the index $\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n)}(\vartheta_a, P_{\mathrm{F}})$ is independent of the position a of the kink. Therefore, the statement of Theorem 10 follows from the decay estimate in the proof of Lemma 19. □

Appendix F. Proof of Theorem 14

In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 19, one has

Lemma 21. *Fix the position a of the kink and the permutation σ. Then, we have*

$$\lim_{\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathrm{Tr}\, \chi_\Lambda SU[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}, P_-] \\ = \mathrm{Tr}\, SU[\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(\sigma_{2n+1})}, P_-].$$

Therefore, it is enough to prove the following analogue of Lemma 20.

Lemma 22. *Let $a = (a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \ldots, a^{(2n+1)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $a' = a + (\Delta a, 0, \ldots, 0)$ with $\Delta a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we have*

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(\vartheta_{a'}, S, U) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(2n+1)}(\vartheta_a, S, U).$$

Proof. To begin with, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\, SU[\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-] \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\, SP_+[\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-] \\ &- \mathrm{Tr}\, SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-]. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right-hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\, SP_+[\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-] \\ &= -\mathrm{Tr}\, SP_+[\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_+][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_+] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_+] \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $P_- = 1 - P_+$. Thus, it is sufficient to handle one of the two types of terms.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\, SP_-[\vartheta_{a'}^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-] \\ &- \mathrm{Tr}\, SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-] \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\, SP_-[(\vartheta_{a'}^{(1)} - \vartheta_a^{(1)}), P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-]. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 19, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\, SP_-[(\vartheta_{a'}^{(1)} - \vartheta_a^{(1)}), P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-] \\ &= \lim_{\Gamma \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathrm{Tr}\, SP_-[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-] \cdots [\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)} := (\vartheta_{a'}^{(1)} - \vartheta_a^{(1)})\chi_\Gamma.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 20, we have

$$P_-[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-] = -P_-\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}(1 - P_-),$$

$$P_-[\vartheta_a^{(i)}, P_-]P_- = 0$$

and

$$(1 - P_-)[\vartheta_a^{(i)}, P_-](1 - P_-) = 0.$$

By using these identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}\ SP_-[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)},P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-]\\&=-\mathrm{Tr}\ S\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}(1-P_-)[\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-],\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $SP_- = (1-P_-)S$, the property of the trace and the fact that χ_Γ is trace class.

Next, consider

$$\mathrm{Tr}\ SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-][\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)},P_-] \tag{F.1}$$

which is derived from the above quantity with the permutation of the indices of $\vartheta_a^{(i)}$. Using the above identities, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}\ SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-][\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)},P_-]\\&=-\mathrm{Tr}\ S[\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-]P_-\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}(1-P_-)\\&=-\mathrm{Tr}\ (1-P_-)S[\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-]P_-\chi_\Gamma\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}\\&=-\mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}(1-P_-)S[\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-]P_-\chi_\Gamma\\&=-\mathrm{Tr}\ \Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-]\\&=-\mathrm{Tr}\ S\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_-[\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-]\end{aligned}$$

By adding two quantities, we have

$$-\mathrm{Tr}\ S\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}[\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-].$$

Because of the sum of the permutations in the expression of the index, the nonvanishing contributions are given by

$$-\mathrm{Tr}\ S\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}\vartheta_a^{(2)}P_-\vartheta_a^{(3)}P_-\cdots P_-\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}P_-$$

and

$$-\mathrm{Tr}\ S\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_-\vartheta_a^{(2)}P_-\vartheta_a^{(3)}\cdots P_-\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}.$$

Owing to the sum of the permutations, the second contribution can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}\ S\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_-\vartheta_a^{(3)}\cdots P_-\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}P_-\vartheta_a^{(2)}\\&=\mathrm{Tr}\ \vartheta_a^{(2)}S\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}P_-\vartheta_a^{(3)}\cdots P_-\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}P_-\\&=\mathrm{Tr}\ S\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}\vartheta_a^{(2)}P_-\vartheta_a^{(3)}\cdots P_-\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}P_-.\end{aligned}$$

This cancels out the first contribution.

As to the rest of the cases, it is sufficient to treat

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}\,SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-][\vartheta_{a'}^{(1)},P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)},P_-]\\&-\mathrm{Tr}\,SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-][\vartheta_a^{(1)},P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)},P_-]\\&=\mathrm{Tr}\,SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)},P_-][(\vartheta_{a'}^{(1)}-\vartheta_a^{(1)}),P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)},P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)},P_-]\end{aligned}$$

without loss of generality. Therefore, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}\, SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-][\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_-] \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}\, SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-](1-P_-)[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_-] \\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\, (1-P_-)[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-]S(1-P_-)[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_-] \\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\, S(1-P_-)[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-].
\end{aligned}$$

The contribution (F.1) can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}\, SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-][\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-] \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}\, SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-]P_-[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-] \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}\, P_-[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-]SP_-[\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-] \\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}\, S(1-P_-)[\Delta\vartheta_a^{(1)}, P_-][\vartheta_a^{(2)}, P_-]\cdots[\vartheta_a^{(2n+1)}, P_-].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the above contribution is already counted, and cancels out the first one. □

Appendix G. Chiral Invariant as a Linear Response Coefficient

In this appendix, we derive the linear response coefficient in a general setting which includes interacting fermion systems. As an example, for the present noninterating fermion system in one dimension, we show that the resulting expression of the imaginary part of the coefficient coincides with that of the topological invariant in the right-hand side of the chiral index formula (5.19).

Write $H_{\Lambda,N}$ for a N-fermion Hamiltonian on a finite lattice Λ, and consider a time-dependent Hamiltonian,

$$\tilde{H}_{\Lambda,N}(t) := H_{\Lambda,N} + \lambda_{\mathrm{ext}} V_{\Lambda,N}(t),$$

with the perturbation of the external potential,

$$V_{\Lambda,N}(t) = V_{\Lambda,N}\alpha(t)e^{i\omega t}, \tag{G.1}$$

with the adiabatic function,

$$\alpha(t) := \begin{cases} 1, & t \geq 0; \\ e^{\eta t}, & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Here the voltage difference λ_{ext} and the AC frequency ω are real parameters, and the adiabatic parameter η is a small positive number. We switch on the potential $V_{\Lambda,N}$ at the initial time $t = -T_0$ with a large positive T_0, and measure the current at the time $t \geq 0$.

The time-dependent Schrödinger equation is given by

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\Psi^{(N)}(t) = \tilde{H}_{\Lambda,N}(t)\Psi^{(N)}(t)$$

for the wavefunction $\Psi^{(N)}(t)$ for the N fermions. We denote the time evolution operator for the unperturbed Hamiltonian $H_{\Lambda,N}$ by

$$U_{\Lambda,N}(t,s) := \exp\bigl[-i(t-s)H_{\Lambda,N}\bigr] \quad \text{for} \quad t,s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We choose the initial vector $\Psi^{(N)}(-T_0)$ at $t=-T_0$ as

$$\Psi^{(N)}(-T_0) = U^{(\Lambda)}(-T_0,0)\Phi^{(N)}$$

with a vector $\Phi^{(N)}$. Then, the final vector $\Psi^{(N)}(t)$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{(N)}(t) &= U_{\Lambda,N}(t,0)\Phi^{(N)} \\ &\quad - i\lambda_{\rm ext}\int_{-T_0}^{t} ds\, U_{\Lambda,N}(t,s)V_{\Lambda,N}(s)U_{\Lambda,N}(s,0)\Phi^{(N)} + o(\lambda_{\rm ext})\end{aligned} \tag{G.2}$$

by using a perturbation theory [34], where $o(\lambda_{\rm ext})$ denotes a vector $\Psi_R^{(N)}$ with the norm $\|\Psi_R^{(N)}\|$ satisfying $\|\Psi_R^{(N)}\|/\lambda_{\rm ext}\to 0$ as $\lambda_{\rm ext}\to 0$.

We denote the N fermion ground state of the unperturbed Hamiltonian $H_{\Lambda,N}$ by $\Phi_0^{(N)}$ with the energy eigenvalue $E_0^{(N)}$. We choose the initial vector as the ground-state vector $\Phi^{(N)}=\Phi_0^{(N)}$ with the norm 1. Then, the ground-state expectation value of the local current operator J_ℓ at the time t is given by

$$\big\langle J_\ell\big\rangle_t := \big\langle \Psi^{(N)}(t), J_\ell \Psi^{(N)}(t)\big\rangle. \tag{G.3}$$

Using the linear perturbation (G.2), the expectation value is decomposed into three parts as

$$\big\langle J_\ell\big\rangle_t = \big\langle J_\ell\big\rangle_t^{(0)} + \big\langle J_\ell\big\rangle_t^{(1)} + o(\lambda_{\rm ext}),$$

where

$$\big\langle J_\ell\big\rangle_t^{(0)} = \big\langle \Phi_0^{(N)}, J_\ell \Phi_0^{(N)}\big\rangle,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\langle J_\ell\big\rangle_t^{(1)} \\ &= -i\lambda_{\rm ext}\int_{-T_0}^{t} ds\, \big\langle \Phi_0^{(N)}, U_{\Lambda,N}(0,t)J_\ell U_{\Lambda,N}(t,s)V_{\Lambda,N}(s)U_{\Lambda,N}(s,0)\Phi_0^{(N)}\big\rangle \\ &\qquad\qquad + \text{c.c.}\end{aligned} \tag{G.4}$$

The first term $\big\langle J_\ell\big\rangle_t^{(0)}$ is the persistent current which is usually vanishing. For simplicity, we assume that the persistent current is vanishing. We are interested in the second term $\big\langle J_\ell\big\rangle_t^{(1)}$ which gives the linear response coefficient, i.e., the conductance. Using this and the definition (G.1) of $V_{\Lambda,N}(t)$, the contribution (G.4) is written

$$\big\langle J_\ell\big\rangle_t^{(1)} = -\sum_{j\neq 0}\frac{\lambda_{\rm ext}}{E_j^{(N)}-E_0^{(N)}+\omega}\,\big\langle \Phi_0^{(N)}, J_\ell\Phi_j^{(N)}\big\rangle\big\langle \Phi_j^{(N)}, V^{(\Lambda)}\Phi_0^{(N)}\big\rangle\, e^{i\omega t} + \text{c.c.}$$

in the limit $T_0\to\infty$ and $\eta\to 0$. Here, $\Phi_j^{(N)}$ are the energy eigenvectors of the excited state for the unperturbed Hamiltonian $H_{\Lambda,N}$ with the eigenenergy $E_j^{(N)}$, $j\geq 1$. Therefore, the linear response coefficient of the AC conductance with the frequency ω is given by

$$g(\omega) = -\sum_{j\neq 0}\frac{1}{E_j^{(N)}-E_0^{(N)}+\omega}\,\big\langle \Phi_0^{(N)}, J_\ell\Phi_j^{(N)}\big\rangle\big\langle \Phi_j^{(N)}, V^{(\Lambda)}\Phi_0^{(N)}\big\rangle.$$

In particular, the imaginary part of the conductance in the zero frequency limit $\omega \to 0$ is given by

$$g_{\rm I}(0) = \sum_{j\neq 0} \frac{1}{E_0^{(N)} - E_j^{(N)}} \, {\rm Im} \, \langle \Phi_0^{(N)}, J_\ell \Phi_j^{(N)} \rangle \langle \Phi_j^{(N)}, V^{(\Lambda)} \Phi_0^{(N)} \rangle.$$

As an example, consider a noninteracting fermion system in one dimension. The N-fermion external potential $V_{\Lambda,N}$ is given by the N-fermion chiral operator which is determined by the chiral operator S for single fermion. Then, the above conductance $g_{\rm I}(0)$ coincides with the Chern number in the right-hand side of (5.19) except for the prefactor 2.

Appendix H. Even Anti-Unitary Transformations

Consider an anti-linear transformation $\tilde{\Sigma}$ which is given by

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := U^{\Sigma} K,$$

where U^{Σ} is a unitary operator and K is complex conjugation.

Proposition 23. *Let A be a compact operator, and let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be anti-linear operator satisfying $\tilde{\Sigma}^2 = +1$. Suppose*

$$\tilde{\Sigma} A \varphi = A \tilde{\Sigma} \varphi$$

for any wavefunction φ. Then, we can choose the eigenvectors of A with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$ so that

$$A\varphi = \lambda \varphi \quad \textit{and} \quad \tilde{\Sigma}\varphi = \varphi.$$

Proof. Clearly, from the assumptions, we have that if φ is an eigenvector of A, then $\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi$ is also an eigenvector of A with the same eigenvalue.

Consider first the case that the two vectors φ and $\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi$ are linearly dependent. Namely, the following relation holds:

$$\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi = \kappa \varphi$$

with a complex constant $\kappa \neq 0$. By multipying the relation by $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and using $\tilde{\Sigma}^2 \varphi = \varphi$, one has

$$\varphi = \overline{\kappa} \tilde{\Sigma} \varphi.$$

Therefore, we have $\kappa\overline{\kappa} = 1$. This implies that one can write $\kappa = e^{i\theta}$ with $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then, the original relation is written

$$\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi = e^{i\theta}\varphi.$$

Further, this can be written

$$\tilde{\Sigma} e^{i\theta/2} \varphi = e^{i\theta/2} \varphi.$$

Consequently, we can choose the eigenvector φ of A so that it satisfies

$$\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi = \varphi.$$

When the two vectors φ and $\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi$ are linearly independent, then we choose

$$\varphi_+ = \varphi + \tilde{\Sigma}\varphi$$

and

$$\varphi_- = i(\varphi - \tilde{\Sigma}\varphi).$$

These are linearly independent and satisfy

$$\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi_+ = \varphi_+$$

and

$$\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi_- = \varphi_-,$$

where we have used $\tilde{\Sigma}^2\varphi = \varphi$. Thus, we can choose every eigenvector φ of A with nonzero eigenvalue to satisfy $\tilde{\Sigma}\varphi = \varphi$. □

Appendix I. Relations between the Indices

The following proposition is due to Großmann and Schulz-Baldes [18].

Proposition 24. *Let P and E be projections. Set*

$$\mathcal{T} = P(1-2E)P + 1 - P$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}' = E(1-2P)E + 1 - E.$$

Suppose that both of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are Fredholm operators. Then, the following relation is valid:

$$\dim\ker\mathcal{T} = \dim\ker\mathcal{T}'.$$

Proof. To begin with, we note that

$$\mathcal{T} = P - 2PEP + 1 - P = 1 - 2PEP.$$

Similarly, one has

$$\mathcal{T}' = 1 - 2EPE.$$

Let $v_0 \in \ker\mathcal{T}$. Clearly, one has $v_0 = Pv_0$. Further, one has

$$0 = \mathcal{T}v_0 = (1-2PEP)v_0 = v_0 - 2PEPv_0.$$

Therefore, one obtains

$$PEPv_0 = \frac{1}{2}v_0.$$

Set $w_0 = Ev_0$. Then, $w_0 \neq 0$, and $w_0 \in \ker\mathcal{T}'$. Actually, if $w_0 = 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}v_0 = PEPv_0 = PEv_0 = Pw_0 = 0,$$

where we have used $Pv_0 = v_0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $w_0 \neq 0$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}'w_0 &= (1-2EPE)w_0\\ &= w_0 - 2EPEw_0\\ &= w_0 - 2EPEv_0\\ &= w_0 - 2EPEPv_0 = w_0 - 2E\cdot\frac{1}{2}v_0 = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $v_0 = Pv_0$ and $PEPv_0 = \frac{1}{2}v_0$.

Similarly, let $w_0 \in \ker\mathcal{T}'$. Then, $w_0 = Ew_0$, and $u_0 := Pw_0 \in \ker\mathcal{T}$. In addition, one has

$$u_0 = Pw_0 = PEv_0 = PEPv_0 = \frac{1}{2}v_0,$$

where we have used $v_0 = Pv_0$ and $PEPv_0 = v_0/2$. The two maps, E and P, on the kernels are invertible. Therefore, the two dimensionalities of those kernels coincide with each other. □

In Proposition 24, we choose $P = P_{\rm F}$ and $E = \mathcal{P}_{\rm D} = (1 + D_a)/2$. Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(I.1)} \qquad \dim\ker \mathcal{T} &= \dim\ker (P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a - 1) \\ &= \dim\ker \mathcal{T}' \\ &= \dim\ker (\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U = (1 - P_{\rm F}) - P_{\rm F} = 1 - 2P_{\rm F}.$$

As an application, let us consider DIII class in three dimensions, and deal with a weak perturbation which breaks the chiral symmetry but preserves the time-reversal symmetry. Due to the perturbation, DIII class changes to AII class. We want to obtain the relation between the integer-valued index of DIII class and the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-valued index of AII class in the weak limit for the perturbation.

We recall the expression of the chiral index (5.5). For DIII class, the integer-valued index is given by

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{(3)}(D_a, S, U) = \dim\ker \mathfrak{T}_\chi - \dim\ker \mathfrak{T}_\chi^*,$$

with the Fredholm operator,

$$\mathfrak{T}_\chi = \mathcal{P}_{\rm D}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} + 1 - \mathcal{P}_{\rm D},$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ is given by the off-diagonal matrix element of U of (5.3). The parity of the right-hand side of the index can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim\ker \mathfrak{T}_\chi - \dim\ker \mathfrak{T}_\chi^* \ \text{ modulo 2} \\ &= \dim\ker \mathfrak{T}_\chi + \dim\ker \mathfrak{T}_\chi^* \ \text{ modulo 2} \\ &= \dim\ker (\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U - 1) \ \text{ modulo 2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the expression (5.4) of the operator $\mathcal{P}_{\rm D} - U\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}U$.

On the other hand, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index of AII class in three dimensions is given by

$$\mathrm{Ind}_2^{(3)}(D_a, P_{\rm F}) = \dim\ker (P_{\rm F} - D_a P_{\rm F} D_a - 1) \ \text{ modulo 2}.$$

Combining these observations with the above result (I.1), we obtain the desired result,

$$\mathrm{Ind}_2^{(3)}(D_a, P_{\rm F}) = \mathrm{Ind}^{(3)}(D_a, S, U) \ \text{ modulo 2},$$

in the weak limit of the perturbation.

Acknowledgement: HK was supported in part by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. JP15K17719 and No. JP16H00985.

References

[1] Aizenman, M., Graf, G. M.: Localization Bounds for an Electron Gas. J. Phys. A**31**, 6783–6806 (1998).

[2] Altland, A., Zirnbauer, M. R.: Nonstandard symmetry classes in mesoscopic normal-superconducting hybrid structures. Phys. Rev. B**55**, 1142–1161 (1997).

[3] Avron, J. E., Seiler, R., Simon, B.: Charge Deficiency, Charge Transport and Comparison of Dimensions. Commun. Math. Phys. **159**, 399–422 (1994).

[4] Avron, J., Seiler, R., Simon, B.: The index of a pair of projections. J. Func. Anal. **120**, 220–237 (1994).

[5] Bellissard, J., Van Elst, A., Schulz-Baldes, H.: The Noncommutative Geometry of the Quantum Hall Effect. J. Math. Phys. **35**, 5373–5451 (1994).

[6] Bourne, C., Carey, A. L., Rennie, A.: A noncommutative framework for topological insulators. Rev. Math. Phys. **28**, 1650004 (2016).

[7] Bourne, C., Kellendonk, J., Rennie, A.: The K-theoretic bulk-edge correspondence for topological insulators. Preprint, arXiv:1604.02337.

[8] Combes, J. -M., Hislop, P. D.: Localization for some continuous, random Hamiltonians in d-dimensions. J. Func. Anal. **124**, 149–180 (1994).

[9] Connes, A.: *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.

[10] De Nittis, G., Schulz-Baldes, H.: Spectral Flows Associated to Flux Tubes. Ann. H. Poincare **17**, 1–35 (2016).

[11] Elgart, A., Graf, G. M., Schenker, J. H.: Equality of the Bulk and Edge Hall Conductances in a Mobility Gap. Commun. Math. Phys. **259** 185–221 (2005).

[12] Elgart, A., Schlein, B.: Adiabatic Charge Transport and the Kubo Formula for Landau Type Hamiltonians. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **57**, 590–615 (2004).

[13] Essin, A. M., Moore, J. E.: Topological Insulators beyond the Brillouin Zone via Chern Parity. Phys. Rev. B **76**, 165307 (2007).

[14] Fu, L., Kane, C. L.: Time Reversal Polarization and a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Adiabatic Spin Pump. Phys. Rev. B **74**, 195312 (2006).

[15] Fu, L., Kane, C. L.: Topological Insulators with Inversion Symmetry. Phys. Rev. B **76**, 045302 (2007).

[16] Fukui, T., Fujiwara, T.: A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Index of a Dirac Operator with Time Reversal Symmetry. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42**, 362003–362009 (2009).

[17] Fukui, T, Hatsugai, Y.: Topological Aspect of the Quantum Spin-Hall Effect in Graphene: $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Topological Order and Spin Chern Number. Phys. Rev. B **75**, 121403(R) (2007).

[18] Großmann, J., Schulz-Baldes, H.: Index pairing in presence of symmetries with applications to topological insulators. Commun. Math. Phys. **343** 477–513 (2016).

[19] Guo, H.-M.: Topological invariant in three-dimensional band insulators with disorder. Phys. Rev. B**82**, 115122 (2010).

[20] Hasan, M, Kane, C. L.: Colloquium: Topological insulators. Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 3045–3067 (2010)

[21] Hastings, M. B., Loring, T. A.: Almost Commuting Matrices, Localized Wannier Functions, and the Quantum Hall Effect. J. Math. Phys. **51**, 015214 (2010).

[22] Hastings, M. B., Loring, T. A.: Topological Insulators and C^*-Algebras: Theory and Numerical Practice. Ann. Phys. **326**, 1699–1759 (2011).

[23] Hastings, M. B., Michalakis, S.: Quantization of Hall Conductance For Interacting Electrons on a Torus. Commun. Math. Phys. **334** (2015), 433–471.

[24] Ishikawa, K., Matsuyama, T.: Magnetic field induced multi-component QED_3 and quantum Hall effect. Z. Phys. C **33**, 41–45 (1986).

[25] Kane, C. L., Mele, E. J.: $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Topological Order and Quantum Spin Hall Effect: Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146802 (2005).

[26] Katsura, H., Koma, T.: The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Index of Disordered Topological Insulators with Time Reversal Symmetry. J. Math. Phys. **57**, 021903(2016).

[27] Kawaji, S., Wakabayashi, J.: Temperature Dependence of Transverse and Hall Conductivities of Silicon MOS Inversion Layers under Strong Magnetic Fields. In: *Physics*

in High Magnetic Fields. Chikazumi, S., Miura, N. (eds), pp. 284–287, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1981
[28] Kellendonk, J.: On the C*-algebraic approach to topological phases for insulators: Preprint, arXiv:1509.06271.
[29] Kellendonk, J.: Cyclic cohomology for graded $C^{*,r}$-algebras and its pairings with van Daele K-theory. Preprint, arXiv:1607.08465.
[30] Kitaev, A. Y.: Unpaired Majorana fermions in quantum wires. Physics-Uspekhi **44**, 131–136 (2001).
[31] Kitaev, A. Y.: Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors, (Advances in Theoretical Physics: Landau Memorial Conference) AIP Conference Proceedings, **1134**, 22–30 (2001).
[32] von Klitzing, K., Dorda, G., Pepper, M.: New Method for High Accuracy Determination of the Fine Structure Constant Based on Quantized Hall Resistance. Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 494–497 (1980)
[33] Kohmoto, M.: Topological Invariant and the Quantization of the Hall Conductance. Ann. Phys. **160**, 343–354 (1985)
[34] Koma, T.: Revisiting the charge transport in quantum Hall systems. Rev. Math. Phys. **16** (2004), 1115–1189.
[35] Koma, T.: Widths of the Hall Conductance Plateaus. J. Stat. Phys. **130**, 843-934 (2008).
[36] Koma, T.: Topological Current in Fractional Chern Insulators, Preprint, arXiv:1504.01243.
[37] Kubota, Y.: Controlled topological phases and bulk-edge correspondence. Preprint, arXiv:1511.05314.
[38] Lee, S.-S., Ryu, S.: Many-Body Generalization of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Topological Invariant for the Quantum Spin Hall Effect. Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 186807 (2008).
[39] Leung, B,. Prodan, E.: Effect of strong disorder in a three-dimensional topological insulator: Phase diagram and maps of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant. Phys. Rev. B**85**, 205136 (2012).
[40] Loring, T. A., Hastings, M. B.: Disordered Topological Insulators via C^*-Algebras. EPL (Europhys. Lett.) **92**, 67004 (2010).
[41] Marciano, W., Pagels, H.: Quantum Chromodynamics. Phys. Reports **36**, 137-276 (1978).
[42] Mondragon-Shem, I., Hughes, T. L., Song, J., Prodan, E.: Topological criticality in the chiral-symmetric AIII class at strong disorder. Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 046802 (2014).
[43] Moore, J. E., Balents, L.: Topological Invariants of Time-Reversal-Invariant Band Structures. Phys. Rev. B **75**, 121306(R) (2007).
[44] Niu, Q., Thouless, D. J., Wu, Y. S.: Quantized Hall conductance as a topological invariant. Phys. Rev. B**31** (1985), 3372–3377.
[45] Prodan, E., Leung, B., Bellissard, J.: The Non-commutative $n_{\rm th}$-Chern Number ($n \geq 1$). J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 485202 (2013).
[46] Prodan, E., Schulz-Baldes, H.: Non-commutative odd Chern numbers and topological phases of disordered chiral systems. J. Func. Anal.
[47] Prodan, E., Schulz-Baldes, H.: *Bulk and boundary invariants for complex topological insulators: From K-theory to physics*, Springer, Berlin, 2016.
[48] Qi, X.-L., Wu, Y.-S., Zhang, S.-C.: Topological Quantization of the Spin Hall Effect in Two-Dimensional Paramagnetic Semiconductors. Phys. Rev. B **74**, 045125 (2006).
[49] Qi, X.-L. and Zhang, S.-C.: Topological insulators and superconductors. Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1057–1110 (2011).
[50] Reed, M., Simon, B.: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. I, Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
[51] Reed, M., Simon, B.: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. II, Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
[52] Reed, M., Simon, B.: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. IV, Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

[53] T. Richter and H. Schulz-Baldes, Homotopy Arguments for Quantized Hall Conductivity, *J. Math. Phys.* **42**, 3439–3444 (2001).
[54] Ryu, S., Schnyder, A. P., Furusaki, A., Ludwig, A. W. W.: Topological insulators and superconductors: tenfold way and dimensional hierarchy. New J. Phys. **12**, 065010 (2010).
[55] Roy, R.: $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Classification of Quantum Spin Hall Systems: An Approach using Time-Reversal Invariance. Phys. Rev. B **79**, 195321 (2009).
[56] Sbierski, B., Brouwer, P. W.: $\mathbb{Z}_2$ phase diagram of three-dimensional disordered topological insulators via a scattering matrix approach. Phys. Rev. B **89**, 155311 (2014).
[57] Schnyder, A. P., Ryu, S., Furusaki, A., Ludwig, A. W. W.: Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions. Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195125 (2008).
[58] Schulz-Baldes, H.: $\mathbb{Z}_2$-Indices of Odd Symmetric Fredholm Operators: Documenta Mathematica **20**, 1481–1500 (2015).
[59] Shiozaki, K., Sato, M.: Topology of crystalline insulators and superconductors. Phys. Rev. B**90**, 165114 (2014).
[60] Simon, B.: *Trace Ideals and their Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.
[61] So, H.: Induced topological invariants by lattice fermions in odd dimensions. Prog. Theor. Phys. **74**, 585–593 (1985).
[62] Song, J., Fine, C., Prodan, E.: Effect of strong disorder on three-dimensional chiral topological insulators: Phase diagrams, maps of the bulk invariant, and existence of topological extended bulk states. Phys. Rev. B**90**, 184201 (2014).
[63] Stein, P.: A note on the volume of a simplex. The American Mathematical Monthly **73**, 299–301 (1966).
[64] Teo, J. C. Y., Kane, C. L.: Topological defects and gapless modes in insulators and superconductors. Phys. Rev. B**82**, 115120 (2010).
[65] Thiang, G. C.: On the K-theoretic classification of topological phases of matter. Ann. H. Poincaré **17**, 757–794 (2016).
[66] Thouless, D. J., Kohmoto, M., Nightingale, M. P., den Nijs, M.: Quantized Hall Conductance in a Two-Dimensional Periodic Potential. Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 405–408 (1982)

(Katsura) Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, JAPAN
E-mail address: katsura@phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

(Koma) Department of Physics, Gakushuin University, Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8588, JAPAN
E-mail address: tohru.koma@gakushuin.ac.jp